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INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the Community Needs Assessment is to identify what the community needs and wants in terms of parks, natural areas, recreation facilities, and programs over the next 20 years, for the 2012 Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan. This report synthesizes the results of the following:

- Community Involvement Activities
- Park and Facility Analysis
- Recreation Services Analysis
- Management and Operations Analysis

Figure 1: Planning Process
Figure 1 (previous page) illustrates how the community needs assessment process includes community involvement opportunities, the results of which are part of the technical analysis of District parks and facilities, programs, and finances. These findings will be the foundation for the strategies and actions developed in the next step for the Comprehensive Plan, and will form the basis of Willamalane’s plan for the next 20 years. The tasks shaded in grey have been completed.

The community involvement activities to date include: Springfield SummerFair input and questionnaire, a Community Survey (including a Spanish-language version), and teen focus groups. Approximately 1,400 residents participated in the public input process (not counting more than 600 children at SummerFair, and participants in a similar survey conducted by Willamalane’s Recreation Services Division in spring of 2010). Additional meetings and workshops will be held as the project continues.

Detailed results of community involvement activities are included in the appendix. However, key public involvement findings are included as relevant throughout the report.

**Planning Area**

Willamalane Park and Recreation District is designated in the Eugene-Springfield Metropolitan Area Plan as the park and recreation service provider for Springfield and its urbanizable area; i.e., the area within Springfield’s Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). Consequently, the planning area for the Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan is generally defined by Springfield’s UGB. There are a few minor exceptions to this rule where the District boundary is outside the UGB. In those cases the planning area is defined by the District boundary. The District boundary generally coincides with Springfield’s city limits, but, as with the UGB, there are some instances where the District boundary is outside the city limits. Newly developed areas annexed by the City of Springfield are automatically annexed to the District.
For the purpose of analysis, the planning area is divided into six planning sub-areas: Central, East, South, Southwest, West, and North. These smaller sub-areas allow distinctions in park and facility need and community preferences to emerge. See Figure 2, Planning Sub-Areas.

The District manages approximately 779 acres of land in 40 parks and three undeveloped properties, and provides a wide range of recreational facilities and services. This is an increase of over 100 acres, 7 new parks, and two new community recreation facilities since 2002.

Residents also have access to playgrounds and sports fields owned by Springfield School District and off-street paths owned by the City of Springfield. Other nearby regional providers include Lane County, the City of Eugene, and Oregon Park and Recreation Department, as well as natural resource opportunities provided by the U.S. Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and the Nature Conservancy.
**RELATED PLANNING EFFORTS**

Presently, there are several adopted plans and ongoing planning efforts that relate to the Comprehensive Plan Update and will be considered as recommendations are developed.

- Eugene-Springfield Metropolitan Area General Plan
- Rivers to Ridges Metropolitan Regional Parks and Natural areas Study
- Springfield Transportation System Plan
- Springfield Draft Residential Land and Housing Needs Analysis
- Springfield 2030 Refinement Plan/Urban Growth Boundary Study

Other City plans and studies that have been updated since 2004 that will impact the development of Springfield will also be considered during the Comprehensive Plan update process, such as the Glenwood Refinement Plan and Downtown Revitalization Plan.

A few site-specific plans have been undertaken by Willamalane since 2004 that will be considered during the Comprehensive Plan update process. They include:

- Jack B. Lively Memorial Park Master Plan (2005)
- Dorris Ranch Living History Farm Master Plan (2008)
- Clearwater Park Master Plan (underway)

Most importantly, Willamalane will be referencing the adopted 2004 Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan as the guiding document for this update.

**COMMUNITY DESCRIPTION**

Springfield, located in the southern portion of the Willamette Valley, is bordered on the north and south by the McKenzie and Willamette Rivers, and stretches toward the Cascade foothills to the east and the City of Eugene to the west. The area is rich in natural resources. With the abundance of rivers, there is great potential for providing opportunities for education and interpretation, as well as for river-based recreation such as fishing and boating. These areas also provide important wildlife habitat and are rich in local history. Hills and other landforms add to the area’s visual character, including the Coburg Hills and Camp Creek Ridge to the north; and the Thurston Hills, Mt. Pisgah, "Potato Hill", Quarry Butte, and Willamette Heights to the south. Other smaller uplands, such as Kelly Butte to the west and Moe Mountain to the north, also are prominent features. The close proximity of agriculture, such as farmland and forests on the urban fringe, adds to the local character and increases the community’s appeal.

Together, Eugene and Springfield comprise Oregon’s second largest metropolitan statistical area with approximately 347,000 people. In addition to easy access to many outdoor
activities, such as fishing and hiking, Springfield residents also enjoy cultural resources, including the Springfield Museum, Washburne Historic District, Springfield Railroad Depot, Emerald Art Center, Wildish Community Theater, and Dorris Ranch Living History Farm. The University of Oregon (19,000 students) and Lane Community College (41,000 students) are both less than two miles from Springfield.

The planning area represents a community in transition, as Springfield continues to shift from a wood products-based economy to a more diversified economy with businesses in several sectors, including wood products, high tech, medical, tourism, and industrial manufacturing.

Several regional and national companies call Springfield home, including International Paper (formerly Weyerhaeuser), Shorewood, Symantec, Kingsford, and Hexion Chemical. Royal Caribbean Cruise Lines employs approximately 500 people at its national call center, which opened in January 2006. PeaceHealth Oregon provides jobs for 3,400 employees at its Sacred Heart Medical Center at RiverBend.

Manufacturing is Springfield’s largest employment sector followed by the visitor industry, government and schools, wood products, retail, and then services (Springfield Chamber of Commerce 2002).

Lane County, and all of Oregon, began experiencing an economic downturn in 2007, which has resulted in growing unemployment. In the short term, slow economic growth is expected to continue, but as the national economy recovers, so should Lane County.
DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

The demographic profile provides a snapshot of the community, including population data and forecasts for the District. It provides information about District residents that will be used to inform park and recreation strategies and policies.

Methodology

Information from the U.S. Census Bureau, Springfield Chamber of Commerce, city of Springfield, Lane Council of Governments (LCOG), and state of Oregon was used to develop the demographic profile.

The District population forecast is the same as the forecast used by city of Springfield for their residential, commercial and industrial buildable lands study. Oregon state law requires cities to have a 20-year population forecast as the basis for their comprehensive planning.

The long-term population forecasts for Oregon and its counties is based on the Office of Economic Analysis (OEA) forecast model that takes into account data about existing age and sex characteristics to project birth and death rates, as well as in- and out-migration rates for Oregon and its counties. Lane County is required to adopt and maintain a coordinated 20-year population forecast which distributes the projected countywide population to the cities and rural areas. Local governments then adopt these coordinated forecasts to include in their comprehensive plans.

In order to be consistent with Springfield’s comprehensive planning the Community Needs Assessment uses the Springfield Urban Growth Boundary forecasts. The UGB and the Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan’s planning area are virtually the same, and where they differ, there is negligible development.

Population

In 2000, the City of Springfield had a population of 52,864 (U.S. Census Bureau 2000), and the planning area had a population of 62,514 (LCOG 2002). By 2010, their populations grew to 58,891 and 67,031 respectively (Table 1).
Table 1: Population Growth, 2000 - 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary</th>
<th>2000 Population</th>
<th>2010 Population</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>Annual Average Growth Rate (AAGR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>52,864</td>
<td>58,891</td>
<td>6,027</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGB/Planning Area</td>
<td>62,517</td>
<td>67,031</td>
<td>4,514</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: LCOG 2002, U.S. Census Bureau, Lane County
Table 1: Population Growth, 2000 - 2010

Table 2 shows a projected 22 percent population growth for the Willamalane planning area over the next 20 years. Because of this population growth, Willamalane will have to increase services, parks and facilities just to maintain the current level of service for the planning area.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary</th>
<th>2010 Population</th>
<th>2030 Population</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>AAGR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>58,891</td>
<td>74,814</td>
<td>15,923</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGB/Planning Area</td>
<td>67,031</td>
<td>81,608</td>
<td>14,577</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lane County Rural Comprehensive Plan, 1984 (amended in 2009)
Table 2: Population Forecast, 2010-2030

Table 3 shows the population forecast for the planning area from the 2002 Community Needs Assessment. The average annual growth rate (AAGR) was more than three times greater than the AAGR used in the 2010-2030 population forecast. This resulted in a population forecast for 2022 that was approximately 7,000 residents greater than the current forecast for 8 years later (2030). The general methodology used for developing both forecasts does not appear to have changed (both were striving for consistency with other comprehensive planning documents), however the economic and development climate has changed significantly.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary</th>
<th>2000 Population</th>
<th>2022 Population</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>AAGR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Planning Area</td>
<td>62,517</td>
<td>88,720</td>
<td>26,203</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: LCOG 2002
Table 3: Population Forecast, 2000-2022
Figure 3 illustrates the difference in population forecasts, between the 2004 Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan and today.

Source: Willamalane Park and Recreation District
Figure 3: Population Forecasts Comparison
State Demographic Trends

Oregon’s 2006-2010 Consolidated Plan identified the following population and demographic trends that influence housing needs statewide. These trends also influence park and recreation needs:

- 11th fastest growing state in the United States
- Facing dramatic housing cost increases
- Facing median and adjusted incomes less than those of 1999
- Growing faster than national rates: 4 percent v. 3.3 percent
- Increasingly older
- Increasingly diverse
- Increasingly less affluent

Ethnicity

Springfield is becoming more ethnically diverse. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, in 2010, Springfield’s population was 12.1 percent Latino, an increase from 6.6 percent in 2000 and 2.0 percent in 1990. In Lane County, 7.4 percent of residents were Latino in 2010, an increase from 4.5 percent in 2000.

Springfield's Latino population is both higher than the statewide average of 11.7 percent and the county average of 7.4 percent (U.S. Census Bureau, 2010). This was not the case in 2000, when Springfield’s Latino population was below the statewide average. This indicates that the Latino population is growing faster in Springfield than elsewhere in the state.

According to the Eugene-Springfield Consolidated Plan (2010), 26.13 percent of students in the Springfield School District identified themselves as Hispanic/Latino and/or minority. Of this percentage, 19.86 percent identified themselves strictly as Hispanic/Latino, which was higher than in both Bethel (13.29 percent) and 4J (9.5 percent) school districts.

Willamalane will need to take Springfield’s growing ethnic diversity into consideration as it develops programs, facilities, marketing strategies and staff training, among other things.

Age

According to 2010 census data, a greater proportion of Springfield’s population, (approximately 57%) is less than 40 years old, compared to 52 percent in Oregon and 51 percent in Lane County. The median age in Springfield is 34.5 years; approximately 4 years less than the median ages of 38.4 years for Oregon and 39 years for Lane County. This indicates that Springfield’s population and age trends are somewhat younger than the projections for Lane County and Oregon.

1 State of Oregon Consolidated Plan, 2006-2010, pg. 23
However, Springfield’s population is still aging, as shown in Figure 4. Adults between the ages of 45 and 64 have increased as a percentage of the total population from 15 percent in 1990 to 25 percent in 2010. As the population in the planning area continues to age and a larger percentage of the population is over 55, there will be a greater need for facilities and services to meet the needs of older populations.

**Figure 4: Percent Population Change by Age Group, U.S. Census Bureau**

**Households**

A family household is defined as two or more persons living together that are related by birth, marriage, or adoption. They may be comprised of siblings or other relatives as well as married couples and any children they have. In 2010, family households comprised 62 percent of all households in Springfield. Approximately 48 percent of family households had children and 28 percent of those families were married couples with children. The traditional married couple family with related children was 17 percent of all households in Springfield. This was a drop from 22 percent in 2000 and 25 percent in 1990.
Also according to the 2010 U.S. Census, the average household size of Latino residents was significantly larger than non-Latino residents in Springfield: 3.5 residents per Latino household compared to 2.49 residents per household overall.

Because nearly half of Springfield’s family households have children, it will be important for Willamalane to continue to provide a wide variety of services for families with children, as well as address the needs of changing families, such as single parents and families without children.

**Income**

Springfield households earn less than the statewide median and are more likely to be below the poverty level than the statewide average. In 2010, the median household income in Springfield was $35,104 and 15 percent of all families were below poverty level. The median household income for the State of Oregon was $45,560 with 11 percent of all families below poverty level. Considering the high rate of households existing at or below poverty level, affordability of services will remain an important consideration for the District.

**Housing**

As Springfield’s population has increased, the number of housing units has also increased from 19,121 in 1990, 20,514 in 2000, and 24,809 in 2010.

However, home ownership has decreased from 54 percent in 2000 to 52 percent in 2010. The Eugene/Springfield MSA and the state of Oregon have higher owner occupancy rates than Springfield with rates of 60 percent and 62 percent respectively. Since the District’s major source of revenue is property taxes, the percentage of home ownership affects its revenue base.
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES

Public involvement is a critical part of the comprehensive planning process. To develop a solid foundation for the comprehensive plan, feedback was solicited from District staff and residents regarding their needs and preferences. Activities were planned to ensure the participation of a diverse cross-section of the District's population.

This section is a description of the public involvement activities undertaken to date. Complete public involvement findings can be found in the appendix.

Note: When discussing public involvement activities and findings included in the 2004 Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan, they are referred to by the year they took place, not the year the plan was adopted, e.g. the 2002 Community Survey, 2002 teen focus groups, etc.

Methodology

The public involvement activities for the Community Needs Assessment included the following:

- July 2010: A Comprehensive Plan booth at Springfield SummerFair (316 participants completed a questionnaire, and over 600 children voted for their favorite park activity);
- September-October 2010: An on-line and print Community Survey (completed by approximately 1,060 people);
- October 2010: A Spanish-language version of the Community Survey (completed by approximately 31 people); and
- January 2011: Three Teen Workshops (with approximately 77 participants).

Over 2,000 participants were included in the planning process, including children and youth.

In addition, the Spring 2010 Recreation Survey included input from 728 residents. Where applicable, those survey results are also used in this analysis.

Springfield SummerFair

SummerFair, a three day community celebration in Springfield, was attended by thousands of residents over the weekend of July 16 – 18, 2010. On both Saturday and Sunday, the Planning and Development Department used a portion of the Information Booth as an opportunity to gather input from the public to help develop the 2012 Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan.
Participants were attracted to the tent with a wheel of fortune game that provided the opportunity for children to win prizes. Adults and children were asked to complete a brief survey. Both child and adult surveys were designed to be as simple as possible in order for them to be easily completed given the numerous distractions of the event and potential time constraints of participants.

**SummerFair Children’s Input**

The children’s survey provided youth and young adults the opportunity to let Willamalane know what their favorite park activities are. Participants were provided with 3 hazelnuts that they placed in the jars that depicted their favorite activity among four alternatives. An image of each activity and its title was placed on the front of each jar. Participants were allowed to place multiple nuts in a jar or spread their votes over 3 separate jars.

The options were:

1. Playing on Playgrounds
2. Riding Bikes
3. Playing Sports
4. Playing in Nature

Approximately 658 children participated in the survey, voting with a total of 1,975 nuts. *Playing on Playgrounds* was the most popular activity with a total of 590 votes, *Riding Bikes* was second with 511 votes, *Playing Sports* was third with 490 votes and *Playing in Nature* had 383 votes.
SummerFair Adult Input

Adult participants were asked to fill out a short questionnaire to provide input on how Willamalane should focus its efforts when planning park and recreation facilities for the coming 20 years. The survey consisted of four questions from the 2004 Community Survey and a comments section. By completing the questionnaire participants were entered into a drawing for a $100 gift certificate at Gateway Mall.

Three hundred and sixteen participants completed the questionnaire. Of those who responded, 64% lived within the Willamalane planning area. Complete results of the SummerFair Questionnaire are included in Appendix A-2: Public Input Results.

Community Survey

A Community Survey was conducted in September and October 2010. Approximately 1,060 people participated, 76 percent of whom live within the Willamalane planning area. Questions focused on attitudes towards Willamalane, park and recreation interests, participation, and maintenance and financial issues.

Survey questions were developed so they could be compared to two of Willamalane’s previous public surveys: The 2002 Community Survey (used for the 2004 Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan) and the Recreation Survey conducted in spring of 2010.

The Community Survey was on-line for seven weeks in September and October of 2010. The survey was advertised comprehensively, in order to encourage a wide variety of people to participate, including:

- Service group participants;
- Chamber of Commerce members;
- Past users of Willamalane programs and services;
- Recreation Survey participants;
- SummerFair participants;
- Aquatics stakeholders;
- Friends of Willamalane; and
- A variety of other interested parties.
In addition to targeting individuals, participation by the general public was also solicited via:

- Placing advertisements in *The Register-Guard* Springfield Extra Section for three weeks;
- Featuring the survey on the Willamalane web site home page;
- Promoting the survey through social media sites Facebook and Twitter;
- Providing information for news articles in *The Register-Guard, the Springfield Times*, and the *Team Springfield Newsletter*; and
- Distributing informational postcards at a variety of community events.

Incentives encouraged people to complete the survey. Each survey participant received a coupon for $1 off of any Willamalane program. Additionally, those who provided contact information were entered to win one of ten $50 gift cards.

In addition to the web-based survey, an identical paper version was distributed at all of Willamalane’s community recreation facilities (CRC, both aquatics facilities, WAAC, and Wildish Theater), as well as the Springfield Farmer’s Market, downtown ArtWalk, and Springfield Library. Paper versions were collected and entered into the website SurveyGizmo by volunteers.

**Spanish-Language Survey**

A separate campaign was undertaken to encourage Spanish-speaking residents to complete the Community Survey, because this growing population was underrepresented in the public involvement process. The Community Survey was translated into Spanish, and paper and on-line versions were developed.

A bilingual volunteer distributed and collected paper surveys at a variety of locations around town, including: Springfield Public Library, Brattain House Family Center, Kick City, Catholic Community Services of Lane County, and the Springfield Farmer’s Market. Spanish language surveys were also available at all Willamalane community recreation facilities. The volunteer visited each site 2-3 times distributing and collecting surveys, as well as encouraging participation, as appropriate. Spanish-language surveys were also completed by participants in an ESL (English as a second language) class run by Lane Community College.

Emails in English and Spanish were sent to a variety of metro-area list serves targeted to a Spanish-speaking or bilingual audience. Only two on-line surveys were completed. Overall, 31 people participated in the Spanish-language survey.

*Complete results of the Community Survey and the Spanish-Language Survey is included in Appendix A-2: Public Input Results.*
**Teen Workshops**

Teenagers were selected as good candidates for workshop participants because they are park and recreation users who were underreported in the Community Survey. In addition, they were the group most identified in the Community Survey as needing more or additional park and recreation services.

Staff held workshops in two U.S. Government classes at Springfield High School and one Advanced Placement U.S. Government class at Thurston High School in January 2011. Seventy seven students participated. Staff first gave a brief presentation on Willamalane and the Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan process. There was a brief class discussion, followed by an exercise designed to solicit input, and lastly students completed the Community Survey. Most students were 16-18 years old.

Input indicated that teens want more activities for their age group in our parks and facilities, particularly more active recreation. They feel parks often lack things for them to do. Springfield High School students valued a place to hang out with friends. They spent time discussing what an ideal teen hang-out spot would look like. Thurston High School students confirmed feeling like they lived in a separate community from the rest of Springfield.

**Complete results from the Teen Workshops are included in Appendix A-2: Public Input Results.** Teen survey responses have been cross-tabulated and are added to overall survey responses.

SHS students completing the Community Survey.

THS students and teacher completing the Community Survey.
PARK AND FACILITY ANALYSIS

To plan for future needs, it is important to understand the current system of parks, natural areas, and recreation facilities available to Willamalane Park and Recreation District residents. A park and facility analysis was conducted to:

- Document the type, number, and condition of parks and recreation facilities available to District residents today

- Analyze the ratio of facilities to population (current level of service), assess current and future needs; and provide a basis for the development of strategies and actions.

Methodology

A variety of methods were used to assess current and future park and recreation facility needs:

- Public Involvement: A comprehensive public involvement program involved over 2,000 people, including children and youth, in assessing needs (Appendix A-2, A-3, and A-4).

- Park and Facility Inventory: District parks, community recreation facilities, and indoor and outdoor recreation facilities were inventoried (Table 4 and Table 8).

- Park and Facility Classifications and Definitions: Based on the District’s current inventory and commonly used classification systems, such as those used by the National Recreation and Park Association, a classification system of parks and facilities was developed in 2002. The same classification system is proposed (Appendix A-1).

- Park and Facility Assessment: All District parks and facilities were visited, and input from key staff was gathered to assess their current condition and develop an understanding of the system of parks and facilities available to residents. The Park and Facility Assessment is included in Appendix A-5.

- Geographic Distribution Analysis: Maps were created to illustrate current park, recreation and natural areas resources and their distribution throughout the planning area (Maps 1-5).

- Standards Analysis: Standards are minimum - not maximum - goals for service. To establish standards for Willamalane, the current level of service provided was compared to standards of other agencies and historic NRPA standards. Community
demand was considered and standards for Willamalane were proposed for both parkland (*Table 5*) and recreational facilities (*Table 9*).

**District Parks**

District parks are heavily used – 70 percent of community survey respondents have used parks and facilities at least once in the last year.

Willamalane owns and manages 779 acres in 40 parks and properties. In comparison, Willamalane owned and managed 677 acres of parkland in 2002.

Park types include:

- Neighborhood Parks
- Community Parks
- Natural Area Parks
- Linear Parks
- Special Use Parks
- Sports Parks
- Undeveloped Parkland

*Table 4 Park Inventory: District Resources* includes park acreage and an inventory of the outdoor recreation resources included in each park, including the amount of multi-use paths and soft-surface trails. Also see *Map 3: Existing Park and Recreation Resources*. 
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARK</th>
<th>NUMBER OF PARKS</th>
<th>BASKETBALL COURT</th>
<th>HOOP PARKING</th>
<th>PICNIC TABLES</th>
<th>PLAYGROUND</th>
<th>TENNIS COURT</th>
<th>VOLLEYBALL COURTS</th>
<th>WATERFRONT</th>
<th>PATHS/TRAILS MILLAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neighborhood Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluebelle Park</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Gardens Park</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort (William S.) Memorial Park</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambrill Park</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Park</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper Lakeside Park</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesse Maki Memorial Park</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadows Park</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menlo Park</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pege Park</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pride Park</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Alcott Park</td>
<td>28.89</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin Park</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Elite Park</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorton Park</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyson Park</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Park</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamette Heights Park/Overlook</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>89.87</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island Park</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lively (Jack B.) Memorial Park</td>
<td>32.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamette Park</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamette Park/Overlook</td>
<td>14.21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61.62</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Natural Area Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastgate Woodlands/Alton Baker Park</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia-Pacific Park</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest Landing</td>
<td>21.13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>166.23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linear Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By-Gully Path</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWEB Path</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke Harvest Park</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Park Path</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West S Street Greenway</td>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Use Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheapside Park</td>
<td>60.76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorris Ranch Living History Farm</td>
<td>258.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Butte Park/Overlook</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Race Park</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huff (Wallace M Jr.) Memorial Park</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>240.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sports Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32nd St. Community Sports Park</td>
<td>28.95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Anti-Memorial Park</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guy Lee Park</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48.57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Undeveloped Parkland</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Homestead</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac Mountain Linear Park Property</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfeiffer Park Property</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19.11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>778.54</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amenities**:

- **A**: Full size basketball court
- **B**: Half size basketball court
- **C**: All street parking spaces
- **D**: Accessible parking spaces
- **E**: Boat trailer parking spaces
- **F**: Reservable picnic shelter
- **G**: Non-reservable picnic shelter
- **H**: Fixed picnic tables
- **I**: Movable picnic tables
- **J**: Temporary restroom
- **K**: Permanent restroom
- **L**: Formal football/soccer field
- **M**: Adult softball field
- **N**: Formal youth softball field (backstop w/ skinned field)
- **O**: Informal youth softball field (backstop only)

- *Paths and trails have hard surfaces and trails have soft surfaces.
- **W** Willamalane does not own the property.
- *Acreage calculations include only the portion of the property that WPRD is responsible for operating.
- **I**: Includes the 20-ft easement on non-Willamalane property. Portions of the path passing through Georgia-Pacific and Clearside Parks are already accounted for under these park acreages.

Italicized names indicate new parks or property since 2004.


**Parkland Standards Analysis**

For over 30 years, the National Recreation and Park Association has recommended standards for parks, recreation and natural areas which have been modified and adapted by agencies across the country. *Standards* are minimum - not maximum - goals for service. NRPA currently does not publish numerical standards, but recommends that agencies develop customized standards for their community (NRPA 1995). However, historic NRPA standards can still be used as a reference in park planning. *Level of Service* (LOS) describes the service currently provided by parks and recreation facilities.

Both standards and level of service are generally expressed as a ratio of number of facilities provided per 1,000 residents. To establish standards for Willamalane, the current level of service provided was compared to standards of other agencies and historic NRPA standards. Community demand was considered and standards for Willamalane were proposed for both parkland (*Table 5*) and recreational facilities (*Table 9*).

As *Table 5: Parkland Standards and Anticipated Need* illustrates, the total proposed overall standard for parkland is 14.00 acres per 1,000 residents, which is the same standard as in 2002, and similar to the average standard for comparable cities/districts. Based on the proposed overall standard of 14.00 acres per 1,000 residents, 160 acres of parkland (in addition to all existing acres) are currently needed. By 2030, 364 acres (in addition to all existing acres) will be needed. In addition to acquiring more parkland, Willamalane will also need to renovate and develop existing sites.

To allow the District the maximum flexibility in responding to the needs of residents, and to take advantage of land availability, an individual standard is not proposed for specific park types other than Neighborhood and Community Parks. Rather, a standard of 10.00 acres per 1,000 residents is proposed for all *Other Parkland* including:

- Natural Area Parks
- Linear Parks
- Special Use Parks
- Sports Parks

No standard is proposed for Undeveloped Parkland, as it is land proposed for future development in one of the above categories.

*Table 6: Parkland Standards for Comparable Communities* and *Table 7: Parkland Level of Service for Comparable Communities* are useful tools for comparing other communities’ parkland acreage and standards with Willamalane’s. While there are significant differences between communities, Willamalane’s proposed parkland standard (14 acres of parkland per 1,000 residents) is virtually the same as the *average* standard of seven comparable communities in Oregon and Washington.
When looking at actual levels of service for eight different communities, the acreage difference is greater: Willamalane’s current level of service (actual acres of parkland per 1,000 residents) is 11.6 acres, while the average level of service is 18.4 acres. These differences seem to be largely due to a few other communities’ large amount of Natural Area and/or Regional Park acreage. While these tables are useful tools, the trend is moving towards geographic distribution analysis of park and recreation needs.
TABLE 5 Parkland Standards and Anticipated Need Based on Acres Per 1000 Residents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park Type</th>
<th>Average Standards for Comparable Communities</th>
<th>Historic NRPA Standards</th>
<th>WPRD Existing Facilities</th>
<th>WPRD Acres</th>
<th>WPRD Existing Level of Service (acres/1,000 residents)</th>
<th>WPRD Proposed Standards (acres/1,000 residents)</th>
<th>Additional Acres Needed to Meet Proposed Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A = ((WPRD Existing Level of Service) x 1,000)</td>
<td>B = ((WPRD Proposed Standards) x 1,000) / Population</td>
<td>Current Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Parks</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>95.42</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>38.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Parks</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>61.62</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>72.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Parkland</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>621.50</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>48.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural-Area Parks</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>186.83</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Parks</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42.59</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special-Use Parks</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>343.11</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Parks</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.97</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>10+</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>778.54</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>159.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- See Park Classifications and Definitions
- Includes Bend, Salem, Corvallis, Albany, Medford, Roseburg, and Olympia (standards were not available from all agencies in all categories)

The 5.55 acre Pierce Park Property is currently undeveloped, but is planned to be developed as a Neighborhood Park, and is included in that category.
The 11 ac. Moe Mtn. Linear Park Property is currently undeveloped, but is planned to be developed as a Linear Park, and is included in that category.
The 3.06 ac. Gray Homestead is currently not open to public use, but future plans include the site to be used as a Special-Use Park, and is included in that category.
A generalized standard is proposed for Other Parkland (which includes Natural-Area, Linear, Special-Use, and Sports Parks).
Standards for recreation facilities are included in Table 9, Recreation Facility Standards and Anticipated Need.
In addition to quantitative standards, the District considers geographic distribution and public input when determining needs.
TABLE 6 Parkland Standards for Comparable Communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park Classification</th>
<th>Bend&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Salem&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Corvallis&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Albany&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Medford&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Roseburg&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Olympia&lt;sup&gt;G&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Avg.</th>
<th>WPRD&lt;sup&gt;H&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Park</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Classifications&lt;sup&gt;I&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>17.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>17.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>24.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>A</sup> Bend’s Parks, Recreation, and Green Spaces Comprehensive Plan (2005)

<sup>B</sup> Salem is under the process of updating the 1999 Comprehensive Park System Master Plan. No new standards have been adopted

<sup>C</sup> Corvallis’ Parks & Recreation Facilities Plan (2000)

<sup>D</sup> Albany Parks and Recreation Master Plan (2006)

<sup>E</sup> Medford Leisure Services Plan Update (2004)

<sup>F</sup> City of Roseburg Parks Master Plan (2008)

<sup>G</sup> Olympia Parks, Arts and Recreation (2010)

<sup>H</sup> Willamalane Park and Recreation District (WPRD)

<sup>I</sup> Other Classifications include: Regional Parks, Natural Areas, Linear Parks, Community Recreation Centers, Mini-Parks, Large Urban Parks & Special Use Parks
## TABLE 7  Parkland Level of Service for Comparable Communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Salem, ORB</th>
<th>Eugene, ORC</th>
<th>Roseburg, ORD</th>
<th>Medford, ORF</th>
<th>Olympia, WAH</th>
<th>Corvallis, ORG</th>
<th>Bend, ORI</th>
<th>Albany, ORJ</th>
<th>AVERAGE LOS</th>
<th>WPRD(^{d})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Parks</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Parks</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Parks(^{g})</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Use Areas</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Parks(^{c})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Parks</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Areas</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>27.78</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other(^{m})</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for All Park Types</strong></td>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>33.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>30.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.6</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) This table shows existing level of service for comparable communities in the Pacific Northwest

\(^{b}\) Value includes all park land within Salem Planning Area; data from Salem’s 2009 Draft Needs Assessment done by MIG

\(^{c}\) Eugene Parks and Recreation - Parks, Recreation & Open Space (PROS) Comprehensive Plan (2006)

\(^{d}\) Roseburg Parks and Recreation - City of Roseburg Parks Master Plan (2008)

\(^{e}\) Medford Leisure Services Plan Update (2005)

\(^{f}\) Olympia Parks, Arts and Recreation (2010)

\(^{g}\) Corvallis Comprehensive Plan (2000); Mini-Parks are not included in this estimate and Regional Parks are called "Large Urban Parks".

\(^{h}\) Bend data came from the Bend Parks, Recreation, and Green Spaces Comprehensive Plan (2005)

\(^{i}\) Albany Parks and Recreation Master Plan (2006)

\(^{j}\) Willamalane Park and Recreation District is unique in its classification of Sports Parks; other communities include this acreage in other park classifications

\(^{k}\) Salem’s classification is called "Large Urban Parks". Eugene’s classification is called "Metropolitan Parks"

\(^{l}\) Willamalane is the only community with a Sports Park Classification

\(^{m}\) Other Includes: Historical Area Parks & Mini-Parks
Neighborhood Parks

Neighborhood parks are intended to meet the day-to-day recreational needs of the surrounding neighborhoods. Consequently, it is important that they be located within safe and convenient walking distance of residences. For planning purposes, neighborhood park service areas are defined as residential areas generally within a half-mile radius of a park. In neighborhoods with steep slopes, the service area radius is reduced to one-quarter mile. The service areas are then reduced to account for busy streets, railroads and other barriers that may be dangerous for young pedestrians or bicyclists to cross.

The District currently provides 18 neighborhood parks ranging in size from less than one acre to 27 acres, totaling 90 acres of neighborhood parkland. This is up from 16 neighborhood parks totaling 57 acres in 2002. The proposed size for new neighborhood parks is a minimum of 5 acres.

Willamalane’s neighborhood parks are listed below. (Bold typeface indicates parks added to this category since 2002.)

- Bluebelle Park
- Douglas Gardens Park
- Fort (William S.) Memorial Park
- Gamebird Park
- James Park
- Jasper Meadows Park
- Jesse Maine Memorial Park
- Meadow Park
- Menlo Park
- Page Park
- Pride Park
- Rob Adams Park
- Robin Park
- Royal Delle Park
- Thurston Park
- Tyson Park
- Volunteer Park
- Willamette Heights Overlook/Park

Some of Willamalane’s neighborhood parks are not fully realizing their potential due to public access limitations, their small size, and under-development. Other sites could benefit from improvements to aging site furnishings and recreation facilities. Significant improvements to meet accessibility and playground safety standards have been made since 2002.

James Park in Glenwood is the only park in the southwest planning area; it was purchased in 1947 for $10. Today, it is cut off from residential neighborhoods by Franklin Boulevard, railroad tracks, and an industrial area. The only exception is the mobile home park immediately adjacent to the park.

Two neighborhood parks (Page and Douglas Gardens) are sited adjacent to elementary schools. The adjacency offers the potential for some shared use of public facilities.
Gamebird Park is adjacent to school district property currently being used by the Food for Lane County Youth Farm.

Several neighborhood parks have limited street frontage, and some are almost totally enclosed by neighbors’ back fences. Parks that have ample street frontage and good visibility (such as Jasper Meadows Park and Meadow Park) tend to be more heavily used and suffer less vandalism. Those that are hidden behind backyards are more likely to be misused and abused.

Willamalane’s three newest parks, developed since 2002, all have natural-areas included in their acreage. Two of the parks (Jasper Meadows and Rob Adams) are in new subdivisions, and were acquired through donation agreements with the developer of the subdivision. The third park (Volunteer) was acquired through a property trade with the Springfield Public Schools. In all three cases, partnerships made the acquisition and development of these parks possible.

Public Involvement Findings

According to the Community Survey results, neighborhood parks are the most highly used recreation facilities in the District. About 70 percent of survey respondents reported at least one visit to a neighborhood park in the past year. They are also the most frequently used recreation resource: more respondents visited a neighborhood park in their area 12 or more times a year than any other park or facility in the district.

Also in the community survey, develop smaller close-to-home neighborhood parks was identified as the third (out of seven) most important major project for Springfield. This matched survey results from 2002, as well as 2010 SummerFair Questionnaire responses.

About 70 percent of teen workshop participants used their neighborhood parks at least once a year (tied for first place with Island Park). And among those who used parks and facilities, they also visited neighborhood parks most frequently. However, teens indicated more strongly than other groups that what prohibited them from using parks more frequently was that there were not enough activities to do (20 percent), and they were too far away/not conveniently located (19 percent). Among teens, developing new neighborhood parks ranked only fourth (out of seven) of most important major projects for Springfield. This gives the impression that neighborhood parks would be more valued by this population if they had more recreational amenities attractive to teens.

Standards Analysis

Willamalane recognizes the contribution of other park types in meeting neighborhood park needs, as shown on Map 2, Neighborhood Park Service Areas. In addition to neighborhood parks, some community parks, natural area parks, special use parks, and sports parks serve a neighborhood-park function for adjacent residents. These parks include:
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- Island Park
- Lively (Jack B.) Memorial Park
- Willamalane Park
- Ruff (Wallace M. Jr.) Memorial Park
- Bob Artz Park
- Guy Lee Park
- Eastgate Woodlands
- Dorris Ranch

These parks typically border a residential neighborhood, and either have a playground or other significant recreational resources.

The current neighborhood parkland level of service District-wide is 1.42 acres per 1,000 residents (Table 5). This includes the District’s 18 existing neighborhood parks, plus Pierce Park Property, which is currently undeveloped, but identified as a future neighborhood park.

The average standard for comparable cities and districts in Oregon and Washington is 1.7 acres per thousand residents for neighborhood parks (with a high of 2.5 in Salem and a low of .75 in Olympia).

The 2002 Community Needs Assessment used 2 acres per thousand as the recommended standard, which is the same standard that was used in Willamalane’s 1999 Neighborhood Parkland Needs Assessment. The community demand for this park type continues to be high. Consequently, 2 acres per thousand is again the recommended standard.

An additional 39 acres of neighborhood parkland would be needed to meet that standard for the 2010 population of 67,031, and 68 acres by 2030 based on a projected population of 81,608. Assuming an average size of 5 acres, the equivalent of about 13 new neighborhood parks will be needed by 2030.

Geographic distribution of neighborhood parks was also considered. Map 4, Neighborhood Park Service Areas shows existing neighborhood park service areas and residential land underserved by neighborhood parks. Service areas are a half-mile around neighborhood parks or parks that serve a neighborhood park function. On steep hillsides, the service area radius is reduced to a quarter-mile. Other barriers such as busy streets, railroad tracks, or water bodies further reduce service areas.

Based on this analysis, residential areas under-served by neighborhood parks have decreased slightly since 2002, due to the addition of Rob Adams and Jasper Meadows Parks; however underserved areas still exist in each of the six planning sub-areas. In some of these areas, where there is no or little vacant land, partnerships with existing elementary schools could help meet the neighborhood park need. These underserved areas will be examined more closely when developing strategies and recommendations.
Community Parks

Community parks are larger parks that provide a wide-range of active and passive recreational opportunities for all District residents. They are large enough to accommodate large group activities. The average size for new community parks is 15 to 30 acres.

The District owns three community parks totaling almost 62 acres:

- Island Park
- Jack B. Lively Memorial Park
- Willamalane Park

Island Park (15 acres), on the Willamette River in the west planning area, is a lovely park at the edge of downtown. It is a place for community festivals and large events, such as the Springfield SummerFair, as well as day-to-day recreation activities. Improvements include a boat landing, playground, two reservable picnic shelters, stage, and restrooms. It also includes a multi-use paved path and bridge, making it possible for users to connect to trails in the West D Street Greenway and Alton Baker Park.

According to the community survey, after their local neighborhood park, more residents have been to Island Park than to any other park in the District.

Island Park has the potential to become the front room for the City of Springfield. The recently adopted Downtown Revitalization Plan, and the draft Glenwood Refinement Plan Update, call for improving connections to Island Park and opening it up more to downtown and Glenwood. Both plans emphasize opportunities for potential partnerships, which could enhance the District’s ability to further improve Island Park and connect it to the heart of the City.

Significant changes have been made since 2002 to the 33 acre Jack B. Lively Memorial Park (Lively Park), in the east planning area. At that time the only improvements at the site were to Splash! at Lively Park and associated parking. This lack of development did not allow the park site to fully serve its purpose as a community park. The park borders Thurston Middle School to the east and Cedar Creek to the north, and was well suited for additional passive and active recreation opportunities.

A recommendation in the 2004 Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan called for developing and implementing a master plan for the park. In 2005, the Lively Park Master Plan was adopted, and by 2010, the first phase of improvements was completed. The improvements include two large reservable shelters, a 9,000-square-foot playground, Springfield’s first dog park, and associated parking and site amenities. The second phase of improvements, which is identified as a high priority in the District’s five-year Capital Improvements Program, will include a permanent restroom, basketball and volleyball
courts and additional parking. These improvements, along with the additional phases in the master plan, will enable Lively Park to fulfill its potential as a community park.

*Willamalane Park* (14 acres), in the central planning area, contains Willamalane Park Swim Center, the Teen Center, a playground, basketball courts, tennis courts, a skatepark, and a softball field shared with the adjacent Springfield Middle School. The swim center was designed to accommodate future construction of a community center. However, in 1996, voters rejected a bond measure that would have funded the project. A health and wellness center in partnership with McKenzie-Willamette Hospital also has been proposed at the site, but remains unfunded.

Springfield’s first skatepark was completed in 2003 at Willamalane Park, and is very well used. The park’s aging and out of date play structure was replaced in 2010 with a new one, which has been very well received. The playground was also moved closer to the pool and parking to decrease potential conflicts of uses between it and the skatepark. A surveillance camera was installed. A complete Willamalane Park Master Plan remains to be developed.

*Public Involvement Findings*

According to the Community Survey and teen workshop results, these community parks are important community assets. Sixty-eight percent of survey respondents have visited Island Park at least once a year, followed by Lively Park/LPSC at 55 percent, and Willamalane Park/WPSC at 47 percent. Island Park’s high usage is likely due to the larger number of special events and concerts in the park, as well as the multi-use path.

Visitation appears to be up for all three of Willamalane’s Community Parks and both of its swim centers: In 2002 51 percent of survey respondents visited Island Park at least once a year, followed by Lively Park/LPSC at 48 percent, and Willamalane Park/WPSC at 43 percent.

Teen workshop participants were only slightly more likely to visit existing community parks than the population as a whole. However, they were significantly more interested in seeing *large, multi-use community parks* built in the future (ranked second out of seven choices).

Community Survey respondents ranked *large, multi-use community parks* sixth out of seven future projects.

SummerFair Questionnaire respondents ranked community parks higher than survey respondents: they ranked *Large Community Parks* second only after *Natural Area Parks* when asked about future major projects in Springfield.

Overall, building additional community parks appears to be a medium priority for public involvement participants, while use of existing community parks continues to grow.
Standards Analysis

The District currently provides 0.92 acres of community parkland per 1,000 residents (Table 5). Because the District’s population has grown while the amount of community parkland has stayed the same, this is slightly lower than the 2002 level of service, which was 0.99/1,000 residents.

Comparable standards for community parks average 2.9 acres per 1,000 residents, ranging from 5 acres/1,000 for Bend to 2 acres/1,000 for Albany (Table 6). The 2002 Community Needs Assessment used a standard of 2 acres/1,000. Partly in recognition of the fact that other types of parks help meet community park needs, this update again recommends a standard of 2 acres per 1,000 residents.

Based on that standard, 72 acres of additional community parkland would be needed to serve the 2010 planning area population, and 102 additional acres will be needed by 2030. At 15-30 acres per park, this would equal the need for approximately 4-5 additional community parks. This needs assessment recognizes that some of this community park need can be fulfilled through the development of other types of parks, such as sports parks and special-use parks.

Map 5, Community Park Service Areas, shows Willamalane’s 3 existing community parks and their service areas. For planning purposes, community park service areas are defined by a 2-mile radius. As shown in Map 3, based on the 2-mile service area radius, portions of the South, North, Central, and East planning sub-areas are underserved.

Development of community parks in the southern Jasper-Natron area (in the east planning area) or in the Gateway/RiverBend area (in the north planning area) would help meet this need. Also, further development of the 32^nd St. Community Sports Park, as called for in its master plan, would help meet the need in central Springfield.
Other Parkland

For the purpose of developing parkland standards, four park types have been combined in an “other parkland” category. They are:

- Natural Area Parks
- Linear Parks
- Special Use Parks
- Sports Parks

Acquisition of these types of parks is opportunistic in nature (i.e. the focus is on acquiring parks with unique features and/or the most value to the community) and because there is less precedence for specific quantitative standards. However, they are very different types of parks and merit individual discussion.

Natural Area Parks

Natural areas enhance the livability and character of a community by preserving natural amenities and open space. These parks also provide opportunities for passive outdoor recreation, such as bicycling, walking, bird watching and wildlife viewing. Willamalane’s existing natural area parks are listed below. (Bold typeface indicates parks added to this category since 2002.)

- Eastgate Woodlands/Alton Baker Park
- Harvest Landing
- Georgia-Pacific Park

The District currently provides 187 acres of natural area parkland, or 2.79 acres per 1,000 residents (Table 5). The Georgia-Pacific Park has been added to the natural area park category since 2002, when it was owned by Willamalane, SUB and city of Springfield, but not open to the public. Since then, the Middle Fork Path has been developed, and the public will be able to use a portion of the 125-acre park. All three natural area parks border a river.
In addition to the three natural area parks, other parks have natural areas within their boundaries, including:

- Bob Artz Park
- Clearwater Park
- Dorris Ranch
- Guy Lee Park
- Island Park
- Jasper Meadows Park
- Jesse Maine Park
- Kelly Butte
- Lively (Jack B.) Park
- Mill Race Park
- Rob Adams Park
- Ruff Park
- West D Street Greenway
- Willamette Heights

Natural area parks meet needs for passive recreation, and also preserve open space and habitat. Although natural area parks do not have extensive recreation facilities, natural areas do require active intervention and ongoing maintenance (e.g., invasive species management) to maintain their natural integrity.

Many of Willamalane’s existing natural areas could have additional recreation facilities that support outdoor recreation, such as seating and trails.

*Eastgate Woodlands*, in the west planning area, is a 40-acre park along the Willamette River on the western edge of Springfield. It is part of the 237-acre Whilamut Natural Area of Alton Baker Park. Eastgate Woodlands contains more than a mile of paved multi-use paths that connect to the West D Street Greenway and Eugene’s riverfront trail system. This park also contains one of the District’s boat landings, soft-surface trails (including Pre’s Trail), a canoe canal, a heron rookery, interpretive signage, and informal water access. It is very well used by commuters, dog walkers, runners, and to a lesser extent, boaters. The adjacent Heron Playground is included in the acreage for Eastgate Woodlands for the purpose of this analysis.

The District acquired *Harvest Landing*, in the north planning area, a 22-acre natural resource area along the McKenzie River, in 1964. The natural area park contains informal trails leading to the river. The adjacent boat landing is owned by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and maintained by Lane County. Access to the park is informal and limited.

The 125-acre *Georgia-Pacific Park*, in the south planning area, was purchased jointly with the City of Springfield and Springfield Utility Board from the Georgia-Pacific Company in 1994. There is an agreement between the co-owners to develop a management plan for the park. It has extensive frontage along the Middle Fork of the Willamette River, and the new Middle Fork Path travels along its former gravel maintenance road. The park has spectacular views of the river, and the Springfield Millrace travels along its north boundary. Unfortunately, the park also has large amounts of invasive species, primarily English Ivy and
Armenian blackberry (also known as Himalayan blackberry). The park has no street frontage, which is a constraint to future development; the only public access is along the Middle Fork Path.

Public Involvement Findings

Willamalane residents value their natural environment and outdoor recreation activities. When asked to identify their highest priority park and facility improvements, teen focus group participants identified natural area park as their third priority (out of seven); and among Community Survey respondents it was only their fourth priority.

However, among SummerFair Questionnaire respondents and Spanish-language survey respondents, natural area parks was their top priority (at twenty and thirty percent respectively).

In addition, natural area parks often support recreation activities that are among survey respondents’ top 20 favorite recreation activities, including: walking (1), bicycling (4), dog walking (10), hiking (12), wildlife watching (13), picnicking (15), fishing (16), and running (17).

When asked what types of outdoor recreation features are most needed in Willamalane’s parks, riverfront access points tied for first, at 18 percent. This is significant because all of Willamalane’s natural area parks have significant river frontage. In addition, rafting/drift boating and canoeing/kayaking ranked high among Spanish-language and teen survey respondents.

Related Plans and Studies

The City of Springfield, the City of Eugene, Lane County and Lane Council of Governments completed the Draft Inventory for the Metropolitan Natural Resources Study in October 2001. This study was part the local agencies’ response to Statewide Planning Goal 5, which requires all Oregon cities and counties to conserve natural areas and protect natural and scenic resources. The Draft Inventory accomplished the first step in the process of complying with Goal 5: establishing an inventory of wetlands, riparian and wildlife habitat resources. The location, quantity and quality of natural resources within the study area were determined.

For the entire Metro study area within the UGB, a total of 74 significant sites were identified. Within the Comprehensive Plan planning area, 26 sites were identified. These sites consist of wetland, riparian and upland environments. All three types also provide wildlife habitat. A number of these sites are within existing Willamalane parks, such as Guy Lee, Dorris Ranch, and park sites along the Willamette River. Subsequently, the City of Springfield adopted policies and regulations based partly on the 2001 inventory, and partly on its own inventory work, including its Springfield Wetlands Inventory. Sites from the 2001
inventory, the City’s inventories, as well as the floodway map and area water bodies are illustrated in Map 6, Natural Resource Opportunities.

Standards Analysis

For comparison purposes, Willamalane’s current inventory of 2.79 acres of natural area parks per 1,000 residents is lower than the average of 10.3 acres/1,000 residents for the 8 communities in Table 6. Comparable levels of service for natural area parkland range from 28 acres/1,000 residents in Medford to .6 acres/1,000 residents in Roseburg.

Natural Area Park opportunities are typically found where land has special characteristics like rivers, creeks, woodlands, and habitat. Therefore, opportunities for Natural Area Park acquisition are limited. In addition, Natural Area Parks are often part of a larger connected landscape of riparian areas, forests, or prairie, and are less likely to be distributed evenly throughout the community. As with the other park types in the “Other Parkland” category, no quantitative standard is proposed for Natural Area Parks. This is in part to allow maximum flexibility as opportunities arise to meet future community needs.
**Linear Parks**

Linear parks provide opportunities for trail-oriented activities, connect parks and other community facilities, and protect valuable natural resources. This park type can be incorporated into new housing development to enhance both property values and recreation opportunities. Linear parks may have a natural or landscaped character.

The District has 5 linear parks totaling 32 acres. This is three more linear parks than in 2002, and almost double the acreage.

Including the currently undeveloped Moe Mountain Linear Park Property (for the purpose of standards analysis), linear park acreage increases to 42.59 acres. This is a current level of service of 0.64 acres per 1,000 residents (Table 5).

Together, linear parks provide over 7.5 miles of multi-purpose paved trails, and a half mile of soft-surface trails (up from not quite 2 miles in 2002). Linear parks are listed below. (Bold typeface indicates parks added to this category since 2002.)

- By-Gully Path
- EWEB Path
- Lyle Hatfield Path
- Middle Fork Path
- West D Street Greenway

The By-Gully Path, in the west planning area, travels 1.3 miles between residential backyards and Hwy 126. It is lacking in support amenities, such as seating, landscaping, and signage. Since 2002, it has a connection at its east end to the Rosa Parks Path.

The 2.6-mile EWEB Path (north planning area) is owned by EWEB, but operated and maintained by Willamalane. Willamalane and the City successfully applied for stimulus funding to have the path surface and street crossings rehabilitated in 2009. Willamalane agreed to take over operation of the path once it was improved. It too is sited behind fences for much of its length, and lacks most support facilities, but it is highly used by both commuters and walkers.

Willamalane maintains the .7-mile Lyle Hatfield Path, in the north planning area, between the Sacred Heart Medical Center at RiverBend and the McKenzie River. It was developed in 2008 by PeaceHealth with the adjacent Medical Center. Pursuant to an agreement between Willamalane, the City of Springfield, and PeaceHealth, Willamalane operates the path, which is in a public access easement, but is not responsible for the adjacent landscaping. The Lyle Hatfield Path will eventually connect to a more extensive path system to the north.
The 2.4-mile first phase of the *Middle Fork Path*, in the south planning area, is partially on parkland owned by Willamalane and partially within a public access easement on non-Willamalane property. When the planned second phase is added, the path will be 4 miles in length. The first phase includes a trailhead at Clearwater Park with parking, a flush restroom, trail signage, seating, and bike rack. The terminus at Quarry Creek has a small picnic area with a kiosk and temporary restroom. There are benches every half-mile and mile markers on the 10-ft wide asphalt path. The second phase will be approximately 1.6 miles long and will extend from Quarry Creek to Dorris Ranch.

*West D Street Greenway*, in the (west planning area), is 0.6 miles long. It connects the north bank path system in Eastgate Woodlands/Alton Baker Park to the multi-use path in Island Park, with a brief on-street connection. It borders the main stem of the Willamette River, and a residential neighborhood, and is well-used by commuters, walkers, runners, and families.

Some community and natural area parks, such as Island Park and Eastgate Woodlands also serve a linear park function, providing multi-purpose pathways that connect people to schools, community facilities, and neighborhoods.

In addition to those provided by the District, the City provides off-street path opportunities, including the Rosa Parks Path and the McKenzie Levee Path.

See *Map 6Existing and Planned Multi-Use Paths and Bikeways*.

**Public Involvement Findings**

Paths and bikeways are extremely popular with planning area residents. There is a demand for more paths in the District, and existing paths are well used: almost 61 percent of both Community Survey respondents and teen focus group participants have visited off-street bike paths in the past year. This is up from 45 and 54 percent respectively since 2002. Fifty percent of Spanish-language survey respondents used off-street bike paths in the last year.

Survey respondents and SummerFair Questionnaire respondents selected *off-street bicycle paths and trails* as the second most-needed outdoor recreation facility in Springfield (out of 7). Teens and Spanish-language survey respondents ranked it less favorably, as the 5th and 6th most needed outdoor recreation facility.

There was more agreement when people were asked in a different way: In response to “How important are the following potential projects to your household?” *Expansion of the walking/bicycling path system* ranked the highest, in all categories of participants.
When the 2010 recreation survey participants were asked the same question, respondents rated expansion of walking/cycling trails second only to improvements and maintenance of existing parks and facilities.

Similar to natural area parks, linear parks often support recreation activities that are among survey respondents top 20 favorite recreation activities, including: walking (1), bicycling (4), dog walking (10), hiking (12), wildlife watching (13), and running (17).

**Standards Analysis**

For comparison purposes, Willamalane’s current inventory of .86 acres of linear parks per 1,000 residents is higher than the average of .3 acres/1,000 residents of the 5 communities in Table 7 to have a linear park category. Eugene was the only community with a higher level of service (at 1.3 acres/1,000 residents).

While no specific standard is proposed for linear parks, they should be a priority for the District based on strong public demand. Linear parks should be developed when opportunities present themselves, such as incorporating them into existing public rights-of-way, current parks, and new or existing residential areas, to create connections to natural areas, neighborhoods, and community facilities.
EXISTING AND PLANNED MULTI-USE PATHS & BIKEWAYS

LEGEND
- Planning Area
- District Boundary
- Sub Area Boundary
- Urban Growth Boundary
- City Limits
- School

* Current as of October 2010

Notes:
The on-street bicycle system includes striped bike lanes, signed bike routes and roads with wide shoulders.


Off-street multi-use paths may be included in or independent of linear parks.

Base data provided by City of Springfield and Lane Council of Governments (LCOG)

This map is illustrative and should be used for planning purposes only; it is not intended to depict exact locations or alignments.

Map 7
Existing and Planned Multi-use Paths & Bikeways
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Special Use Parks

Special use parks have unique features and/or uses. Willamalane has five parks in this category. They range in size from less than one-half acre to more than 258 acres, and include:

- Clearwater Park
- Dorris Ranch Living History Farm
- Kelly Butte Park/Overlook
- Mill Race Park
- Ruff (Wallace M. Jr.) Memorial Park

Including the undeveloped 3-acre Gray Homestead, the District provides 343 acres of special use parks, or 5.12 acres of special use parkland per 1,000 residents (Table 5).

Dorris Ranch, in the south planning area, is a living history farm with 75 acres of filbert orchards and 175 acres of natural resource area, including an oak woodland and riparian forest. It is located at the confluence of the Coast Fork and Middle Fork of the Willamette River, and is rich in both agricultural and natural history. The Ranch provides miles of soft-surface trails though its orchards and natural area.

Dorris Ranch is used for living history programming, District special events, summer camps, and community rentals. It is also used by residents and visitors for self-guided walks, running, and bird watching. Since 2002, a park management plan and master plan have been developed. The future western trailhead for the Middle Fork Path is located near its entrance.

Kelly Butte Park, in the west planning area, is an important community landmark and overlook. Although this site offers panoramic views of the City, the Willamette River and the Cascades beyond, it offers little else in terms of outdoor recreation opportunities.

Mill Race Park in the West sub-area is located across from the Springfield Chamber of Commerce. Designed and constructed with assistance by University of Oregon students, the less than half-acre park includes a pergola and interpretive displays featuring the historical significance of the Mill Race, gravel pathway, benches, and a Mill Race overlook. Its location on the edge of downtown makes it prone to vandalism.

Wallace M. Ruff Jr. Memorial Park is a young magnolia arboretum located on Cedar Creek, in the East planning area. The park includes magnolias collected over the years, as well as soft-surface paths, benches, and a natural area along Cedar Creek.
The park sits on the edge of the urban growth boundary, and the area surrounding the park is growing. Since 2002, a master plan for the park was developed and a special use permit was obtained from Lane County. A bridge across Cedar Creek has improved access to the park, but parking improvements remain to be completed.

The Friends of Ruff Park, a volunteer group, helps maintain and improve the park. With additional improvements, its function as a special use park will continue to be enhanced.

Clearwater Park is a former Lane County park located outside of the District's planning area on the Willamette River. There have been a variety of improvements to the site since 2002, including: acquisition of the property to the west, development of the new Mill Race channel, and its inlet just upstream of the boat landing, two new paved parking lots (one near the river for vehicles and boat trailers, and one at the Middle Fork Path trailhead), two new flush restrooms, a new well, signage, and the eastern portion of the Middle Fork Path.

A management plan for the park was completed in 2010, and a master plan is currently underway. Plans already call for an additional boat landing upstream of the new Mill Race inlet and expanded parking. In addition to a large riparian natural area, the site also includes areas potentially suitable to develop for active recreation.

Public Involvement Findings

Community residents value natural areas, paths and trails, and river access. When asked what types of outdoor recreation features are most needed in Willamalane’s parks, riverfront access points tied for first, at 18 percent. This supports the District’s plan to add a second boat landing to Clearwater Park.

Included in the top 20 most frequent recreation activities are: walking, dog walking, running, picnicking, bird watching, wildlife watching, hiking, fishing, and attending cultural events (as reported in the Community Survey). All of these activities could take place in some of the District’s special use parks.

While only 40 percent of survey respondents visited Dorris Ranch at least once in the past year, this is up from 22 percent in 2002.

When asked how important additional event, rental and educational opportunities at historic Dorris Ranch were, survey respondents rated it 2.6 (out of 5), or of medium importance.

Standards Analysis

Special use park development is generally opportunity-based. Though this park type contributes to overall District natural area standards, no individual acres-per-capita standard for this park type is proposed.
Sports Parks

The primary features of sports parks are facilities suitable for league and tournament sports. They may also have other park amenities, such as play areas or picnic facilities. Willamalane has three sports parks, an increase of one since 2002. They are listed below. (Bold typeface indicates parks added to this category since 2002.)

- Bob Artz Memorial Park
- Guy Lee Park
- 32nd Street Community Sports Park

Bob Artz Memorial Park is a softball complex located in the East planning area adjacent to property owned by the Springfield School District. The three fields are programmed by the District’s Recreation Services Division and used by adult softball leagues. The park also includes associated parking, restrooms, a concession stand, and a small play area. The play area is also used by the general public, and will receive more use as the surrounding residential land is developed and as the playground is expanded.

Guy Lee Park is located immediately south of Guy Lee Elementary School, in the north planning area. There is one multi-purpose sports field and two softball fields. In addition to the sport fields, there are four lighted tennis courts, a restroom, and a picnic area. Vacant school district property adjacent to the southeast portion of the park contains an ash wetland with informal trails. In 2005, Willamalane acquired a 1-acre parcel immediately east of the vacant school district property. The 1-acre parcel contains more ash wetland. A storm drainage channel maintained by the City of Springfield separates the sport fields from the tennis courts. Parking is available on the street and on the adjacent School District property when school is not in session. The chain link fence between the school and park limits joint use opportunities.

In 2004, Willamalane developed the first phase of the 29-acre 32nd Street Community Sports Park, in the south planning area. The park’s first 19 acres were acquired in partnership with the City of Springfield and developed with the help of Oregon Parks and Recreation Department’s Local Government Grants program. The sports park includes two artificial-turf multi-purpose fields, a play area, restroom, and associated parking. Future plans call for the development of a picnic shelter and two more fields.

In 2011, the District added 10 acres by acquiring the former Regional Sports Center, which was combined with the former Willamalane Community Recreation Center to form the Willamalane Center for Sports and Recreation (Willamalane Center). The Willamalane Center and the 32nd Street Sports Park together create a regional sports complex in the center of Springfield. After build-out, because of its broad range of recreational opportunities, it will also meet the need for a community park in that area.
Public Involvement Findings

In 2002, Community Survey and park and recreation fair participants selected *develop a sports park* as one of the top two major projects most needed in Springfield (out of 8). In 2004, the 32nd St Community Sports Park was developed.

In 2010, Community Survey participants were asked how important it was to *develop additional multi-purpose athletic fields at the 32nd St Community Sports Park*. They rated it on average 2.6 out of 5 (5 being most important), or of medium importance.

However, when asked to choose which park and recreation improvements are most important for our community (from a list of seven), participants ranked *parks with competitive sports fields* last. This is consistent with SummerFair Questionnaire participants, indicating that the need for new parks focusing mainly on competitive sports fields has been met.

Only 14 percent of survey respondents have been to Bob Artz Memorial Park in the last year; its single-use is likely a factor. This is only up slightly from 2002, when 10 percent of respondents had visited the park. In comparison, 31 percent of respondents visited the 32nd St Community Sports Park in the last year. Both sports parks are the least-used District parks, among those asked about.

Teenagers have more interest in sports parks than the community as a whole: Teen workshop participants gave a 3.5 (out of 5) rating to *developing additional multipurpose athletic fields at the 32nd St Community Sports Park*. In addition, teen participants ranked *parks with competitive sports fields first*, and *large, multi-use community parks* ranked second—the direct opposite of overall survey results. Interestingly, however, their usage of Bob Artz and 32nd Street sports parks is virtually the same as the rest of the community (14 and 30 percent usage).

Of the top 20 favorite activities, as reported by overall survey participants, only basketball (19th) and football (20th) would typically take place at sports parks. Teens identified the same favorite sports-park related activities: basketball (11th) and football (19th). Among Spanish-speaking participants, the list of sports park-related favorite activities is slightly longer: volleyball (2nd); adult softball (5th); and football (9th).

Standards Analysis

The District currently provides 49 acres of sports parks, or 0.73 acres per 1,000 residents (*Table 5*). This is up from .3 acres/1,000 residents in 2002, due to the addition of the 32nd St. Community Sports Park. Public involvement findings suggest a stronger demand for this type of facility among teens than the rest of the population. There is medium-level support for additional development of the 32nd Street Community Sports Park (or perhaps Bob Artz Park) rather than developing new sports parks.
Undeveloped Parkland

In addition to its developed parks, Willamalane owns three undeveloped properties planned for future park use. They are listed below. (Bold typeface indicates properties added to this category since 2002.)

- **Gray Homestead**
- **Moe Mountain Linear Park Property**
- Pierce Park Property

The *Gray Homestead* is a 3-acre property in the east planning area owned jointly by Willamalane and the City of Springfield. It contains Springfield’s oldest residence, which is currently being rented and is not open to the public. In 2006, an agreement was reached between Willamalane, the developer, and the City regarding future development the adjacent property, called Heritage Park. If the agreement, or a similar one, is implemented, Willamalane could potentially acquire a significant amount of natural area parkland connecting to the Gray Homestead. It is designated a future Special Use Park for the standards analysis.

Willamalane is in the process of acquiring the 11-acre *Moe Mountain Linear Park Property*, in the north planning area, through a donation, and is currently working with the National Guard to develop a path along the slough. A possible future extension of the path to Marcola Road and the McKenzie Levee Path is dependent on railroad cooperation. It is designated a future Linear Park for the standards analysis.

The *Pierce Park Property* is a 5.5 acre triangular-shaped property in the north planning area between the EWEB Bike Path and Briggs Middle School. It has limited street access from the end of a cul-de-sac. The master plan for the future Marcola Meadows subdivision includes a park adjacent to the Pierce property. There may be opportunities to work with the School District on future improvements to the Pierce Property as a neighborhood park, or as a wayside for the EWEB Bike Path. It is designated a future Neighborhood Park for the standards analysis.

No acres-per-capita standard is proposed for undeveloped parkland, as it is land proposed for future development in one of the other park categories.
District Facilities

This section is divided into three areas:

(1) *Community Recreation Facilities—Indoor*, which comprises Willamalane’s seven main indoor recreation facilities and their many components; (2) *Recreation Facilities—Outdoor*, which includes the smaller outdoor recreation facilities in Willamalane’s 40 parks; and (3) *Other District Facilities*, which includes facilities that don’t have recreation as their primary focus.

**Community Recreation Facilities--Indoor**

Community recreation facilities provide indoor recreation opportunities for District residents. The District owns five community recreation facilities including two swim centers, an adult activity center, and a community center. They are listed below. (*Bold typeface* indicates facilities added to this category since 2002.)

- *Splash!* at Lively Park
- Memorial Building
- **Richard E. Wildish Community Theater**
- Willamalane Adult Activity Center
- **Willamalane Center for Sports and Recreation**
- Willamalane Park Swim Center
- Willamalane Teen Center

Willamalane also manages **Camp Putt Adventure Golf** (southwest planning area) through a contract with the private owners. Willamalane has no ownership interest.

These facilities are important recreation resources for the community and also attract regional use. They contribute to community identity. For example:

*Splash!* at Lively Park (east planning area), is a 39,560-square-foot indoor natatorium with wave pool, wading pool, lap pool, spa, community room, and associated amenities. The facility was built in 1989 and has 149 dedicated parking spaces. It is a regional attraction. The 2005 Lively Park Master Plan includes a recommendation to add multi-use rooms to the facility to expand its capacity and allow Willamalane to offer programs and classes convenient to residents in the eastern portion of Springfield.
The **Memorial Building** in the central planning area was formerly Willamalane’s Community Center. It was replaced by the Community Recreation Center in 2006. Since then, it’s been rented to the Springfield School District for academic programming space for its two downtown high schools.

**Richard E. Wildish Community Theater**, in the central planning area, is in the heart of downtown. It is jointly owned by the City of Springfield, Willamalane and the Springfield School District. It was refurbished in 2006 by the Springfield Renaissance Development Commission. Willamalane manages the facility under the oversight of the Wildish Theater Board of Directors.

The **Willamalane Adult Activity Center (WAAC)**, in the west planning area, offers classes, activities and programs to adults aged 50 and older, and is frequently programmed to its capacity. Within its 20,310 square feet are multi-purpose rooms, restrooms, woodshop, lapidary/rock shop, pool room, computer lab, lounge, offices and kitchen. Its aging heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system is scheduled to be replaced in summer of 2011. Growth is constrained at its current location, therefore as the District grows, adult services will likely need to also be programmed in other facilities around the District.

**Willamalane Center for Sports and Recreation** is the result of combining the former Community Recreation Center (built in 2006 to replace the Administration Center and Memorial Building), and the adjacent former Regional Sports Center, which was acquired in December, 2010. The combined 97,100-square-foot facility contains six full basketball courts, multi-purpose rooms, small children’s playground, large gym space, indoor climbing wall, work out center, changing rooms, children’s classrooms/day care facilities, kitchens, storage space, offices, restrooms, and 255 parking spaces. It is located within the 32nd St Community Sports Park, in the south planning area.

**Willamalane Park Swim Center** is in Willamalane Park, in the central planning area, the site of Willamalane’s and Springfield’s first swimming pool. The 35,000-square-foot facility contains two multi-purpose rooms, a competition lap swimming pool, dive tank, sun deck, warm pool, changing room, and restrooms. The natatorium was rebuilt and the pools renovated in 2000 after a bond measure was passed. Improvements to the changing rooms are needed.

The **Willamalane Teen Center** is housed in a 1,000-square-foot building in Willamalane Park. It is attended primarily by Springfield Middle School students. Future plans for teen services are being reevaluated with the acquisition of the Willamalane Center and the possible closure of Springfield Middle School.

**Table 8: Facility Inventory** shows the size, acreage, parking and other amenities for the District’s indoor Community Recreation Facilities and Other Facilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITIES</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>AMENITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FACILITIES</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Building (acres)</td>
<td>Space (sq ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>table 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>table 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>table 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>table 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A = Off-street parking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B = Off-street parking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C = Accessible parking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D = Accessible parking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E = Kiddie Pool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F = Kiddie Pool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G = Water Slide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H = Water Slide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I = Wave Pool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J = Wave Pool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K = Diving Boards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L = Diving Boards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z = Sundeck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Grey numbers indicate that the acreage is also counted in Table 4: Park Inventory
* Grey numbers indicate that parking for the entire facility is also counted in Table 4: Park Inventory
* Multi-purpose rooms are rooms that are designed to serve more than one function (eg. No fixed conference table)
* Multi-purpose rooms are rooms that are designed to serve more than one function (eg. No fixed conference table)
* Meeting rooms are rooms have conference tables and are used exclusively for meetings
* The ownership of this facility is shared between WRPD, City of Springfield, and Springfield School District
* Teen Center parking is included in Willamalane Park Swim Center; acreage is included in Willamalane Park Swim Center
* Parking for the Park Services Center includes only the parking at the 36th St. entrance outside the gate. Additional parking for staff and work vehicles is available inside the gated area of the property.
Public Involvement Findings

When asked, “Which major park and recreation projects are most important for our community?” Indoor recreation facilities for a variety of uses ranked first (out of seven), among Community Survey participants. This validates Willamalane’s decision to acquire the Willamalane Center.

People love to swim: Swimming is survey participants’ second favorite activity (after walking). A higher percentage of people visit Splash! at Lively Park at least once a year than Willamalane Park Swim Center (WPSC) (55 to 47 percent respectively), but a much larger number of WPSC users visit frequently (more than 12 times/year). Both aquatics facilities get more use than other indoor community recreation facilities.

Other popular activities, that relate to community recreation facilities, are: exercise/aerobics (11), cultural events (14), crafts (18), and basketball (19).

The idea of adding indoor multipurpose fitness/wellness rooms at Splash! received medium support from survey respondents, who rated it 2.7 (out of 5). Support was stronger in the 2010 Recreation Survey when it was combined with other improvements: Future developments at Lively Park, such as an outdoor water play area, community rooms, fitness/wellness rooms received a 3.62 (out of 5) average rating.

Overall, survey results show that seniors feel they are well served by Willamalane. In 2002, seniors aged 55 and older were ranked 3rd when we asked what groups need more or improved recreation services? In 2010, adults 65 and older adults 50-64 ranked 6th and 7th, respectively.

In 2010 as well as 2002, teenagers ranked as the number one group that needs more or improved recreation services in survey results overall and among teens themselves (23 percent and 36 percent respectively). The group that needs more or improved recreation services, according to Spanish-speaking survey respondents, is disabled persons, at 20 percent.

Standards Analysis

Future need for indoor community recreation facilities was determined by analyzing the existing level of service, the demand noted in the Comprehensive Plan public involvement process, comparable standards, and the standards used in 2002.

The School District plays a large role in the community in providing indoor basketball courts. Therefore, School District facilities were included to calculate current and future need for these facilities.

As shown in Table 9: Recreation Facility Standards and Anticipated Need, a standard of 1 acre per 30,000 residents is used for Community Centers. This is the same standard that
was used in 2002. Based on this standard, there may be a need in the next 20 years for additional community center space, or the equivalent, in the east planning area. Plans are already in place for additional multi-use rooms at Splash! at Lively Park, which could serve some community center needs. This standard is also aligned with the fact that indoor recreation facilities for a variety of uses ranked high among survey participants.

No acres-per-capita standards are proposed for teen centers or adult activity centers. However, because programming space at WAAC and the Teen Center is often used to capacity, there will likely be a need to seek opportunities to maximize programming for these important groups in other facilities, including the Willamalane Center.

The proposed standards for indoor basketball courts and swimming pools are based on the existing levels of service for these facilities. There appears to be adequate amounts of both types of facilities to meet future demand.

Public input indicates strong support for the District continuing to collaborate with local and regional partners to help meet community recreation needs and avoid duplication of services.
Recreation Facilities--Outdoor

Willamalane also provides a variety of outdoor recreation facilities to support participation in specific recreation activities. The recreation facilities addressed in this report include:

- Basketball Courts
- Boat Landings and Fishing Access
- Community Gardens
- Dog Parks
- Outdoor Water Play Parks
- Playgrounds
- Skateparks
- Sports Fields: Football/Soccer
- Sports Fields: Adult Softball
- Sports Fields: Youth Softball
- Tennis Courts
- Trails – Paved, multi-purpose
- Trails – Soft-surfaced
- Volleyball, sand or grass

Outdoor recreation facilities in Willamalane’s existing parks were inventoried and are included in Table 4 Park Inventory: District Resources.

The proposed standards for these outdoor recreation facilities are summarized in Table 9: Recreation Facility Standards and Anticipated Need.

Public Involvement Findings

Community Survey respondents participate in a wide variety of recreation activities. Some of these directly relate to the outdoor recreation facilities in Willamalane’s parks. Numbers in parenthesis refer to participation ranking/favorite activity ranking. Only those activities in the top 20 are noted.

- Walking (2/1)
- Swimming (4/2)
- Bicycling (6/3)
- Exercise/aerobics (7/11)
- Playground (visit) (9/5)
- Gardening (12/8)
- Hiking/backpacking (13/12)
- Wildlife Watching (14/13)
- Dog walking/exercising (15/10)
- Fishing (18/16)
• Running/jogging (19/17)
• Bird watching (20)
• Basketball (23/19)
• Football (22/20)

Survey respondents ranked outdoor water playgrounds and riverfront access points (tied at 18 percent) as the most needed outdoor recreation feature among a list of seven features for Willamalane parks. SummerFair participants also rated an outdoor water park first, when choosing future recreation facilities.

Community gardens (15 percent) ranked the second most needed outdoor recreation feature by survey respondents. The desire for Community gardens has increased since 2002 when survey respondents ranked it six out of seven needed outdoor recreation features.

Teens favor large destination playground and riverfront access points (each 19 percent), closely followed by outdoor water playgrounds (18 percent), then somewhat surprisingly fenced-off-leash dog parks (16 percent).

Teens are more likely than overall survey respondents to want parks with additional competitive sports fields. Teens ranked it first, at 20 percent, while overall respondents ranked it last at 8 percent.

Development of paths and trails, as discussed in the linear park section, remain very popular.

Natural-area park opportunities appear more important to SummerFair questionnaire respondents and Spanish-language survey respondents, where it ranked first out of a list of seven projects.

In the teen focus groups, there was a strong support for basketball and volleyball in parks, as they can be played for free, and by a variety of age groups.
Standards Analysis

The future need for outdoor recreation facilities was determined by analyzing Willamalane’s existing level of service, the demand noted in the public involvement process, comparable levels of service and standards from other communities, and the standards used in 2002. This is illustrated in Table 9: Recreation Facility Standards and Anticipated Need, and Table 10: Recreation Level of Service and Standards in Comparable Communities.

Springfield Public Schools also plays a large role in providing sports fields, playgrounds, and other recreation facilities. Therefore, SPS facilities were included in the existing facility inventory to calculate current and future need for these facilities, even though these facilities are not controlled by Willamalane nor open for public use during school hours.

As with parkland acres-per-capita standards, the proposed recreation facility acres-per-capita standards are only one of the tools Willamalane uses in determining strategies and actions for the next 20 years.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Oregon Average Standards&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Historic NRPA Guidelines</th>
<th>Existing Facilities</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Proposed Standards (WPRD, SD19, Other Public Agencies)</th>
<th>Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WPRD SD 19&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt; Other Public Agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTDOOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Water Play Park&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 / 1,900</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1 / 2,031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skateparks</td>
<td>1 / 27,471</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 67,031</td>
<td>1 / 27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Field Football/Soccer</td>
<td>1 / 10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 67,031</td>
<td>1 / 27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Field Softball - Adult</td>
<td>1 / 10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 67,031</td>
<td>1 / 27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Field Softball/Baseball - Youth</td>
<td>1 / 20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 67,031</td>
<td>1 / 27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Court</td>
<td>1 / 8,400</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 67,031</td>
<td>1 / 27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path, Paved Multipurpose&lt;sup&gt;G&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>miles</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail, Soft-surfaced&lt;sup&gt;G,H&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>miles</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball, Sand or Grass</td>
<td>1 / 5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 16,758</td>
<td>1 / 14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDOOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 67,031</td>
<td>1 / 30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teen Center&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 67,031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Activity Center&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 67,031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Basketball/Volleyball&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 67,031</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pool, Indoor</td>
<td>1 / 20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 / 33,516</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>A</sup> Oregon Average includes standards from Bend, Corvallis, Albany and Roseburg planning documents.

<sup>B</sup> Springfield School District facilities are not necessarily open to the general public, and the quality of the inventory was not evaluated

<sup>C</sup> Basketball courts were combined; half courts were counted as 0.5 and full courts were given a value of 1.

<sup>D</sup> No numerical standard is proposed. Consider acquiring additional riverfront land for boating/fishing access as opportunities arise.

<sup>E</sup> No numerical standard is proposed, but high demand; slated for future development in Lively Park Master Plan

<sup>F</sup> No numerical standard is proposed. Continue practice of developing one at each neighborhood and community park, and other park sites, where appropriate.

<sup>G</sup> Path & trail Standards are calculated as miles / 1,000 people

<sup>H</sup> No numerical standard is proposed. Develop in natural area parks and other park types where appropriate

<sup>1</sup> No numerical standard is proposed. Examine opportunities for providing additional teen services/facilities

<sup>2</sup> No numerical standard is proposed. Examine opportunities for providing additional adult/senior services at multiple facilities

<sup>6</sup> 6 WPRD courts at Willamalane Center; 1 at Memorial Building
### TABLE 10 Recreation Level of Service and Standards in Comparable Communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities</th>
<th>Salem&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Bend</th>
<th>Corvallis</th>
<th>Albany</th>
<th>Roseburg</th>
<th>AVERAGE</th>
<th>Existing LOS</th>
<th>2004 Standard</th>
<th>Proposed Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Football &amp; Soccer</td>
<td>1/ 4,479</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>1/ 1,671</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball combined</td>
<td>1/ 3,240</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>1/ 3,328</td>
<td>1/ 1,650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball - Full Court</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/ 1,650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball - Half Court</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/ 1,650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Garden</td>
<td>1/ 69,883</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>1/ 34,942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>1/ 76,145</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>1/ 15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Water Play Park</td>
<td>1/ 25,382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/ 15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>1/ 152,290</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>1/ 34,942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skateparks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/ 34,942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football Field</td>
<td>1/ 11,715</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>1/ 5,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer Field</td>
<td>1/ 7,252</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>1/ 1,422</td>
<td>1/ 950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Softball/Baseball</td>
<td>1/ 2,873</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>1/ 1,473</td>
<td>1/ 1,197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Field Softball - Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/ 1,473</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Field Softball - Youth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/ 1,473</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Court</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road/Trail Combined (miles per 1,000 people)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path, Paved Multipurpose (miles per 1,000 people)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Tables</td>
<td>1/ 613</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>1/ 688</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>A</sup> Information in this table was found in Salem's 2009 Draft Needs Assessment done by MIG. No standards are provided in the 1999 adopted plan, or in the draft 2009 plan, only level of service.
Other District Facilities

Other District facilities include the Park Services Center, headquarters of Willamalane’s Park Services Division, and four rental houses: at Dorris Ranch (2), West D Street Greenway, and the Gray Homestead.

The Park Services Center is a well organized, centrally located facility that is reaching its capacity. As the District makes improvements to its parks and develops new ones over the next 20 years, additional property may be needed to house the Park Services Division, its fleet of vehicles, shops, storage, staff offices, and meeting rooms. Improvements have made to the facility since 2002 to improve the entrance and bring the site into compliance with City codes.

Other Providers

In addition to facilities provided by Willamalane Park and Recreation District, area residents have access to recreation facilities provided by others.

Springfield Public Schools

School district facilities can be used by the public when they are not being used for school-related activities. Continuing to collaborate with SPS to increase community use of its significant recreation and natural areas (about 300 acres) and numerous recreation facilities should continue to be a priority for Willamalane.

City of Springfield

The City of Springfield owns Pioneer Cemetery Park, in the south planning area, the Rosa Parks Path, a 2.5 mile-long multi-purpose rail-trail, traveling from downtown Springfield to Hayden Bridge Road. Since 2002, the City developed the McKenzie Levee Path, from Marcola Road heading south for one mile just east of 42nd St. on an abandoned Weyerhaeuser railroad right-of-way.

The City owns other natural areas, including the Weyerhaeuser-McKenzie Nature Reserve and the Booth-Kelly Mill Pond. Willamalane and the City collaborated on the Mill Race Ecosystem Restoration Project, which begins at the new inlet to the Mill Race at Clearwater Park, and ends at the Booth-Kelly Mill Pond site. Recreational amenities (e.g., paths, boardwalks, seating) will be included at the restored Mill Pond site. Willamalane staff currently help maintain Pioneer Cemetery Park and Rosa Parks Path. Willamalane staff also work with the City on other collaborative efforts, such as plans for revitalizing downtown and Glenwood.
Adjacent Jurisdictions

District residents also have access to Lane County’s Howard Buford Recreation Area (Mt Pisgah) as well as other County parks and boat launches. City of Eugene parks and recreation facilities, like Amazon Pool, Alton Baker Park, and the Ridgeline Trail also provide nearby park, recreation and natural area opportunities for planning area residents.

Other regional providers include Oregon Parks and Recreation Department, the U.S. Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management. A new opportunity is the Nature Conservancy’s acquisition of the Willamette Confluence property adjacent to Mt Pisgah, and across the Middle Fork Willamette River from Clearwater Park, the Middle Fork Path, and Dorris Ranch.

It will serve the District well to continue to strengthen partnerships with these and other providers and to evaluate future park and recreation needs with a regional perspective in mind.
Park and Facility Assessment Summary

The purpose of the Park and Facility Assessment is to provide detailed documentation of the system of parks and facilities available to District residents. Staff gathered information on each park and facility to assess its current condition, identify deficiencies or problems, and document improvements to consider. The Park and Facility Assessment will be used to develop recommendations and actions for the Comprehensive Plan regarding improvements to Willamalane’s existing parks and facilities.

In order to develop the assessment, staff visited all District parks and facilities, reviewed past inventory and assessment work, and gathered input from key staff in the Park Services and Recreation Services Divisions and Planning and Development Department.

Key findings relating to Willamalane’s Park and Facility Assessment are discussed below. For a detailed discussion of specific parks and facilities, see Appendix A-5 Park and Facility Assessment.

Maintenance and Operations

Park Services staff takes professional pride in the parks and natural areas they manage and maintain. Eighty-seven percent of survey participants are very or extremely satisfied with park and facility maintenance (chose 4 or 5 out of 5). Teens are a tougher audience: Only 61 percent chose very or extremely satisfied.

As in 2002, survey results indicate that maintaining what the District currently has is important to the public. In the 2010 Recreation survey, respondents ranked improve/maintain existing parks and facilities as the most important to their household, from a list of five potential Willamalane projects.

The Park Services Division (PSD) has been fortunate to be able to grow along with the District’s park maintenance responsibilities: Since 2002, PSD staff have increased by 11 full-time-equivalent (FTE), while parks have grown by approximately 110 acres. The acquisition of the Willamalane Center will require significant additional maintenance.

If reductions in park maintenance were necessary, Community Survey respondents said overwhelmingly that Willamalane should increase volunteer involvement in maintenance (31 percent). They also overwhelmingly did NOT favor closing restrooms (one percent) or reducing general clean up (one percent) in order to reduce park maintenance costs. They were most likely to support mowing the grass less frequently (23 percent) or reducing watering of the grass (21 percent).
**Illegal Activities**

Alcohol consumption, security, vandalism and transients continue to be problems in some parks, as reported in the Park and Facility Assessment.

**Site Furnishings**

Benches, picnic tables, trash cans and signage vary throughout the District. There are no formalized standards for site furnishings in District parks. Most picnic tables are un-fixed, on grass, and not accessible. Directional signage is not provided to many parks and facilities, and interior park signage at larger parks is inconsistent. A new park entrance sign style is being phased in throughout the District.

**Plantings**

In most developed parks, plantings are primarily lawn and trees. Shrubs and perennials are used sparingly for visual interest, to mitigate problems such as steep slopes, and to provide buffers.

**ADA Accessibility**

To comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act and to maintain eligibility for federal grants, the District updated and adopted its ADA transition plan in 2005. The plan includes a list of accessibility improvements, which the District implements annually. Accessibility has improved significantly since 2002.

**Other Access Barriers**

Many parks have visual access barriers, where they are developed behind homes, fences, or with minimal street frontage. Visual access, as well as good pedestrian access from adjacent neighborhoods will decrease illegal or nuisance use in parks. Other impediments to access include lack of bus routes, safe crossings, etc.

**Natural Area Management**

The District owns and manages almost 200 acres of natural areas, but lacks a coordinated plan for natural area management, which hampers staff’s ability to prioritize and manage this important resource. A District-wide natural area management plan is scheduled for development in 2011.

**Cultural Resources**

The District owns significant historical resources at Dorris Ranch and the Gray Homestead. The Dorris Ranch master plan was updated in 2009. It recommends clustering new improvements in the northern part of the site, outside the portion that is included in the National Register of Historic Places. It also recommends preservation of the character of
the historic orchards and farm roads in the interior of the site, restoration of the historic Dorris House, and building an interpretive center approximately in the location of the Briggs House, which was the original Dorris family residence on the property. Specific plans have not yet been developed regarding how to use and manage the historic Gray Homestead, in the east planning area.

Aging Facilities

The District’s community recreation facilities are aging, and significant deferred maintenance can be expected in the future, primarily at Splash! at Lively Park and Willamalane Adult Activity Center. While these facilities have reserve funds for major capital improvements, they should be evaluated to determine whether funding is sufficient.
Park and Facility Analysis Key Findings

- Willamalane owns and manages 779 acres in 40 parks and properties. In comparison, Willamalane owned and managed 677 acres of parkland in 2002.

- Willamalane owns and manages seven community recreation facilities, up from five in 2002. Willamalane also manages one additional recreational facility with no ownership interest.

- The District’s proposed overall standard for parkland is 14.00 acres per 1,000 residents, which is the same standard as in 2002, and similar to the average standard for comparable cities/districts.

- Based on 14.00 acres of parkland per 1,000 residents, 160 acres of parkland (in addition to all existing acres) are currently needed. By 2030, an additional 364 acres will be needed. In addition to acquiring more parkland, Willamalane will also need to renovate and develop existing parks.

- While standards are useful tools in identifying needs, the trend is moving towards more of a focus on geographic distribution analysis of park and recreation needs. Maps 2-5 are important tools for this analysis.

- According to Community Survey results, neighborhood parks are the most highly used recreation facilities in the District. About 70 percent of survey respondents reported at least one visit to a neighborhood park in the past year.

- Neighborhood parks are also the most frequently used recreation resource: more respondents visited a neighborhood park in their area 12 or more times a year than any other park or facility in the district.

- Based on retaining the current standard of 2 acres per 1,000 residents for neighborhood parks, an additional 68 acres of neighborhood parkland will be needed by 2030. Assuming an average size of 5 acres, this is the equivalent of about 13 new neighborhood parks.

- Developing additional community parks appears to be a medium priority for public involvement participants, while use of existing community parks continues to grow.

- Based on retaining the current standard of 2 acres per 1,000 residents for community parks, 102 additional acres of community parkland will be needed by 2030. At 15-30 acres per park, this would equal the need for approximately 4-5 additional community parks. Development of other park types (such as sports parks or special use parks) can help meet this need.
• Natural Area Parks are often part of a larger connected landscape of riparian areas, forests, or upland, and are less likely to be distributed evenly throughout the community than other park types. As with the other park types in the “Other Parkland” category, no quantitative standard is proposed for Natural Area Parks. This is in part to allow maximum flexibility as opportunities arise to meet future community needs.

• Paths and linear parks are extremely popular with planning area residents. There is a demand for more places to walk and bicycle, and existing paths and linear parks are well used. *Walking for pleasure* is the favorite recreation activity, among survey respondents, and *bicycling* is fourth.

• While no specific standard is proposed for linear parks, they should be a priority for the District based on strong public demand.

• Teenagers have more interest in sports parks than the community as a whole: Teen participants ranked *parks with competitive sports fields* first, and *large, multi-use community parks* ranked second (out of seven)—the direct opposite of overall survey results.

• Community Survey respondents consider *indoor recreation facilities for a variety of uses* the most important major project for our community (from a list of seven). This validates the District’s decision to acquire the Willamalane Center.

• Community Survey respondents most favor *outdoor water playgrounds* and *riverfront access points* (tied for first) among a list of seven outdoor recreation features needed in Willamalane’s parks. *Community gardens* rank second, up from last in 2002.

• In teen workshops, there was strong support for additional recreational amenities for teens in parks, such as basketball and volleyball.

• It will serve the District well to continue to strengthen partnerships with public, private, and non-profit park and recreation providers, and to evaluate future park and recreation needs with a regional perspective in mind.

• People like how we are maintaining our parks and facilities. Eighty-seven percent of survey respondents are *very or extremely satisfied* with park and facility maintenance (chose 4 or 5 out of 5).
RECREATION SERVICES ANALYSIS

Methodology

This section reviews current recreation programs and services offered by the District. It then identifies current and future needs through 2030, and provides a basis for the development of strategies and actions for the Willamalane Park and Recreation Comprehensive Plan.

Data used in the development of this analysis included results from the following:

- Community Survey (Fall 2010)
- SummerFair Questionnaire (July 2010)
- Teen workshops (January 2011)
- Recreation Survey (Spring 2010)
- Cost Recovery Model (January 2008)
- Recreation Services Division staff input (Fall-Winter 2010)

Current Level of Service

The District provides programs and services throughout its parks, two swim centers, Dorris Ranch, Adult Activity Center, Willamalane Center, Wildish Community Theater, Springfield Public Schools Facilities, and through public-private partnerships (e.g. Camp Putt). Following is a list of Willamalane’s primary programs and descriptions.

Youth Programs

- **Preschool**: Morning and afternoon preschool program for youth ages 3-5. The program includes academic and recreation enrichment.

- **Youth Camps and Before/After School Programs**: Fee-based full day, before and after school, and out-of-school programs for pre-kindergarten through eighth grade youth that, along with the school day, provide a full day (as early as 7 am and as late as 6 pm) of recreation and academic enrichment for youth at various sites.

- **Classes/Programs**: Willamalane trains and hires staff and contracts with local agencies (and volunteers) to implement instructional classes for youth. Classes are registration or drop-in based.

- **Sports**: Fee-based recreation level sports for youth that typically occur after school and summer that take place at various sites.

- **Summer Playground Program**: Serves youth in kindergarten through fifth grade at various park sites each summer, at little to no cost to participants. The program includes academic and recreation enrichment. Many sites also serve lunch prior to or after the program through a partnership with Food for Lane County.
Adult Programs
- **Classes/Programs**: Willamalane trains and hires staff and contracts with local agencies (and volunteers) to implement instructional classes for adults. Some classes require registration; others may be used on a drop-in basis.
- **Leagues**: Fee based recreation and competitive level sport leagues for adults that take place at various sites.
- **Adaptive Recreation**: Programs for adults, ages 18+ with disabilities.

Senior Programs
- **Trips**: Day, overnight, and extended trip programs for adults 50 and over.
- **Classes/Programs**: Willamalane trains and hires staff and contracts with local agencies (and volunteers) to implement instructional classes for seniors. Some classes require registration; others may be used on a drop-in basis.
- **Services**: These programs are offered for adults aged 50 and over. As such, they frequently serve frail or at-risk populations. These services typically compliment other programs or events.

Aquatics
- **General Admission**: Entry to Willamalane Pool and *Splash!* at Lively Park for play swims, lap swims, and other general programming. This is the largest program area for Willamalane.
- **Swim Lessons**: Serves youth and adults to develop swimming skills. The District also provides free swim lessons to 4th and 6th graders annually.
- **Classes**: Willamalane trains and hires staff and contracts with local agencies to implement instructional aquatic classes. Classes are registration or drop-in based.

Special Events
- **District Events**: Willamalane implements a variety of special events each year. Events take place at sites around the District, and serve diverse populations. Examples of typical special events include Haunted Hayride, mEgga Hunt, Vinyards and Violins, Movies in the Parks, and Springfield SummerFair.

Rentals:
- **District Rentals**: Rental opportunities include park shelters, sport fields, gymnasiums, pools, classrooms, and other designated rental facilities. Rental capability is available year-round when not in use for Willamalane programs. The rental program is designed to generate revenue.

*Table 11* shows a program participation overview for fiscal year 2010.
### Program Participation FY10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>Visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Youth Programs</td>
<td>Preschool</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>17,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kids Club</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>34,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Camps</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>7,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classes/Programs</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>2,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>1,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer Playground</td>
<td>1,068</td>
<td>2,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dorris Ranch Liv Hist Progs</td>
<td>2,475</td>
<td>2,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Programs</td>
<td>Classes/Programs</td>
<td>1,209</td>
<td>5,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leagues</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>24,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adaptive Recreation</td>
<td>1,136</td>
<td>3,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Programs</td>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classes/Programs</td>
<td>8,944</td>
<td>54,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services</td>
<td>4,656</td>
<td>13,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatics</td>
<td>WPSC General Admission</td>
<td>18,840</td>
<td>62,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPSC General Admission</td>
<td>75,248</td>
<td>105,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swim Lessons</td>
<td>3,530</td>
<td>26,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>3,610</td>
<td>18,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Events</td>
<td>District Events</td>
<td>12,060</td>
<td>16,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rentals</td>
<td>District-wide Rentals</td>
<td>2,508</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>136,823</strong></td>
<td><strong>392,860</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Recreation Services Division

Table 11: Program Participation FY10
It is difficult to compare FY10 program participation numbers with those from the 2002 Community Needs Assessment. Previous data was from 2000-2001. At that time, program participation information was not tracked in a systematic way and was not centralized. The District began using Class registration software in 2005. Through this software, all participation information is tracked through a centralized database. The data is analyzed regularly to monitor participation rates. This centralized database will provide a reliable benchmark of program participation in the future.

Fee-based program participation specifically for youth out-of-school programming has declined in the last few years due to the poor economy and programming adjustments have been made in order to be consistent with Cost Recovery Model goals, described below.

**Cost Recovery Model**

In 2008, the District established a Cost Recovery Model to develop a methodology for determining the appropriate percent of cost recovery for current and future services. The Cost Recovery Model provides guidance for determining which facilities and services should be supported by user fees, and to what degree, and provides a method to ensure that current services are priced at a level commensurate with the Board’s objectives for cost recovery. Cost recovery refers to that amount of revenue that the District takes in from fees, charges, and alternative funding in proportion to operational expenditures allocated from the General Fund.

Based on the mission of the District, all programs, services and facilities were sorted into categories. Once the categories and definitions were established, the categories were sorted into the five levels of a Cost Recovery Pyramid based on who they benefited. Those categories ranged from benefiting the *Community as a Whole* (bottom of the pyramid), to programs and services serving only an *Individual* benefit (top of the pyramid). See **Figure 3, Willamalane Park and Recreation District Pricing and Cost Recovery Pyramid**.
Cost recovery goals were then identified for each level of the Pyramid to assist in future planning and determination of appropriate pricing. These goals are expressed as averages on the Cost Recovery Pyramid. It is not anticipated that each program and service in a designated level will reach this average, some may exceed and some may fall short. The overall cost recovery for all programs and services in a given level should, however, meet or exceed the assigned minimum goal. Minimums range from zero cost recovery (or free) for those programs and services in the foundational Community level such as Non-monitored and non-programmed park and recreation facility use to direct cost recovery of 200 percent for the Highly Individual level, such as Merchandise for Re-sale and Private Instruction.
Issues Identified Through the Cost Recovery Model Process

The following issues were identified as driving the process for developing the Cost Recovery Model:

- Lack of understanding and consensus on mission-led core services
- Lack of understanding and consensus among staff, board members, partners, and residents about what the District’s philosophy, policies, and procedures are in regard to pricing and cost recovery
- Need to address current challenges:
  - Increasing operations and maintenance costs
  - Increasing employee benefits costs
  - Growing park and recreation system (recent acquisition of the theater, parks, and neighboring community’s facilities)
  - Changing demographics of the community
  - Aging facilities with increasing maintenance costs
  - “Silo” effect amongst the District’s different Divisions
- Need to strategically plan for the future
- Need to foster entrepreneurial and partnership spirit
- Need to shift subsidy for operations, maintenance, and new development opportunities

Implementation of the Cost Recovery Model

Each year staff reviews the success of District programs and services based on the Cost Recovery Model. This includes comparing the cost recovery of District programs and services against established goals for each level of service. In Fiscal Year 2010 (the first full fiscal year of the new model), goals for cost recovery were met or exceeded for four of the five levels:

- Level 1, Community – 0% Target; 0% Actual
- Level 2 Community/Individual – 65% Target; 81% Actual
- Level 3 Individual/Community – 100% Target; 121% Actual
- Level 4 Mostly Individual – 150% Target; 162% Actual
- Level 5 Highly Individual – 200% Target; 138% Actual

The Cost Recovery Model used the FY 2006 General Fund Budget as its baseline data. At that time, the District’s overall cost recovery was 30.5 percent (not including debt payments/transfers or contingencies) for providing high-level park and recreation services. In the FY 2011 budget, Willamalane’s anticipated District-wide cost recovery is 29 percent, a difference of 1.5 percent.
The District will continue to evaluate whether programs and services are meeting the levels of service goals in the District’s Cost Recovery Model, with the expectation that all goals are achieved in the future. Programs and services will be evaluated in an ongoing basis in order to keep them responsive to changing community needs and aligned with the District’s cost recovery goals.

See the Management and Operations chapter for more information on recreation services fees and charges.

**Other Changes in Recreation Services**

Since 2002, the District has placed an increased emphasis on innovative public/private partnerships and collaboration with other public agencies. Namely:

- Willamalane and Springfield Public Schools collaborate on federal grants to provide funding for before and after-school programs. Programs are housed in SPS facilities and primarily run by Willamalane staff.

- Willamalane is now responsible for coordinating middle school sports programs, previously a responsibility of SPS staff.

- Willamalane is now responsible for programming and managing the Wildish Community Theater. Overall Theater operations are overseen by the Wildish Theater Board of Directors, with members from SPS, Willamalane and Springfield Renaissance Development Corporation.

- In 2009 Willamalane assumed responsibility for management of Camp Putt Adventure Golf, owned by the Roth family (Havin’ Fun, Inc).

Willamalane is striving to collaborate closely with other groups, businesses, and agencies to expand programs, avoid duplication of services, and stay aligned with cost recovery goals.

**Public Involvement Findings**

**Barriers to Access**

In the Spring 2010 Recreation Survey, 30 percent of respondents said *cost* limited their household’s use of Willamalane programs or activities. 17 percent said *programs are not of interest*, and another 17 percent said *hours of operation* limited their use. This contrasts with the results of the Fall 2010 Community Survey, in which only 7 percent of respondents said *cost is too high* prevented them from visiting parks and recreation facilities in the Springfield area more frequently. In both surveys, *not enough time* was the most frequent response.
For Spanish-speaking survey respondents, cost is the biggest reason they don’t visit parks or recreation facilities more (24 percent). For teenagers, there’s *not enough activities to do* (20 percent) in Springfield-area parks and recreation facilities.

**Underserved Populations**

In the Fall 2010 Community Survey, 23 percent of respondents said Teenagers (13-17) were the group who needed more or improved recreation services in the Springfield area, followed by Youth (6-12) and Adults (18-49) both at 14 percent. In 2002, Teenagers were also the top vote-getters, but *Seniors over age 55* were third, with 13 percent of responses.

In 2010, *Adults 50-64* and *Seniors 65 and older* dropped to 6th and 7th, with only 8 percent of responses.

**Recreation Program Opportunities**

In the Fall 2010 Community Survey, when asked “Which major park and recreation projects are most important for our community?” the top-ranked choice was *indoor recreation facilities for a variety of uses* at 18 percent.

Among Spanish-speaking survey respondents, *natural area parks for nature-based recreation* (29 percent) and *large, multiuse community parks* (19 percent) ranked as the top two *major park and recreation projects* for our community.

In both surveys, *expansion of walking/cycling trails* and *off-street bicycle paths and trails* ranked high in a list of possible future improvements.

In the Fall 2010 Community Survey we asked “What types of outdoor recreation features are most needed in Willamalane’s parks?” *Community gardens* ranked second with 15 percent of the responses, behind *riverfront access points* and *outdoor water playgrounds* both at 18 percent. In 2002, Community Gardens ranked last.

Among Spanish-speaking survey respondents, *riverfront access points* (23 percent) ranked highest, followed by *skateparks* at 18 percent. Among teenagers, *riverfront access points* and *large destination playgrounds* (at 19 percent) tied for first.

**Teenagers**

Teenagers at Thurston High School see Thurston and Springfield as separate communities with *different wants, needs, and values*.

A *hang out spot* for 13-18 year-olds was very popular at the Springfield High School workshop.
Parks with competitive sports field, at 20 percent, is much more important for teenagers than the rest of the community: Teens ranked it as the most important park and recreation project for our community, while it is ranked last (at 8 percent) for the population as a whole.

Marketing

In 2002, only 1.5 percent of respondents learned about Willamalane programs through the Willamalane web site. In 2010, 41 percent of respondents did so (Recreation Survey).

Based on teen workshop input, teenagers are using social media to a large degree, but Willamalane’s efforts are not reaching them.

Frequency of participation

Participants in the Fall 2010 Community Survey were asked “when the following activities are in season, how frequently do you participate?” Responses in the top 10 (out of 46) that may influence recreation services include:

- fairs/festivals (1st)
- walking for pleasure (2nd)
- attend concerts (3rd)
- swimming (4th)
- bicycling (6th)
- exercise/aerobics (7th)
- attend cultural events (10th)

Included the top 20 are:

- miniature golf (16th)
- crafts (17th).

Outdoor or nature-based activities are also very popular, such as:

- camping (8th)
- gardening (12th)
- hiking/backpacking (13th)
- wildlife watching (14th)
- fishing (18th)
- bird watching (20th)
While a greater percentage of respondents use Splash! at Lively Park over Willamalane Park Swim Center (55 percent v. 47 percent), Willamalane Park Swim Center is used more often by repeat visitors. Splash! at Lively Park is the most visited of Willamalane’s community recreation facilities.

**Key Findings-Recreation Services Analysis**

- The District is making strides in providing diverse programs and services that are aligned with patron demands and the District’s Cost Recovery Model. Programs need to be evaluated on an ongoing basis in order to keep them responsive to changing community needs and aligned with the District’s cost recovery goals.

- One of Willamalane’s challenges will be to identify ways to improve services to Springfield’s growing Latino population.

- According to broad community input, groups that should be the major focus of program expansion or improvement are: 1) teens (13-17); 2) adults (18-49); and 3) youth (6-12).

- Survey results indicate strong support for implementing a community garden program.

- Survey results also indicate a strong support for indoor recreation facilities for a variety of uses.

- Survey responses indicate support for increased indoor recreation programs for youth, teens and adults.

- Comparing community input from 2002 to 2010, Willamalane is seen as doing a good job meeting the needs of adults aged 50-64 and seniors 65 and older.

- Population growth will necessitate expansion of adult and senior programming. As the Adult Activity Center reaches the point where it is programmed to capacity, there will be a need to consider using existing or additional program space at other facilities, such as Willamalane Center, LPSC, and other facilities throughout the community.

- There is community support for the District collaborating closely with other groups/agencies to expand programs and avoid duplication of services.
• Public input, including from Spanish-speaking and teen survey respondents, indicates a desire for additional water-related opportunities, such as swimming, boating, fishing, and water play activities.

• Survey responses regarding frequency of participation indicate that the community values and supports Willamalane’s role in providing innovative special events, and a potential demand for more cultural activities such as fairs, festivals, and concerts.

• Survey results regarding frequency of participation also indicate an interest in outdoor and nature-based programming.

• The public supports Willamalane’s emphasis on developing innovative partnerships to increase the District’s ability to meet park and recreation needs.

• Reasons people don’t visit parks and recreation facilities more frequently include not enough time and cost, and for teenagers, not enough activities to do.

• While Willamalane’s on-line presence has increased dramatically since 2002, additional efforts need to be made to reach teenagers.

• Teenagers want a place to hang out with friends, and more active recreation facilities at District parks. They also want more drop-in activities provided inexpensively. This is also consistent with findings from 2002.
**MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS ANALYSIS**

**Organizational Structure**

Willamalane Park and Recreation District began on September 29, 1944, when voters in Springfield and Glenwood approved a proposal to create Willamalane as the state’s second special-purpose park and recreation district. Since its inception, it has grown from serving an area of less than 3 square miles and a population of 3,800 to having a service area of over 23 square miles and serving more than 58,000 people. Willamalane is governed by a policy-making Board of Directors consisting of five lay people who are elected by the public. The Superintendent, who is hired by the board, oversees the District’s three divisions: Recreation Services; Park Services; and Administrative Services. See *Figure 5*, District Organization, for more detail.

![Figure 6: District Organization](image-url)
Willamalane employs about 149 full-time-equivalent (FTE) employees in FY 2010-2011. In FY 2002-2003, the last time this analysis was done, there were 136 FTE, an increase of 13 full-time employees in eight years. As another means of comparison, Willamalane Park and Recreation District has the equivalent of one full-time employee per 450 residents in the

---

### Table 12: Personnel Requirements by Cost Center, FY 2003 and FY 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>Full-time Employees FTE</th>
<th>Part-time Employees FTE</th>
<th>FY 03 TOTAL FTE</th>
<th>Full-time Employees FTE</th>
<th>Part-time Employees FTE</th>
<th>FY 11 TOTAL FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superintendent, Board</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Affairs</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning &amp; Development</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Services</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total ASD</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.60</strong></td>
<td><strong>15.60</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.85</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.85</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC Operations</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletics, Adult Recreation and Adoptive Recreation</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Recreation</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>33.40</td>
<td>37.40</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>36.12</td>
<td>40.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatics</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>34.40</td>
<td>39.30</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>30.08</td>
<td>33.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Activity Center</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>13.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Affairs</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total RSD</strong></td>
<td><strong>20.90</strong></td>
<td><strong>80.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>101.40</strong></td>
<td><strong>21.88</strong></td>
<td><strong>83.18</strong></td>
<td><strong>105.06</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Services Division</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>29.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total PSD</strong></td>
<td><strong>15.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>19.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.33</strong></td>
<td><strong>29.33</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL DISTRICT</strong></td>
<td><strong>48.90</strong></td>
<td><strong>87.10</strong></td>
<td><strong>136.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>51.88</strong></td>
<td><strong>97.36</strong></td>
<td><strong>149.24</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Willamalane Park and Recreation District
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Willamalane Park and Recreation District
planning area. In 2003, the ratio was one Willamalane employee per 460 residents. Table 12 breaks down the labor force by major category.

**Total Operating Budget**

For purpose of this analysis, the operating budget for the District is divided into two general categories, the Total Fund, which represents revenue from all sources, and the General Fund, which only includes the administration, recreation services and park services funds.

The total operating budget has increased by 64 percent since 1998 (Table 13); since 2002, when the Community Needs Assessment was first developed, the total operating budget has increased by 54 percent. Significant annual increases shown below include:

- FY 2000: The issuance of bonds to cover the repair and upgrade of the Willamalane Pool
- FY 2004: An increase in the SDC Fund, partially due to increased SDC revenue and grant revenue for the 32nd St Community Sports Park
- FY 2006: The development of the Community Recreation Center
- FY 2011: Acquisition and improvements to the Willamalane Center for Sports and Recreation and Adult Activity Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Total Operating Budget</th>
<th>% of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>10,828,520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>11,101,266</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>15,034,963</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>13,076,963</td>
<td>-13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>11,544,641</td>
<td>-11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>10,892,949</td>
<td>-5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>13,361,432</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>13,055,467</td>
<td>-2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>16,089,455</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15,904,047</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>14,721,945</td>
<td>-7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>16,010,967</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>15,064,300</td>
<td>-5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>17,728,880</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Willamalane Park and Recreation District

Table 13: Total Operating Budget, FY 1998-2011
The District’s total operating budget is divided into six fund categories. These are shown in **Table 14**. In 2002, fund categories also included Bonded Debt, Ruff Property, and 42nd St. These have been retired. A description of current fund categories follows.

- **General Fund**: Revenue from property taxes and fees and charges make up the major revenue sources for this category. Administration, Recreation Services and Park Services make up most of the expenses.
- **Building and Construction Fund**: Capital development projects are funded from this source, primarily focusing on park and facility rehabilitation.
- **System Development Charges (SDC) Fund**: SDCs are fees imposed on residential development. This revenue source is used for land acquisition, and new park and facility development.
- **Special Revenue Funds**: There are currently three (Dorris Ranch, Wildish Theater, and Camp Putt). These are revenue-generating funds, and revenue is restricted to use for that fund.
- **Reserve Funds**: There are currently four (Aquatics, Technical Equipment, Vehicle/Equipment, and Sports Park Reserve). Funds are allocated to reserve funds for later purchase of large dollar items through transfers from the General Fund or earmarked revenues.
- **Willamalane Center/WAAC Construction**: This is a new construction fund established in 2011 for Willamalane Center and WAAC improvements. It is funded with a credit bond.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Revenue By Category</th>
<th>% of Total Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>12,377,737</td>
<td>69.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building &amp; Construction Fund</td>
<td>607,053</td>
<td>3.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC Fund</td>
<td>794,942</td>
<td>4.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Revenue Funds</td>
<td>679,213</td>
<td>3.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Funds</td>
<td>669,935</td>
<td>3.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Center/WAAC Construction</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>14.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget</td>
<td>17,728,880</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Willamalane Park and Recreation District

Table 14: Budget by Fund Category, FY 2011
General Fund Budget

Revenues

For this analysis, only the General Fund category will be reviewed. It represents almost 69 percent of the total operating budget. The General Fund represents tax-generated revenue, as well as grants, fees and charges, donations, and other miscellaneous related items. The General Fund is the most useful for comparison purposes.

As illustrated in Table 15, property taxes make up the highest portion of the General Fund revenue (65 percent). Willamalane’s revenue distribution has not changed significantly from 2002. The cash carryover has increased from 7.37 percent in 2002 to 10.75 percent in 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>7,923,197</td>
<td>64.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees &amp; Charges</td>
<td>2,332,895</td>
<td>19.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants</td>
<td>314,416</td>
<td>2.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations</td>
<td>74,900</td>
<td>0.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>34,014</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Revenue</td>
<td>194,990</td>
<td>1.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Carryover</td>
<td>1,313,325</td>
<td>10.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,217,737</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Willamalane Park and Recreation District

Table 15: General Fund Revenue Sources, FY 2011

User Fees

As shown above, fees and charges from program services account for 19 percent of the revenue in the General Fund. Eighty five percent of the District’s non-tax revenue comes from program fees, program charges, and rental revenue. Willamalane's rate structure was examined as part of the development of the Cost Recovery Model in 2008.

Generally in communities, recreation programs are funded through a combination of general funds and user fees. To a lesser extent, grants and corporate sponsorships are a source of funding. Up until the late 1960’s and 1970’s, programs were often offered free of charge.
With overall decreases in public funding and more constraints to increased property taxes, recreation agencies across the country now rely more on user fees for program funding.

A variety of strategies are used by park and recreation agencies to set program fees. Generally, policies are based on the priority of the program area, community expectations regarding fees and charges, and trends in the field of parks and recreation. For example, if serving teens is a high community priority, lower fees may be set to increase use and make programs accessible. Often fees and charges cover the majority of the cost for adult programs and athletics. Programs for youth, seniors, and individuals with disabilities are frequently subsidized. Most aquatic programs are subsidized. Agencies often design some programs to generate a profit, such as special events and golf. Sometimes this profit is earmarked for the operation of the profit center. It can also be returned to the general fund to subsidize less profitable programs that are highly valued by the community.

There is a strong desire in the community, and in Willamalane, to keep programs affordable. However, community expectations about fees and charges may not always be aligned with the realities of District finances.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Expense</th>
<th>Net Surplus/Subsidy</th>
<th>Self-Supporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Youth Programs</td>
<td>1,234,735</td>
<td>1,842,191</td>
<td>(607,456)</td>
<td>67.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive Rec/Comm Athletics</td>
<td>274,455</td>
<td>323,438</td>
<td>(48,983)</td>
<td>84.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorris Ranch-Cultural Affairs</td>
<td>199,463</td>
<td>458,242</td>
<td>(258,779)</td>
<td>43.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatics - Lively Pool</td>
<td>594,050</td>
<td>1,188,443</td>
<td>(594,393)</td>
<td>49.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatics - Willamalane Pool</td>
<td>277,450</td>
<td>966,000</td>
<td>(688,550)</td>
<td>28.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Adult Activity Center</td>
<td>360,744</td>
<td>1,031,474</td>
<td>(670,730)</td>
<td>34.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Center Activities</td>
<td>178,882</td>
<td>582,569</td>
<td>(403,687)</td>
<td>30.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,119,779</td>
<td>6,392,357</td>
<td>(3,272,578)</td>
<td>48.80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Willamalane Park and Recreation District

Table 16: Recreation Services Division Percent of Self-Support, 2010-2011

In Table 16, Recreation Services Division Percent of Self-Support, 2010-2011, all revenues and expenditures that can be directly allocated to specific departments are included, such as direct supervisory and some facility costs. Many of these costs were excluded in 2002, so a direct comparison is not possible.
Three of Willamalane’s seven Recreation Services Division departments operate with budgets that are at least 50% self-supporting: Youth Programs, Adaptive Recreation/Community Athletics, and Splash! at Lively Park have the highest level of self-support. This is consistent with findings from 2002. Willamalane Park Swim Center and Willamalane Center Activities have the lowest level of self-support. Overall, Willamalane’s programs and services are 49 percent self-supporting.

According to consultants MIG Inc. in the 2002 Community Needs Assessment, many communities strive for programs that are 50-75% self-supporting overall with higher levels for certain programs. Often, these goals are phased in over time.

Expenditures

Table 17, Expenditures and Subsidized Percentages by General Fund Category, FY 2011, shows District’s budgeted expenditures by General Fund category and the corresponding subsidized percentages. The subsidized percentages reflect the amount of tax dollars supporting each division.

The Recreation Services Division (including Aquatics) is allocated the largest portion of the General Fund at 53 percent. This is virtually the same as in 2002. Park Services Division uses about 16 percent of the General Fund, and Administration Services uses 14 percent. This is slightly different from 2002, when Park Services used 15 percent of the fund, and Administrative Services used 17 percent. According to consultants MIG, in the 2002 Community Needs Assessment, many other park agencies spend in the 30 to 35 percent range for park maintenance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2011 General Fund Category</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Subsidized Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Services</td>
<td>1,712,478</td>
<td>14.02%</td>
<td>99.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Recreation Services</td>
<td>6,425,827</td>
<td>52.59%</td>
<td>55.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Services Less Aquatics</td>
<td>4,271,383</td>
<td>34.96%</td>
<td>53.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatics</td>
<td>2,154,444</td>
<td>17.63%</td>
<td>59.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Services</td>
<td>1,952,115</td>
<td>15.98%</td>
<td>95.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Pmts/Transfers</td>
<td>850,529</td>
<td>6.96%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>1,276,788</td>
<td>10.45%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,217,737</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>76.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Willamalane Park and Recreation District

Table 17: Expenditures and Subsidized Percentages by General Fund Category, FY 2011 Budget
As expected, the Administrative Services and Park Services Divisions produce the least amount of revenue and, therefore, have very high subsidy rates. The Recreation Services Division, when Aquatics is included, has a subsidy rate of 56 percent. In 2006, when the Cost Recovery Model was developed, it had an overall subsidy rate of 52.5 percent.

**Debt Service**

Since 2002, the two general obligation (G.O.) bonds for construction of and improvements to Willamalane’s two swimming pools have been fully paid.

The District currently has two full faith and credit bonds, which were issued in 2005 and 2010. A breakdown of these loans is as follows:

1. Community Recreation Center (2005) $1,350,000  
2. Willamalane Center & Adult Activity Center (2010) $2,600,000  
**Total** $3,950,000

The 2005 bond issue helped construct the Community Recreation Center, which replaced both the Memorial Building Community Center and the Administration Center. The sale of two district properties also helped offset construction costs. The 2010 bond issue paid for the purchase of and improvements to the Willamalane Center for Sports and Recreation, and HVAC improvements at Willamalane Adult Activity Center.

Since 2002, the District’s debt obligation has shifted from G.O. bonds to full faith and credit bonds. G.O. bonds are voter-approved bond measures for capital construction and improvements. They are levied outside of the non-school government limit of $10.00 per $1,000 of assessed value. Interest and principal payments for Willamalane’s G.O. bonds were paid from the taxes that were levied for these bonds. Interest and principal payments for Willamalane’s full faith and credit bonds, however, are paid from property tax receipts, and to a lesser extent revenue generated at Willamalane Center. This diminishes the District’s ability to use property tax receipts to provide programs and services.

In total, the District’s debt obligation has decreased by $1,880,000 since 2002. In FY 2011, debt payment equaled 1.4 percent of Willamalane’s budgeted expenses. Debt payment on the Willamalane Center/Adult Activity Center bonds will begin in FY 2012.

**Assessed Valuation**

In December 2004, voters approved the Glenwood Urban Renewal District to develop the Glenwood area. In November 2007, voters approved the Springfield Downtown Urban Renewal District. Total assessed value reported in October 2010 for these two urban renewal districts is $32,620,658. Urban renewal districts are excluded from the District’s total assessed value for the levy of property taxes.
The current total assessed value of property within the District is $4,019,672,725 (inclusive of urban renewal districts). This is a 62 percent increase since 2002. The District’s permanent tax rate is $2.0074 per thousand.

**Key Findings - Management and Operations Analysis**

- Despite the poor economy, Willamalane is growing. Since 2002, the total operating budget has increased by 54 percent. Willamalane’s staff ranks have grown by 13 FTE. The assessed valuation of the District has grown 62 percent.

- There is a strong desire in the community, and in Willamalane, to keep programs affordable. However, community expectations about fees and charges may not always be aligned with the realities of District finances. Significant work was done to address this in the development of the 2008 Cost Recovery Model.

- The Recreation Services Division, which produces 85% of the District’s non-tax revenue from program fees and charges and rental revenue, has an overall subsidy rate of 56% (Table 17). This is up from a subsidy rate of 51 percent in 2002.

- Increasing the schedule of fees and charges and decreasing expenses may reduce the subsidy rate for individual programs and also provide more revenue for additional District services if enrollment numbers do not decrease.

- Under the current organization, Administration costs account for 14 percent of the General Fund. Most agencies try to keep administrative costs to about 15-20%.

- Willamalane currently spends about 16 percent of the General Fund on Park Services Division expenses. Many other park agencies spend in the 30 to 35 percent range for park maintenance.

- Since 2002, the two general obligation bonds for Willamalane’s aquatics facilities were paid off, and two new full faith and credit bonds for the CRC, Willamalane Center, and WAAC were incurred. Overall, Willamalane’s bonded debt has decreased by $1.9 million.
COMMUNITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT KEY FINDINGS

- The District planning area’s population is expected to grow from 67,031 to 81,608 residents in the next 20 years. This is a slowdown in the growth rate from the 2002 Community Needs Assessment. While the growth rate has decreased, the population is still growing, so a corresponding increase in facilities and services will be needed.

- Since 2002, Willamalane’s operating budget has increased by 54 percent. Willamalane’s staff ranks have grown by 13 FTE. The assessed valuation of the District has grown 62 percent.

- People know us: In 2002, 66 percent of survey respondents were somewhat to relatively familiar with Willamalane; in 2010, it increased to 85 percent.

- People like us: In 2002, 59 percent of survey respondents rated the park and recreation services offered by Willamalane as very good or excellent (4 or 5 out of 5); in 2010, it increased to 86 percent.

- People like how we are maintaining our parks and facilities: Eighty-seven percent of survey respondents are very or extremely satisfied with park and facility maintenance (4 or 5 out of 5).

- District parks, recreation facilities and services are seen as important community resources. Seventy percent of Community Survey respondents have visited a park or facility in the last year. This is up from 60 percent in 2002.

- The number one reason survey respondents don’t visit parks and recreation facilities more frequently is *not enough time.* Cost is also a significant factor. For teenagers, the number one reason is there are *not enough activities to do.*

- District residents want more or improved recreation services for teens. This is consistent with findings from 2002.

- Teenagers want a place to hang out with friends, and more active recreation facilities at District parks. They also want more drop-in activities provided inexpensively. This is also consistent with findings from 2002.

- The proposed overall level of service standard for parkland is 14 acres per 1,000 residents. This is the same standard that was used in the 2002 Community Needs Assessment. Based on this standard, 160 additional acres of parkland are currently needed. By 2030, 364 additional acres will be needed. In addition to numerical
Community Needs Assessment

standards, the District also evaluates geographic distribution and adequate public access to parks and facilities when assessing needs.

- There is strong community interest in indoor recreation facilities for a variety of uses, followed by off-street bicycle paths and trails, and smaller, close-to-home neighborhood parks.

- The public believes outdoor water play areas, riverfront access points, and community gardens are the outdoor recreation features most needed in parks.

- There is strong public support for the District continuing to collaborate with partners to most effectively meet needs, avoid duplication of efforts, and leverage funds.

- The District has made strides in providing diverse programs and services that are aligned with patron demands and the District’s Cost Recovery Model. Programs need to be evaluated on an ongoing basis in order to keep them responsive to changing community needs and aligned with the District’s cost recovery goals.
Appendix A-1
Park and Facility Classifications and Definitions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Park or Facility</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Benefits May Include</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>May Include</th>
<th>Typology Does Not Include</th>
<th>Parks and Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>Small park located within biking and walking distance of users, generally designed for informal activities.</td>
<td>Provides access to casual recreation opportunities for nearby residents of all ages.</td>
<td>Current Range: 0.1 to 2.00 acres</td>
<td>Children’s play areas, Court sports facilities, Picnic areas and benches, Pathways, Lighting, Drinking fountains, Informal play areas, Neighborhood gardens, Picnic and rest areas, when needed.</td>
<td>Natural areas and natural values. Provides opportunities for nature-based recreation, such as wildlife viewing, hiking, jogging, bicycling, and nature photography.</td>
<td>Willamette Riverfront/South Bank Park, George-Pacific Park, Harvest Landing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Park</td>
<td>Larger park that provides urban and suburban populations for city residents. Accommodates large group activities.</td>
<td>Provides a variety of accessible recreation opportunities for all age groups.</td>
<td>Current Range: 4.00 to 20.00 acres</td>
<td>Children’s playground, Community recreation facilities, Skateboarding facilities, Off-street parking, Rest rooms, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multiple access points, Picnic facilities, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails.</td>
<td>Parks: 5 acres or larger.</td>
<td>Ruff (Wallace M. Jr.) Memorial Park, LaSalle Park, Willamette Waterfront Park, EWEB Path.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature-Area Park</td>
<td>Area managed for both recreational use and natural values. Provides opportunities for nature-based recreation and passive accessibility.</td>
<td>Provides opportunities for experiencing nature close to home.</td>
<td>Sufficient size to provide passive recreation.</td>
<td>Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails.</td>
<td>Facilities that conflict with nature and trail-oriented recreation.</td>
<td>EWEB Path, EWEB Path, EWEB Path, EWEB Path, EWEB Path, EWEB Path, EWEB Path, EWEB Path, EWEB Path.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Park</td>
<td>A linear park provides public access to trail-oriented activities, which may include walking, running, biking, skateboarding, etc., and preserves open space.</td>
<td>Provides opportunities for trail-oriented activities and green space.</td>
<td>Sufficient size to accommodate trail-oriented activities and green space.</td>
<td>Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails.</td>
<td>Facilities that are in conflict with the special use.</td>
<td>Willamette Riverfront/South Bank Park, George-Pacific Park, Harvest Landing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Use Park</td>
<td>Special use parks have unique features or uses.</td>
<td>Provides access to competitive sports facilities and picnic areas.</td>
<td>Sufficient size to accommodate.</td>
<td>Living history farm interpretive facilities, Water access, Amphitheater, Viewpoint, Neighborhood park facilities, Picnic facilities.</td>
<td>Facilities that are in conflict with the special use.</td>
<td>Willamette Park, Willamette RV Park/South Bank Memorial Park, Guy Lee Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Park</td>
<td>A park primarily containing competitive sports facilities. May include outdoor and indoor facilities.</td>
<td>Provides opportunities for competitive sports activities.</td>
<td>Sufficient size to accommodate.</td>
<td>Competitive indoor and outdoor sports facilities, Children’s play areas, Picnic facilities.</td>
<td>Public access.</td>
<td>Willamette Waterfront Park, Willamette RV Park/South Bank Memorial Park, Guy Lee Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified Park</td>
<td>Land acquired by the District for future recreational needs of the District.</td>
<td>Provides acreage for future recreational opportunities.</td>
<td>Sufficient size to accommodate.</td>
<td>Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails.</td>
<td>Public access.</td>
<td>Willamette Waterfront Park, Willamette RV Park/South Bank Memorial Park, Guy Lee Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Recreation Facility</td>
<td>Park facility intended for recreational use.</td>
<td>Provides a variety of accessible recreation opportunities for all age groups.</td>
<td>Sufficient size to accommodate.</td>
<td>Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails, Multi-purpose paved trails.</td>
<td>Public access.</td>
<td>Willamette Waterfront Park, Willamette RV Park/South Bank Memorial Park, Guy Lee Park.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A-2
Public Input Results
PUBLIC INPUT RESULTS

PARK & RECREATION COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE

Community Needs Assessment
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SUMMERFEST 2010

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN QUESTIONNAIRE

316 responses

1. How familiar are you with Willamalane Park and Recreation District?

- Not at all: 5%
- Very: 34%
- Slightly: 13%
- Relatively: 24%
- Somewhat: 24%

1. On a scale of 1-5, how would you rate the services offered by the District? (i.e., programs, parks, facilities and maintenance)

- 1: 45%
- 2: 12%
- 3: 42%
- 4: 1%
- 5: 0%
2. From the following list of major park and recreation projects, please tell us what is most important for our community. Check your top three choices from the list below.

3. Parks include different features. Which of the following outdoor recreation facilities are needed most in Willamalane's parks? Check your top three choices from the list below.
Comments
Ninety six people (30%) offered additional comments at the end of the questionnaire. Many of these consisted of overall appreciations and encouragement for the District or asked for more of what Willamalane is already providing (e.g., pools, concerts, camps, trails, etc.). Others made recommendations regarding additional maintenance, program, and facility needs. The following section summarizes recommendations that were not included as options in the questionnaire.

Existing Facilities
There were several comments that asked for improvements of existing parks in the District. These included requests for additional bathrooms (open year round), drinking fountains, security (especially in the skate park), and better lighting. Other recommendations included improved shade in neighborhood parks, covering of the skatepark, and removal of invasive species in the parks (specifically Eastgate Woodlands).

Additional Facilities
Many people wanted to see more of what Willamalane already provides. Additional recommendations included a skate/ice rink, a concert arena, obstacle courses in parks, a hydro tube at the water park, a rose garden, and challenge course. Several comments were made regarding a need for additional play structures specifically for younger children. One recommendation encouraged the acquisition of McKenzie River frontage for a natural area park, specifically a filbert farm east of Harvest Lane/south of Rodakowski Landing.

Programs
People wanted to see more fairs, concerts and parades in the area. There were requests for more adult programs and for a “Fun Olympics”. One person requested that pottery return to Willamalane’s programs.

Maintenance and Other General Comments
Several complaints were made about the cleanliness of parks, bathrooms, and specifically the sandbox at Island Park. Additionally, there was concern about loose dogs in the parks (need to enforce existing rules) and one person requested information on areas for fishing in the District.
SURVEY RESULTS

Combined survey results are split into three categories for analysis purposes:

- Spanish survey
- Teen survey
- Community survey (including teen responses)

Spanish survey responses are not included in the community survey results as it was a different survey instrument, tallied separately, and the low number of participants would likely not change the overall survey results.

SPANISH SURVEY

31 responses

Participant Information:

Location of Residence in Springfield

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Age of Participant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-17</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-49</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-65</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Gender of Participant

- Male: 35%
- Female: 65%

### Participants with Children under the Age of 18 in Household

- Yes: 28%
- No: 72%
Spanish Survey Results:

1. How familiar are you with what Willamalane Park and Recreation District does?

![Chart showing familiarity levels](chart1.png)

2. On a scale of 1-5, how would you rate the park and recreation facilities and services offered by Willamalane?

![Rating scale chart](chart2.png)
3. On a scale of 1-5, how would you rate your overall satisfaction with park and facility maintenance? (1 is low and 5 is high)

4. If reductions in park maintenance are necessary, which of the following should Willamalane do?

- Increase volunteer involvement in maintenance: 53%
- Mow the grass less frequently: 24%
- Reduce watering of the grass: 16%
- Other*: 5%
- Close restrooms: 3%
5. What groups need more or improved recreation services in the Springfield area?

- Disabled persons (any age): 25%
- Teenagers 13-17: 18%
- Youth 6-12: 18%
- Young children up to age 5: 14%
- Adults 18-49: 11%
- Adults 50-64: 7%
- Other*: 4%
- Seniors 65 and older: 4%

6. How often in the last 12 months (approximately) have you used the following Willamalane parks or recreational facilities?

- **Neighborhood park in your neighborhood**: 62%
- **Island Park**: 62%
- **Off-street bike/pedestrian path**: 50%
- **Splash! at Lively Park (indoor)**: 40%
- **Willamalane Park Swim Center**: 28%
- **Willamalane Park (outdoor)**: 28%
- **Lively Park (outdoor facilities)**: 24%
- **Dorris Ranch**: 23%
- **Willamalane Adult Activity Center**: 23%
- **32nd St Community Sports Complex**: 8%
- **Community Recreation Center**: 4%
- **Bob Artz Memorial Park**: 0%
7. What prevents you from visiting parks and recreation facilities in the Springfield area more frequently?

- **Cost is too high**: 24%
- **Not aware of parks and facilities**: 22%
- **Not enough time**: 12%
- **Poorly maintained**: 10%
- **Too far away, not conveniently located**: 8%
- **Other**: 7%
- **Not accessible to people with disabilities**: 7%
- **Not enough activities to do**: 7%
- **Feel unsafe**: 3%
8. Which major park and recreation projects are most important for our community?

- Natural area parks for nature-based recreation: 29%
- Large, multiuse community parks: 19%
- Smaller, close-to-home neighborhood parks: 15%
- Parks with competitive sports fields: 13%
- Indoor recreation facilities for a variety of uses: 10%
- Off-street bicycle paths and trails: 8%
- Improvement and renovation of existing parks: 4%
- Other*: 2%

9. Parks include different features. What types of outdoor recreation features are most needed in Willamalane's parks?

- Riverfront access points: 23%
- Skateparks: 18%
- Outdoor water playgrounds: 16%
- Fenced, off-leash dog parks: 14%
- Community gardens: 11%
- Outdoor education opportunities: 9%
- Other*: 5%
- Large destination playgrounds: 5%
10. How important are the following potential projects to your household? (1 is least, 5 is most)

- Expansion of walking/bicycling path system: 4.2
- Indoor multipurpose rooms at Splash!: 3.9
- Additional athletic fields at 32nd St. Community Sports Park: 3.8
- Outdoor water play area connected to Splash!: 3.7
- Additional opportunities at historic Dorris Ranch: 3.5

Average Response for Each Project

11. Would you vote for a bond measure that would increase your taxes in order to help finance your top priority projects identified above?

- Maybe; depends upon the facilities: 29%
- Would vote for a bond measure to fund my top projects: 29%
- Do not/cannot vote: 17%
- Would not vote for it no matter what: 13%
- Maybe; depends upon the amount: 13%

Percent Total Response
12a. When the following activities are in season, how frequently do you participate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Playground (visit)</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairs/festivals</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running/jogging</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camping (general)</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycling (all other)</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnicking</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerts (attend)</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking for pleasure</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural events (attend plays, etc.)</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog walking/exercising</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardening</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseball/softball (youth)</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handball/racquetball</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnastics</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise/aerobics</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird watching</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dancing (social)</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishing</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc golf</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computers (for enjoyment)/videogames</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River rafting/drift boating</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife watching</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crafts (pottery, ceramics, etc.)</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiking/backpacking</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball (adult)</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoeing/kayaking</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood working</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roller skating/in-line skating</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dancing (lessons)</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseback riding</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miniature golf</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weightlifting</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycling (BMX)</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice skating</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock climbing</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boating (power)</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunting</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skateboarding</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geocaching</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12b. Of all the recreation activities listed, which are your household’s five most favorite? (Below are the top 20 results that received the most responses)

- River rafting/drift boating: 7
- Volleyball: 7
- Wildlife watching: 7
- Canoeing/kayaking: 7
- Softball (adult): 6
- Wood working: 6
- Dancing (lessons): 6
- Roller skating/in-line skating: 5
- Football: 5
- Horseback riding: 5
- Miniature golf: 5
- Bicycling (BMX): 5
- Golf: 4
- Weightlifting: 4
- Ice skating: 4
- Rock climbing: 4
- Boating (power): 4
- Hunting: 3
- Skateboarding: 3
- Geocaching: 3
**TEEN SURVEYS**

77 responses

Teen Participant Information:

**Location of Residence in Springfield**

- North: 10%
- West: 9%
- Central: 25%
- South: 12%
- East: 18%
- Not sure: 9%
- Outside the planning area: 17%

**Age of Participant**

- 10-17: 94%
- 18-49: 7%
Gender of Participant

- Male: 51%
- Female: 49%

Participants with Children under the Age of 18 in Household

- No: 92%
- Yes: 8%
Teen Survey Results:

1.) How familiar are you with what Willamalane Park and Recreation District does?

![Bar chart showing the results of how familiar respondents are with Willamalane Park and Recreation District. 17% are very familiar, 47% are somewhat familiar, 30% are relatively familiar, and 7% are not at all familiar.]

2) On a scale of 1-5 with 1 being poor and 5 being excellent, how would you rate the park and recreation facilities and services offered by Willamalane?

![Bar chart showing the results of how respondents rate the park and recreation facilities and services. 0% rate it poor, 3% rate it 1, 38% rate it 2, 52% rate it 3, and 8% rate it excellent.]
3) On a scale of 1-5, how would you rate your overall satisfaction with park and facility maintenance?

4) If reductions in park maintenance are necessary, which of the following should Willamalane do?
5) What groups need more or improved recreation services in the Springfield area?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teenagers 13-17</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled persons (any age)</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth 6-12</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults 18-49</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young children up to age 5</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors 65 and older</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults 50-64</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) How often in the last 12 months (approximately) have you used the following Willamalane parks or recreational facilities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park</th>
<th>Percentage of Respondents that Visit Park at Least 1x/yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood park in your area</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island Park</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-street bike/pedestrian paths</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splash! at Lively Park (indoor)</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Park Swim Center</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Park (outdoor)</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lively Park (outdoor facilities)</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorris Ranch</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Adult Activity Park</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32nd St Community Sports Park</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Recreation Center</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Artz Memorial Park</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Number of Visits to Park/Facility per Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park/Facility</th>
<th>1-5</th>
<th>6-11</th>
<th>12 or more</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Island Park</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood park in your area</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-street bike/pedestrian paths</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splash! at Lively Park (indoor)</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Park Swim Center</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Park (outdoor)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lively Park (outdoor facilities)</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorris Ranch</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willamalane Adult Activity Center</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32nd St Community Sports Park</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Recreation Center</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Artz Memorial Park</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7) What prevents you from visiting parks and recreation facilities in the Springfield area more frequently?

- Not enough activities to do: 20%
- Not enough time: 19%
- Too far away, not conveniently located: 19%
- Not aware of parks and facilities: 14%
- Cost is too high: 9%
- Other*: 9%
- Feel unsafe: 4%
- Poorly maintained: 4%
- Not accessible to people with disabilities: 1%

Percent Total Response
8) Which major park and recreation projects are most important for our community?

- Parks with competitive sports fields: 20%
- Large, multiuse community parks: 16%
- Natural area parks for nature-based...: 15%
- Smaller, close-to-home neighborhood parks: 14%
- Off-street bicycle paths and trails: 12%
- Indoor facilities for a variety of uses: 11%
- Improvement/renovation of existing parks: 9%
- Other* : 3%

Percent Total Response

9) Parks include different features. What types of outdoor recreation features are most needed in Willamalane's parks?

- Large destination playgrounds: 19%
- Riverfront access points: 19%
- Outdoor water playgrounds: 18%
- Fenced, off-leash dog parks: 16%
- Outdoor education opportunities: 8%
- Community gardens: 7%
- Skateparks: 7%
- Other* : 5%

Percent Total Response
10) How important are the following potential projects to your household?

- Expansion of walking/bicycling path system: 3.5
- Additional multipurpose athletic fields at 32nd Street Community Sports Park: 3.5
- Indoor multipurpose fitness/wellness rooms at Splash! at Lively Park: 2.9
- An outdoor water play area connected to Splash! at Lively Park: 2.7
- Additional event, rental and educational opportunities at historic Dorris Ranch: 2.5

Average Response for Each Project

11) Would you vote for a bond measure that would increase your taxes in order to help finance your top priority projects identified above?

- Do not/cannot vote: 60%
- Maybe; depends upon the facilities: 16%
- Maybe; depends upon the amount: 14%
- Would not vote for it no matter what: 5%
- Would vote for a bond measure to fund my top projects: 5%

Percent Total Response
12a) When the following activities are in season, how frequently do you participate? 
(Graph shows activities with the highest percentage of participation)

- Fairs/festivals - 90%
- Running/jogging - 89%
- Camping (general) - 84%
- Bicycling (all other) - 83%
- Computers (for... - 82%
- Playground (visit) - 79%
- Exercise/aerobics - 78%
- Walking for pleasure - 77%
- Dog walking/exercising - 72%
- Swimming - 72%
- Hiking/backpacking - 64%
- Basketball - 61%
- Concerts (attend) - 60%
- Weightlifting - 59%
- Cultural events (attend plays, etc.) - 56%
- Fishing - 56%
- Miniature golf - 52%
- Dancing (social) - 52%
- Football - 49%
- Picnicking - 49%
- Crafts (pottery, ceramics, etc.) - 47%
- Soccer - 45%
- Hunting - 42%
- Tennis - 41%
- River rafting/drift boating - 40%
- Boating (power) - 39%
- Rock climbing - 35%
- Gardening - 34%
- Roller skating/in-line skating - 33%
- Baseball/softball (youth) - 31%
- Canoeing/kayaking - 31%
- Bicycling (BMX) - 30%
- Wildlife watching - 30%
- Volleyball - 30%
- Wood working - 29%
- Ice skating - 27%
- Horseback riding - 24%
- Skateboarding - 20%
- Golf - 20%
- Dancing (lessons) - 18%
- Handball/racquetball - 16%
- Geocaching - 11%
- Gymnastics - 11%
- Bird watching - 11%
- Softball (adult) - 9%
- Disc golf - 8%
12b) Of the following recreation activities, which are your household’s five most favorite?
(Below are the top 20 results that received the most responses)

- Computers (for enjoyment)/videogames: 23 responses
- Camping (general): 20 responses
- Fairs/festivals: 19 responses
- Concerts (attend): 19 responses
- Bicycling (all other): 18 responses
- Walking for pleasure: 17 responses
- Weightlifting: 15 responses
- Swimming: 14 responses
- Running/jogging: 14 responses
- Hiking/backpacking: 13 responses
- Basketball: 13 responses
- River rafting/drift boating: 12 responses
- Dog walking/exercising: 11 responses
- Fishing: 10 responses
- Dancing (social): 10 responses
- Crafts (pottery, ceramics, etc.): 10 responses
- Ice skating: 9 responses
- Exercise/aerobics: 9 responses
- Football: 8 responses
- Cultural events (attend plays, etc.): 8 responses

Total Number of Responses
COMMUNITY SURVEY

Results: 1,063

Participant Information:

Location of Residence in Springfield

- East: 19%
- South: 9%
- Central: 17%
- Southwest: 3%
- West: 11%
- North: 18%
- I live outside the planning area: 17%
- Not sure: 7%

Age of Participant

- 10-17: 28%
- 18-49: 48%
- 50-65: 16%
- 66+: 8%
Gender of Participant

- Male: 25%
- Female: 75%

Participants with Children under the Age of 18 Living in their Household

- No: 52%
- Yes: 48%
Community Survey Results:

1. How familiar are you with what Willamalane Park and Recreation District does?

2. On a scale of 1-5, how would you rate the park and recreation facilities and services offered by Willamalane?
3. On a scale of 1-5, how would you rate your overall satisfaction with park and facility maintenance? *(1 is low and 5 is high)*

4. If reductions in park maintenance are necessary, which of the following should Willamalane do?

- Increase volunteer involvement in maintenance: 31%
- Mow the grass less frequently: 23%
- Reduce watering of the grass: 21%
- Avoid developing new parks: 20%
- Other*: 2%
- Reduce general park clean up: 1%
- Close restrooms: 1%
5. What groups need more or improved recreation services in the Springfield area?

- Teenagers 13-17: 23%
- Youth 6-12: 14%
- Adults 18-49: 14%
- Young children up to age 5: 10%
- Disabled persons (any age): 10%
- Seniors 65 and older: 8%
- Adults 50-64: 8%
- None: 7%
- Other*: 5%

6. How often in the last 12 months (approximately) have you used the following Willamalane parks or recreational facilities?

- Neighborhood park in your area: 70%
- Island Park: 68%
- Off-street bike/pedestrian paths: 61%
- Splash! at Lively Park (indoor): 55%
- Willamalane Park Swim Center: 47%
- Willamalane Park (outdoor): 46%
- Lively Park (outdoor facilities): 45%
- Dorris Ranch: 40%
- Willamalane Adult Activity Center: 37%
- 32nd St Community Sports Park: 31%
- Community Recreation Center: 27%
- Bob Artz Memorial Park: 14%
7. What prevents you from visiting parks and recreation facilities in the Springfield area more frequently?

- Not enough time: 37%
- Other*: 15%
- Too far away, not conveniently located: 13%
- Not aware of parks and facilities: 11%
- Not enough activities to do: 9%
- Cost is too high: 7%
- Feel unsafe: 4%
- Poorly maintained: 2%
- Not accessible to people with disabilities: 2%

Percent of Total Responses
8. Which major park and recreation projects are most important for our community?

- Indoor recreation facilities for a variety of uses: 18%
- Off-street bicycle paths and trails: 17%
- Smaller, close-to-home neighborhood parks: 15%
- Natural area parks for nature-based recreation: 14%
- Improvement and renovation of existing parks: 13%
- Large, multiuse community parks: 11%
- Parks with competitive sports fields: 8%
- Other*: 3%

9. Parks include different features. What types of outdoor recreation features are most needed in Willamalane's parks?

- Outdoor water playgrounds: 18%
- Riverfront access points: 18%
- Community gardens: 15%
- Large destination playgrounds: 14%
- Outdoor education opportunities: 13%
- Fenced, off-leash dog parks: 12%
- Other*: 5%
- Skateparks: 4%
10. How important are the following potential projects to your household? (1 is least, 5 is most)

- Expansion of walking/bicycling path system
  - Average Response: 3.6
- Indoor multipurpose fitness/wellness rooms at Splash! at Lively Park
  - Average Response: 2.7
- An outdoor water play area connected to Splash! at Lively Park
  - Average Response: 2.7
- Additional multipurpose athletic fields at 32nd Street Community Sports Park
  - Average Response: 2.6
- Additional event, rental and educational opportunities at historic Dorris Ranch
  - Average Response: 2.6

11. Would you vote for a bond measure that would increase your taxes in order to help finance your top priority projects identified above?

- Maybe, depends upon the amount
  - Percent of Total Responses: 28%
- Maybe, depends upon the facilities
  - Percent of Total Responses: 27%
- Yes, to fund my top projects
  - Percent of Total Responses: 24%
- Do not/cannot vote
  - Percent of Total Responses: 13%
- Would not vote for it no matter what
  - Percent of Total Responses: 8%
12a. When the following activities are in season, how frequently do you participate?
(Graph shows activities with the highest percentage of participation)
12b. Of all the recreation activities listed, which are your household’s five most favorite?
(Below are the top 20 results that received the most responses)

- Walking for pleasure: 328
- Swimming: 277
- Camping (general): 237
- Bicycling (all other): 231
- Playground (visit): 206
- Fairs/festivals: 193
- Concerts (attend): 187
- Gardening: 167
- Computers (for enjoyment)/videogames: 158
- Dog walking/exercising: 151
- Exercise/aerobics: 145
- Hiking/backpacking: 128
- Wildlife watching: 111
- Cultural events (attend plays, etc.): 107
- Picnicking: 105
- Fishing: 92
- Running/jogging: 89
- Crafts (pottery, ceramics, etc.): 84
- Basketball: 81
- Football: 75

Number of Responses
Appendix A-3
Community Survey Written Responses
Community Survey Written Responses

Question 4: If reductions in park maintenance are necessary, which of the following should Willamalane do?

Responses for “Other”:

- **Reduce grass/change maintenance regime:**
  - Get rid of grass all together. What a waste of precious resources- money and water!
  - More nature less nurture
  - Mow the grass less frequently, except for the area’s that have high use
  - Use fewer chemicals...let the grass go native...?
  - Where feasible, reduce watering, & mow on a schedule that will benefit native wildflowers.
  - I’m not sure how often you mow and water, if you can and still make the parks look nice maybe cut back with the mowing and watering
  - Create parks with less development and more of a natural setting for hiking, watching wildlife, etc.
  - Stop using pesticides. Boulder CO has adopted a very progressive community weeding program that school children participate in for credit.

- **Increase volunteer involvement in maintenance:**
  - Neighbors near the park may want to volunteer to help save hours. Canvass them for participation.
  - Send out a newsletter to get volunteers to help with all of the above
  - Utilize the jail crew for manual labor projects
  - Get the kids involved on cleaning up after themselves or teen program
  - Not sure, it takes allot to keep all that you have, how about teen volunteers
  - Work in conjunction with the jails, homeless, delinquents to keep maintenance of parks. Have the elementary, Junior High and High Schools work in helping with maintenance for class credits.
  - All of it seems so needed. The volunteers would be a great help, but if one had to go grass seems less important.
  - Have a volunteer park clean up once a month with some incentive like a BBQ so more people would come.
  - Children using free lunch program must CLEAN up after themselves (I live behind Page Park) Also, park being used as "dog park" without the clean-up.
  - Make sure to let volunteers know that this is a job reference too or offer internships to local college students.

- **Reduce operational costs:**
  - Add solar panels to store and reduce heating costs
  - Really don't need architects/planners
  - Hire most efficient workers
  - Look for operating efficiencies - Larger Trailer Transported Mower(s), etc.
  - Reductions are probably best looked at as long- and short-term. Short-term should be focused on minimizing long-term degradation of the sites. Long-term needs to be a mind set of minimizing ongoing maintenance costs in all infra-structure development.
- **Increase revenue:**
  - Charge a fee for use of some parks/equipment that are currently free
  - Have summer & fall concerts
  - Re-evaluate necessities throughout Willamalane services....more fundraising

- **Avoid developing new parks:**
  - Maintain what we have and no growth until financial outlook is better
  - Avoid developing new parks for the present

- **Disagree with all options:**
  - Increase park clean up
  - I don't think any of them
  - Clean parks more
  - None of the above, hire more paid personnel
  - Not necessary

- **Other:**
  - 32 street soccer fields need more water fountains
  - Ban dogs
  - Hard to say???
  - Couple more benches/places to sit/shelters
  - Keep restrooms open
  - site-specific queries

**Question 5:** What groups need more or improved recreation services in the Springfield area?

**Responses for “Other”:**

- **None**
  - Current programs seem adequate to me
  - I think that you have a good balance.
  - They seem very great already
  - Think it is fine now.
  - You offer wonderful services for all ages and developments! Thanks :)
  - I have no idea; you seem to be on the right track all around.
  - I think you do a great job for all ages
  - You do a well balanced job
  - I'm not sure how to answer this one! I'm a 77 year old living in Eugene and I think Springfield is doing a great job.

- **Not Sure:**
  - Not sure (10 responses)
  - I don't know. I've used after school care and swimming lessons only.
  - I'm new to Springfield so I'm just getting to know what's here.
  - Not familiar with offerings for all age groups
  - Only aware of the Adult programs
- This would be something the directors would know best based on registrations. Personally very happy with the kids programs! Thank you!

- **Non age specific groups:**
  - Partially disabled
  - Families with children that fit all the age groups
  - Family
  - Homeless
  - KRVM radio listeners...
  - McKenzie area residents
  - Dogs
  - Pets, perhaps?
  - Nature lovers
  - People who enjoy relaxing dog-free recreation.

- **Kid/Youth Focused Comments:**
  - Children w/disabilities (2 responses)
  - Special needs or handicapped kids don't really have a place to go that isn't costly. I feel they would benefit from a learning or activity center.
  - Skate board park for teenagers
  - There are a few neighborhood parks that have equipment that a young child
  - I'd like to see more class offerings for youth in art, music, maybe a foreign language. Things that are being cut from public schools. I know, however, that it can be difficult to fill those classes. I've signed my children up in the past, only to have the class be cancelled for lack of participation.
  - Lap lanes at Splash all being restricted to adult use

- **Adult/Senior Focused Comments:**
  - 18-25
  - I wish there were more things of interest to people in their late twenties or early thirties...I think 18-49 covers too many adults in one group.
  - Over-all you do very well in the child and senior brackets. I think it would be good to focus a bit more on adults to help build your constituency
  - I would love to take an art class, but they are all senior classes.
  - Spanish classes for adults 18-49
  - Moms need recreation that has child care
  - I would like to see more classes that are scheduled at the end of the (regular) work day
  - Take into consideration many parents work until 5:00 p.m. when looking at offerings of classes
  - There are so many great programs but I do wish the birth to 5 classes were available for those who have to work during the day. Maybe late afternoon or weekend classes.

- **Other programs requests:**
  - Equestrian activities (2 responses)
  - Cultural
  - More dog park facilities
  - More outdoor facilities and bike/walking trails can be used by all groups
  - Natural forest type settings can be used by all age groups
  - Play fields for soccer practice
  - Perhaps indoor activities with some supervision. This age group "hangs" out in the park & school with nothing to do.
• I haven’t seen much in your publications about social dances.

 Other:
• Day use fees in woodshop, etc. could have some variation
• You need to make it more affordable for low income families to participate in your programs
• Where I live (near Walterville) there are no parks that I go to and the people around me are happy. I respectfully opt-out of the question

Question 7: What prevents you from visiting parks and recreation facilities in the Springfield area more frequently?

Responses for “Other”:  
 Not aware of parks and facilities:  
• Just moved to the area. (2 responses)  
• I am un-aware of a lot of Willamalane’s services  
• Not sure there are activities I'm interested in  
• Recently moved here from out of state and starting to discover parks  
• Have only lived here 2 years not familiar with all of them yet  
• Have only lived in the area 2 1/2 months  
• Just recently moved to Springfield from Cottage Grove  
• Just moved here in July  
• Just moved out here and have not gone to one  
• Need a large print sheet with the info of what's happening and when/price  
• Not aware of all the features each park has available

 Not conveniently located/programmed:  
• Location:
  o Bus doesn’t run at night times or to these places  
  o Can’t drive  
  o Do not drive at night  
  o I need transportation I have the center van  
  o No car...  
  o Transportation  
  o We live on the edge: near Riverbend  
  o I don't live within 10 miles of any  
  o I’m unable to drive, and I can't afford to ride the bus  
  o If there were a park in my neighborhood, I would use it  
  o They’re accessible enough but could be better  
  o Too far away, but putting them near me would be useless due to population  
  o Lack of way to get there or reason to do so

• Schedule:
  o Inconvenient lap swim and swim lesson hours  
  o Early morning lighting  
  o I work the Night Shift No transportation except for LTD, which frankly isn't that convenient; also many of the classes, etc. offered at rec. centers are during the day, when I'm working.  
  o Schedule of activities  
  o Times of evening classes at Community Center don’t fit with work schedule
Class times conflict
- Inconvenient hours available for use (pool)
- My routine changed, but expect to use the pools more consistently again this year
- The pools are not open at convenient times for children's' use
- Time changes of facility availability
- Some 50+ still work, and if work nights a lot of classes are only offered in pm.
- Most times weekday/daytime classes at adult activity center do not work for me

• Other inconvenience:
  - No Child care available for adult programs
  - With no children, not much use for me. And not close enough to walk to
  - Too many kids - in the adult areas of the pool
  - I used to play tennis often at Douglas Gardens years ago and to use the bathroom on occasion. Not much else to do for seniors but love to walk there. When I brought my 3 year old granddaughter there to playground last week I was disappointed at the minimal equipment and restroom was closed and this was an inconvenience as we walked there. It's a lovely place to walk and reflect with the lovely trees
  - Classes I want to walk and reflect with the lovely trees

➢ Live out of the district:
  - I live in Eugene (3 responses)
  - I live an hour out of town but work in Springfield
  - I live in Eugene and frequent Campbell Center
  - I live in Eugene and only recently returned to Swim Center classes
  - I live in Pleasant Hill on 2.3 acres so we have a reduced need for parks
  - I live on a farm, have my own park
  - I live on the other side of Eugene
  - I live out of the City limits and don't get to the parks that often
  - I live out of the park district...so must drive quite a way
  - I feel rather guilty because I live in Eugene (Centennial/Kinsrow)
  - Live out of district
  - Live too far away
  - We are retired; live in Eugene; use Oakway Fitness and walk at Mall.
  - We live out of district and work. That makes it harder to participate.
  - Please keep in mind I am in Oakridge, that's why too far away
  - We live in Camp Creek, we have school sports
  - Marked this option only because I live in Eugene - I believe the parks are conveniently located for Springfield residents

➢ Inadequate facilities &/or maintenance:
  - Facilities:
    - Not all bike accessible
    - No bike paths
    - Bike paths not comprehensive.
    - Insufficient bike lanes on main thoroughfares.
    - Not enough bike paths/jogging trails
    - Need to connect more bike paths together so more kid friendly (along the river?). we do not have a park in our general neighborhood (Ambelside)
    - Would like more dedicated bike paths
    - Would like some more off street bike paths.
o Not large enough play structures that always prevented me from going to parks.
o No restrooms
o Lack of bathrooms for young children
o No restrooms
o When visiting with grandchildren: Lack of Restrooms
o Proper clean toilet facilities “year round”.
o Restrooms need to be open longer hours. Parks need restroom facilities
o Year-round restrooms
o No flushing toilets at the park behind Splash; my daughter is afraid of getting E. coli from port-a-potties.
o We wish Ruff Park had a playground for children and that the Springfield parks had water toys or fountains for the kids to play in... like the Oakway park in Eugene. Bathrooms would be great too, the little ones wet their pants and many times people of all ages are urinating in the parks.
o The park near our home doesn’t have enough playground toys for younger children
o Not the right facilities
o Only outdoor field is soccer.
o Inadequate parking for example William S. Fort
o Lively Park is too busy

- **Maintenance:**
o Island park has a lot of goose poop
o Dog poop
o Water is not working
o Pool maintenance is lousy and full of fungus
o We LOVE Willamalane park on 14th but bathroom conditions are unsanitary for myself and my 2 year old.

- **Other:**
o Waiting for the Clearwater bicycle path to connect to Doris Ranch
o Walking trails unmarked, mile markers would be great
o Stopped going to Clearwater park since wooded area near river was cut
o Weather (some parks have little shade or no covered areas)

- **Not enough time/too busy:**
  - 50 plus activities held during day, still full-time working
  - Family involved in other activities
  - Family not able to get together at the same time to enjoy the parks and facilities
  - I feel I use facilities as often as I have time to
  - Not enough time in my schedule
  - Too many other things to do
  - Working full-time
  - Lack of time
  - The only day I have off is Sunday and I wish Willamalane was still open
  - Mostly the cost and the lack of spare time. Too busy trying to keep our heads above water these days to have fun!

- **Feel unsafe/uncomfortable:**
  - Afraid my kids will come across needles
  - Island Park is still in need of greater security
  - It depends on the individuals that are occupying it - safety reasons
• Too many wannabe gangsters at the park
• Feel unsafe @ Day Island Park
• Skateboarders on sidewalks
• I know of most, but not all. I don't go to island park though unless an activity is there, kind of a rough place.
• At island park, found needle in sandbox, homeless people hanging around. Could use updated playground equipment at Heron Park/Eastgate Woodlands.
• There are pit-bull owners that encourage their dog to attack other dogs and then they flee before being caught. We don't want our dogs or any of our 4 little girls (ages 0-10) to suffer an attack.
• There are some parks, like the park across from Thurston high, that have turned kind of ghetto. that park offers some great things like volleyball, but the people, most often adults, that hang around there are trouble makers and they litter and spit on the play structures. because of them, I don't like taking my kids to that park.
• Unsupervised & poorly supervised children at playgrounds, especially the water play area at Lively Park. My 3 year old has had several bad experiences with kids splashing water & sand on him, not allowing him to touch the equipment, etc. Twice the parents were right there & made rude comments about me when I tried to talk with all the kids and get them to work together. I do not think it was an isolated incident. My parents also took him there right after it opened and they reported mean children pushing others down the slide and off of other equipment. I am saddened that families cannot enjoy our parks without being bullied. And these are not “big kids”.

➢ Not relevant to interests/needs:

• General:
  • Have other interests (4 responses)
  • Not interested (2 responses)
  • I have other things that I do
  • Facilities provided not relevant to my recreational activities
  • No applicable activities to my desires
  • Not interested especially in the winter months with bad weather
  • Not needed for my current activities
  • Seems boring
  • Not part of life style
  • Not something for my interests
  • Rather not be around so many people

• Specific:
  • I would like to see a work out gym for adults, ballet and dance classes for children
  • More classes, knitting, crocheting, beading
  • More stuff for younger kids.
  • Not a park user, other than off-street bike paths
  • Would like to see a gym facility added to Splash
  • Closed pottery class
  • Enough places in neighborhood to walk
  • Gym membership
  • Need more things for toddlers
  • No equestrian trails
  • Not feeding of birds disabled children feel important and special when they can feed the birds
- Spend a great amount of time with the dog and radio controlled plane in empty field across the street from my house.
- I am mostly natural setting focused along with use of entertainment venues like the Wildish. With the Clearwater trail I will use the facilities more.
- We hike and usually have our dogs so we prefer less populated areas - Jasper Park; Elijah Bristow; Dexter.
- Would prefer more activities geared toward working adults. Most activities are for families and I have no children

**Physical limitations:**
- I have trouble walking (2 responses)
- Age limits what I can do both physically and time-wise
- I am in a wheelchair & use RideSource so I can’t just get in my car & go
- I'm 85 & limit my activities
- Our health is declining and difficult to get out!
- Age-don’t walk alone
- Ailments
- Disabilities aged 94
- Hard to get out, older adult, needs someone to drive me
- My parents feel that the parks/facilities are great but aren’t comfortable with me getting there on my own

**We do use them:**
- Nothing (6 responses)
- I use them a lot (2 responses)
- I think we use the facilities quite a bit already (2 responses)
- I attend the skate park in Willamalane every day it's sunny so no complaints
- I don't have any problems with visiting parks or facilities
- Haven't had any issues
- I like walking trails & native plants. The more you have, the more I'll visit.
- I mostly use the bike paths, sometimes hang out in parks closest to me or have a picnic
- I use Willamalane Parks to some degree daily - nothing prevents me!
- I use them all the time; read schedule of activities take my grandchildren
- Nothing prevents me, I've been visiting them.
- Right now I make use of your services twice a week
- The Adult Center is close and covers my interests
- This is a loaded question. Nothing prevents me from using them. What are you looking for?
- Try to visit as much as possible but, have been very busy. I do visit WAAC a lot.
- Very good Facilities
- We do visit frequently....again thank you!
- We enjoy the Activity Center programs, the day trips, and Camp Putt
- We use the parks often and love them
- We visit as often as we can with our younger children
- We visit them quite often as we have a 2 yr old & 5 yr old. They love it!
- Go mainly with grandchildren
- Have young children - we do visit playgrounds often
- Interested in birding...am enjoying the Audubon bird brochure for the Eastgate area.
- None of the above. I use the ones my family needs
• Visit the parks all of time while riding bikes and to take the kids to play in the neighborhood park
• Have been going to park off Hwy 58/Pleasant Hill area to walk (occasionally Doris Ranch) since you developed Clearwater Park like wooded areas

➢ **Cost:**
• Lack of funds to participate
• Cost is very prohibitive for McKenzie area residents
• Cost to get there
• Out of district EXTRA fee.
• SPLASH IS WAY TOO EXPENSIVE IT'S REDICULOUS!!!!!
• Cost is too high to swim
• Do not have the money to participate in activities I would like to
• I live between Springfield & Eugene so I have to pay more in both cities than residents
• Cost for swimming is too prohibitive for me; I use bike paths daily, unfortunately they are not easily linked

➢ **Other**
• **General:**
  o I really don't know why (2 responses)
  o Just don't take time (2 responses)
  o Did not respond (2 responses)
  o I'm lazy
  o LAZINESS & TELEVISION
  o No reason other than my laziness
  o Motivation
  o No one bugs me to attend more often, but if they did, I would!
  o Nothing prevents me from going, I just don't
  o Nothing prevents me—I come as often as I want
  o Nothing, I just don't go, other than the aqua exercise classes.
  o Obligations elsewhere
  o So many good options to choose from
  o No particular reason
• **Specific:**
  o The eastern woodlands is my favorite haunt during fishing season
  o Closest is Island Park and Willamette Natural Area
  o Would love to have someone be with me
  o I go to Pisgah a lot
  o Dog needs place to be off-leash
  o Island park & the adult activity center are close to home & the other facilities are too far away & not what I would use.
  o Don't go to parks, walked along the river several years ago, some events at Day Island
  o Dog not on leash.
  o I would be more likely to go if there were more areas I could run my dog off leash
  o I appreciate the adult activity Off-leash dogs can only be avoided by visiting parks on rainy days
  o Center support of lane county ping pong
  o Mostly the weather
  o There are no 'no smoking' signs, so adults sit around play areas and smoke - it's disgusting.
So many people
o There are pedo Bears about
o We are traveling out of the area
o Weather
o I don’t set aside time to go visit the parks. I am part of the volunteer group that shows up at Ruff Park to clean and help maintain. This past Saturday we spent 2 1/2 -3 hours spreading bark.

**Question 8:** Which major park and recreation projects are most important for our community?

**Responses for “Other”:**

- **All of the above:**
  - All of them (2 responses)
  - All of these are important to the community. Parks are part of what makes this a community. The Thurston area doesn’t have bike paths and we’d like to move to Eugene to have access to nice bike paths. Biking is a universal healthy activity that almost all can enjoy, if we have a safe place to do so. It’s good for our minds and bodies. Because of the weather we need indoor recreation. Our kids and adults need competitive sports fields to be active and healthy. Athletes are less likely to be gang members or drug addicts and we only need to look around to see the epidemic of obesity within our community. To be a community we really need all of these and we need them to be safe and clean for us to use them.
  - A variety is important. Each person could use one of each depending on the day.

- **No additions/changes needed:**
  - I’m happy with what we have
  - We are living in a period of required financial restraint. None of these are important right now

- **Off-street bicycle paths and trails:**
  - Combination Frisbee golf and walking path conveniently located
  - Trail along the Millrace which is yet to be developed
  - Bicycle lanes on main thoroughfares

- **Improvement and renovation of existing parks:**
  - Continue to enhance the parks and facilities we have
  - I heard plans for a lazy river at Lively Park; I think that would be great

- **Indoor recreation facilities for a variety of uses:**
  - Pools (2 responses)
  - Indoor tennis
  - Tennis courts...some indoor ones would be super
  - Indoor skate park
  - Lower patron costs, more year round aquatic facilities
  - Space for the community -- at a low cost -- space with Willamalane is expensive -- maybe discounts? There is a large home school community that could use affordable space.
  - An indoor playground & sports field
  - Adult Activity Center
- **Outdoor facilities:**
  - OUTDOOR WATER PARK (2 responses)
  - Outdoor water park & pool
  - Outdoor wading and water parks
  - Dog parks (3 responses)
  - Pet friendly
  - Dog exercise areas
  - Modification of park rules to allow off-leash activities for humans and their canine companions
  - Off leash dog Parks incorporated in all parks
  - Off leash dog park (westside) within Kelly Butte area
  - Signs showing closing times
  - Running track
  - Skate park E Springfield
  - Skate plaza bye Thurston park/lively park
- **Program specific needs:**
  - Classes at Adult Activity Center
  - Senior Center computer lab hours
- **Other:**
  - Closer to home recreation facilities by gateway area not so far by 32nd
  - City parks! Develop the piece of land next to city hall?
  - Dog-free recreation opportunities
  - I'd like to see more partnership of local parks & school district, and volunteerism
  - Keep people all over Lane County better informed
  - Leave Island Park free of high-rise and other development!
  - Parks that provide educational opportunities
  - Swimming pool hours that are convenient to ALL tax payers with kids!
  - We're in Oregon!
  - You need to cut back on expenses and stop the tax increases
  - All park facilities should be fully handicapped/auto doors on all buildings
  - Being alone I do hesitate going to some parks alone
  - Don't feel qualified to say; I'm not a Springfield taxpayer
  - Personally I think this is something someone should do a study to analyze based on density and actual site evaluation as to visitors.
  - With an economy that has 15% of people out of work we need to cut the cost of living to those working so they can keep up with the needs of the rest of the people
  - A place for just teens 13-18

**Question 9:** Parks include different features. What types of outdoor recreation features are most needed in Willamalane's parks?

- **Fenced, off-leash dog parks:**
  - Fenced off-leash dog park westside of town
  - I'm guessing dog owners are like my sisters, who love to take dogs to off-leash parks
  - Dog parks
• I would like a dog park modeled on Portland’s. Not entirely enclosed so dog’s must be well trained to use it.
• None of these are of interest to me and my family. The dog park in Thurston area will be a good thing.

➤ **Large destination playgrounds:**
- Community park play structures and Family picnic areas
- Equipment appropriate for 3 and younger
- More playground equipment for toddlers
- Play structures
- Safe, fun, good, quality play grounds

➤ **Riverfront access points:**
- Riverfront access with jogging/bicycle/pedestrian trails
- Walking/hiking trails in woods and/or along rivers and lakes
- Keeping river trails natural, no roads or motorized vehicles
- Millrace access points and trail
- River access would be great
- A river watersports area (for kayakers, boaters, etc.)

➤ **Outdoor water playgrounds:**
- NOT a water park!!!
- Outdoor wading and water features park.
- Safe outdoor splash water play areas for kids

➤ **Bike/pedestrian facilities:**
- Bike paths
- Biking (BMX) tracks
- More bike paths
- Off road bike paths
- Safe Connectivity for walkers, bikers
- Walking, bicycle riding paths
- Walking paths
- Running/walking path
- Off road trails for running & hiking
- Equestrian trails

➤ **Swim facilities:**
- Indoor heated pools
- Indoor pool

➤ **Sports facilities:**
- All weather lacrosse field
- BASEBALL SOFTBALL MULTI-USE COMPLEX
- Basketball courts
- Covered Tennis Courts, Golf Driving Range, track
- Disc Golf Park in Springfield? Yes, please!
- Indoor Lacrosse field is greatly needed in Springfield (3 responses)
- Lacrosse fields with artificial turf and lights
- TURF FIELD AT SPORTS CENTER FOR LACROSSE
- Tennis courts
- Multi-Sports complexes
- Turf football fields and nicely maintained baseball fields
- Turf soccer/sports fields
- Artificial turf field
- Basketball courts
- Football fields
- Grassy field to play soccer, Frisbee, etc.
- Need Frisbee golf in Springfield that would attract families & adults
- Pickleball courts
- Sand volleyball
- Tennis courts w/ the wall thingy to hit
- Try concrete ping pong tables Roseburg company making them now very European concretabletennis.com
- Parks by in large are a waste of money populated by thugs who pollute them and the city refuses to make them clean and safe.

**Natural Areas:**
- Natural space accessibility
- Need to keep some city park wild areas natural, not all manicured or developed
- Natural areas
- Natural settings that provide peace and solitude.
- More natural areas
- Natural/Wooded Areas

**No Changes/Not sure:**
- Happy with what we have
- All of them
- I don't know. I would probably not use any of the items listed above.
- I know that these already exist not sure what more is needed.
- We have a nice mix of park usage areas already
- I think they're fine
- No capital projects should be undertaken at this point in time.
- I don't visit parks enough
- Not familiar with the parks so I can't answer.
- None

**Other:**
- Neighborhood community gardens where room is available
- Local parks
- Safety is a priority
- Tours for Seniors, both in Springfield and nearby
- Access to existing parks for disabled for picnics/reunions.
- Disability access
- Easy an close access for seniors, safe environment with appropriate parking
- Lower patron use costs
- Open fields
- Parking for activities
- Paved, flat areas for little ones to ride bikes and scooters
- Restrooms
- Shaded play areas
- Smaller, local parks
- Lively outdoor park has added a lot to the area, with meeting place, bbq, playground and dog park. It would be nice to have paths along the river for biking and walking like around Island park to Eugene. I ride from Island park to the rose garden in Eugene, valley river center ect. It would be nice to connect here in the Thurston are and go to Eugene. It would be nice to have an outdoor pool option like Amazon in Eugene, in the summer or a water playground to cool off in the heat of the summer.
- Need more cooking/meeting sites like the one at Splash because there are not enough places to do weddings, reunions, and meetings that are reasonably priced and easy to use for food prep

**Question 19:** Any final comments, suggestions or requests?

➢ **Positive Feedback:**
  - **General Support:**
    - Doing a great job -- Keep it up! (10 responses)
    - I love Willamalane! (4 responses)
    - Good Luck with future endeavors (2 responses)
    - I Love your Parks!!!
    - A very good district
    - Everyone at Willamalane does a great job.
    - As a senior citizen I am happy with Willamalane
    - Former Employee - Proud of Willamalane, once too have been part of your program
    - Great job, thank you for asking for community input and support!
    - I am sure whatever you do; it will be beneficial to our city!
    - I am very pleased with Willamalane’s services. Great staff and activities!
    - I am thankful for all Willamalane offers!
    - I appreciate Willamalane and what it does!
    - I love Willamalane. I think our parks are wonderful.
    - I enjoy this facility
    - I think you run an first-class operation at Willamalane
    - Keep up the good work!!!
    - Keep up the good work. You do an excellent job of reaching out to all segments of our Springfield population.
    - Keep up the great job and thanks again for the volunteer luncheon - it was great
    - Keep up the great work Willamalane! And, Mike Moskovitz rocks! Jason Genck too (sometimes).
    - Love your programs
    - My son and I LOVE Willamalane! Thank you for all you do!
    - Overall Willamalane Does A Great Job!
    - Thanks for all that you do! (4 responses)
    - Thank you for Willamalane’s great services! (2 responses)
    - Thank you for being there
    - Thank you for improving our area. You are doing a great job. (2 responses)
    - Thank you! Doing great things for our community
    - Thanks for being there for the community! We love our Willamalane
    - Think you facilities are excellent!
    - We think you do a great job over all.
Willamalane Parks & Rec does a really nice job!
Willamalane doing a good job
Willamalane is a great bang for the buck.
Willamalane is doing a fantastic job will all that they offer
Willamalane offers great programs
You are doing a great job! Thank you (3 responses)
You guys are the best.......
Very pleased with Willamalane

Appreciations:
Great idea this survey, Hope it helps. [this person had many notes on each page]
Thank you for asking (2 responses)
Thank you for taking the time to survey the community!
Glad you did a survey
Thank you for taking this so serious
Thank you for the opportunity to comment (2 responses)
Appreciate the research work
I appreciate having a responsive park and recs program in the community
I am grateful to have a community that has so many options available to all ages
I believe Springfield is moving towards a family activity/ies place to live, keep it up
I have always had a wonderful experience when dealing with Willamalane Parks and Recreation!!
I love the range of activities that are available.
I love your program. Although we are in Oakridge, we participate in as many things as possible.
I really appreciate living in a area with such a good recreational organization
I think you are doing a fine job. Any additions could only enhance Springfield.
The district does an excellent job serving the needs of a diverse community
We appreciate Willamalane--it adds real value to our lives--Thank You!
We have a great Parks and Rec Department. Congratulations and keep up the good work.
We love the parks- thanks for keeping them beautiful all summer!
Willamalane does a good job of caring for our neighborhood park.
Willamalane does a wonderful job with the parks, and I look forward to more!
Willamalane does lots and continues to do well. Keep up the good work.
You're doing a pretty good job already with the limited funding you get! Bravo!
You're doing a great job. I support activities just don't use facilities much.
Your parks are beautifully maintained! Wish that I had more time to use them!
Thanks for letting me participate
I really value the collaborative efforts Willamalane puts forth with the Springfield School District and would put them at the top of my list of important considerations. Thank you for sending out the survey
Willamalane has been a wonderful place for me and my children to experience many wonderful activities over the last 7 years. There are great faculty and wonderful caring employees! Thank you again~
We love the Willamalane Parks and are proud to have your services in our community. You add to our quality of life and offer activities and parks that allow our family to share special time together. Thanks for all you do!!
love what you do for the community... Springfield native grew up here. always enjoyed Willamalane
• I THINK YOU GUYS ROCK & I LOVE HAVING SAFE PLACES TO GO & FABULOUS THINGS TO DO WITH MY NEICES!!!!
• We have always been very impressed with your offerings for kids and look forward to the different programs as our son grows.
• Willamalane Parks and Recreation is well run and maintained. I believe that too few members of the community realize what a great asset they have in their own back yard! Marketing and PR information to the general public are so important. Keep up the great work.
• I love Willamalane. I have never seen another community offer such extensive and rewarding programs like Willamalane. I am grateful to live in this are b/c of Willamalane.
• I appreciate all that Willamalane does to make this community a great place to live! I am 53 and as a child had preschool, ballet, swimming and water ski lessons all with Willamalane and have continued to participate in Will. activities throughout my lifetime.
• I have overall been very impressed by how Willamalane contributes to this community, in so many ways. The management and staff are so passionate about Willamalane’s involvement in community.
• Our park district is amazing, I never cease to marvel at the programs and activities we are able to enjoy.
• Have enjoyed Willamalane system with children, now grandchildren. Keep up the good work! It has been much appreciated.
• I work @ Willamalane and feel the facilities, activities, and personnel are all excellent.

• Program/Facility specific appreciations:
  • You’re doing a great job and I LOVE the Eastgate area....thanks
  • Great job on Clearwater park! also eastgate woodlands looks significantly better now that the ivy is being mowed. Natives are growing and scheduled mowing makes those areas accessible and discourages people from living in the park woodlands.
  • Love the work at Booth Kelly/Clearwater Park. Stay the course with the path and continue to pursue connection to Pisgah
  • Willamalane is great! Looking forward to the Dorris/Clearwater bike path!!!
  • We love the renovated EWEB bike path - we use it all the time. Thank you!
  • Thank you for contributing to my quality of life living here in Springfield. I am especially grateful for the community garden in Meadow Park, summer concerts in Island Park, art openings and community at Willamalane Adult Activity Center, and any outdoor walking & biking paths you are responsible for (I’m not sure which those are).
  • Willamalane Swim Center has better pools by far than DAC or Oakway Fitness, both of which I quit using.
  • Willamalane pool is terrific, great people, facility. Eastgate Woodlands too with dark paths at night I like to ride through and
  • We love the swim program at Willamalane Pool
  • The Splash! staff is incredible, especially the summer swim instructors. What a great group of young people!
  • Great swimming and bicycling facilities
  • We love the pools and use them 4 day or more per week. Thanks Magan we stay up dated
  • Magan Wade at Willamalane Pool does a terrific job managing the facility and communicating with its patrons.
  • We’re very impressed with the recent improvements to Lively Park. We’d love an outdoor water play area. Also, continued maintenance and improvements to the 2 swimming
pools is important to our family. We’d love to see a kiddie pool at Willamalane built that’s similar to the one at Splash!

- I fully enjoy the pool at the Swim Center
- I really enjoy my swimming, play with grandkids @ the parks have picnics etc.
- Love the Willamalane pool and my daughter loves it and the new playground there.
- My children and I love the improvements made at Willamalane Park!
- I am just glad this is happening. I love the re-done park on 14th and hope to see more.
- SHS - I really enjoy taking my little sister to the park on 32nd Street but it’s not very big, and not many kids go there.
- The parks are great in Springfield! Well cared for & well thought out! Especially enjoy all the natural areas; so close with easy access. Love the myriad of outdoor events, festivals, and education offered all summer. Thank you Willamalane!
- I have really enjoyed Dorris ranch over the years, working with your staff for wedding etc has been a very positive experience
- We really enjoy Splash’s new outdoor park!
- Keep up the fine work! We love what you’ve done with Lively Park. Thanks for the wonderful dog park so close to home and so easy to use.
- Love the new park at Splash and although we don’t have a dog, the dog park seems great!
- I love the small dog park. Thank you for having it.
- Elijah Bristow is the only Lane co. Horse park, Splash is the only Dog Park. Both of these are my favorites and I would volunteer to help on either of these.
- Jan B., who teaches the puppy training class, is excellent!
- I enjoy the active ping-pong club which I appreciate very much
- Keep up the good work at the Senior center (classes, etc.)
- Love yoga @ Sr. Center
- Thank you for willamalane senior center a life saver.
- The Adult Activity Center has been an important part of the last 14 years of my life.
- The senior center is much appreciated for all its wonderful activities and classes. It is a great place to be at
- Wonderful Senior Center Activities and Trips
- The survey did not ask about the day trips out of the Adult Center. They are great. I have been attending activities at the Adult Center for 13 years and have enjoyed all the things I have done. I do not live in the District but always contribute during your annual fundraiser. Thanks for all you do.
- I’m very proud of Springfield and Willamalane. You are all doing a good job for us senior citizens, especially like concerts in the park (Island).
- The Adult activity cent (c street) is a welcoming place. I enjoy being here several times a week for classes, etc.
- The Adult Activity Center has excellent classes, good teachers, variety of interesting subjects. Bravo.
- I vol. and love the senior center, I have been act. director for 30 years and I am very impressed with your parks and rec. I have worked with many in N.Y. and this is great here
- You do a great job. I think your adult activity center is better than any other I have visited, both here and in other states!
- Thank you for allowing out-of-district folks ways to use Willamalane facilities like the Adult Activity Center. It is such a great place with wonderful staff.
o The summer festival was wonderful. Thanks for such great planning & great activities for kids.
o We love all the baby/toddler classes offered.
o We love your selection of classes for birth-K kids.
o We love your summer playground program!
o I grew up using the summer park programs. It was good to see one at WSPC this summer.
o Love that you have water baby classes
o We love the free classes for teens and my son loves his tae kwon do class with Mr. May
o The services you provide for kids during the day during the summer are very helpful and serve a long-term purpose to keep Springfield’s crime rate lower and changes children’s lives
o I am a teacher in the Springfield School district and I think the kids club is so important! I also loved the Friday Arts!
o We love Willamalane—nothing like it where we came from. Our adult daughter has a developmental disability—we are so grateful for the Adaptive recreation program. Thanks!
o I really like WLane. Love the Wed movie class, everyone is nice, wonderful atmosphere, active but restful. Well organized, enjoy just being here.
o Over the past few years I have participated in activities through Willamalane, most recently I am going on the International trip to Beijing. I have shared with others the things that your facility has to offer and know firsthand that they are checking out your website. Keep up the good work!
o We can do it! Help Splash, some classes, and toddler classes. 50+ curriculum & center is wonderful classes, instructors, participants, center coffee & socialization, friendly qualified teachers. Enjoy taking my 2 grandchildren to parks to play, ride bike & walk.
o I’m glad there is a functioning van (most of the time) to go to the center and back. It’s a long way via bicycle from so 41st.

➤ Suggestions for new programs/events:
o Cribbage
o Have Bingo twice a week
o I am from Japan. I can teach Japanese or cooking or art
o I like gymnastics and wouldn’t mind coming to an event
o I’d love to see more Martial Arts Classes, especially for Adults
o More table games, Sr. Fishing derbies, rowing, Sr. sports
o Please consider water polo for Springfield!!!!! Great sport for kids
o An Adult summer movie in the park
o Please bring back the living history festival at Dorris Ranch is some shape and form. Also, I would like to express my support of saving the Briggs house there since it is one of the oldest buildings in Springfield still standing. Thanks for all you do to make out town a fun place to live.
o SHS - organized group for river rafting (affordable) maybe locally
o More active outdoor activities such as canoe/kayak trips and day hikes. Compared to other park districts I have visited in my walking in all 50 states, Willamalane is fantastic. Keep up the good work!
o Talking groups to get to know your fellow senior
o I would like to see some classes offered to ages 45 year old and up possibly pertaining to computer skills. Classes offered like photo shop for this age group might be a popular topic. Just an idea.
Overall, I think you do a great job! Would love new (hip) aerobics class!

Offer language classes or groups for adults 18-49 in later afternoon after 3pm particularly interested in Spanish

SHS - Just more stuff to do cause there’s like nothing to do at all at least for teens

THS - Great presentation. I would suggest an audio/visual program for youth. Also a city run boxing program for youth.

THS - A community concert band for teens? Local concerts in the park?

THS - Hold more special events for older teenagers or young adults, such as tournaments, games, or any other activities.

Would love art classes for my two children. Please!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Tumbling classes for children under 5

We would like to see more activities for middle and high school aged kids. The opportunities for this age group seem fairly limited, so more low cost activities would be good.

- Suggestions for existing programs/events:
  - More classes
  - Would like to see more effort to attract walkers on Monday, Wed & Fridays
  - More staff for summer park program
  - I have been impressed with the maintenance of our local park & hope it continues. We also participate in the organized Birth to 5 classes when we can. It would be nice to have those offered more often--we can only attend MWF & most were offered only on Tu/Th.
  - I think drop in computer use should be time limited but free. suppose we save 44cens on postage but spend $1.00 to read our email where is that at?
  - I would love to see yoga and aerobic classes on Saturday and Sunday mornings! And an aerobic class offered at the Adult Act. Center in the evenings. Would also like to see some of the classes/lectures that are offered during the day for Adults 50+ be offered in the evenings. For example the Financial Foundations, Healthy Feet are Happy Feet, or Italian classes. Not all of us over 50 are retired!! I like seeing the addition of the sewing classes. How about scrapbooking for adults.
  - Offering a larger variety of activities for kids and adults during evening hours
  - More Sunday, Monday, and evening classes for all ages
  - More daytime swim lessons for moms that work evenings or stay at home. Your only options are Saturday
  - I would like to see onsite child care offered during the adult classes. Even if this cost a small amount per time, I believe that this would open up the opportunity for more parents to be able to use the services you provide. Sometimes it is not possible to have regular child care available in order to sign up for a class. The knowledge that they are there with me while I am taking the class and that I am only paying for that time would be so nice. Thank You
  - More Adult activities or family activities would be great. Like maybe some of the stuff you offer for seniors would be way fun for people my age.
  - Zumba classes at the Main community center 11:30 to 12 so moms can work out while their kids are at school
  - It would be nice to have classes, etc for adults at times other than during the day, when many adults (and others, for that matter) are working.
  - Would love to see more evening hour activities for elementary aged kids, working a job with "bank hours" does not make it easy for me to enroll my child in after school fun activities if I am unable to transport her to classes like dance or ceramics, etc. If there
were classes that started at 6:00, 6:30 and went until 7:00 or 7:30, I would be a very happy Mom.

**Suggestions for new indoor facilities:**
- A work out center would be wonderful!
- I would LOVE to see a sports complex in Springfield, preferably turf for year-round sports
- Indoor activity facilities such as an inexpensive place for volleyball
- Need racquetball courts and indoor soccer facilities
- Our family would use roller skating or ice skating facilities often if they were built
- SHS - I think it would be really cool to have a paintballing arena
- We are a family that uses the ice rink and the threat of not having ice in our area would be devastating. Ice sports would be a huge boon to Springfield and we wouldn't have to deal with the local politics if Willamalane could fund an ice rink.
- We don't have a racquetball court in our town of Springfield. That would be a nice thing to have added. Thank you
- SHS - Maybe more fun little outdoor playgrounds with the water things that shoot up for summertime. A teen center/high school place that's not a place where teens wouldn't think is cool. Doesn't have to be to fancy but something like a gym (or swim & tennis club) but for high school only. No membership needed.
- SHS - Free indoor basketball courts
- SHS - “Clubs” for underage teens, music, dancing, non-alcoholic beverages, games, hangout areas, etc. That would be awesome!
- SHS - It would be nice if high school students had an area/building for hanging out with things to play with.
- SHS - Maybe opening a place that has music with pool tables, drinks and food you can buy and a dance floor and other activities for 13-18 year olds. And another sport that could be added is rugby.
- It would be nice to have a well maintained (clean) indoor play area for young children during the fall/winter months
- Yes have better food meals at center

**Suggestions for existing indoor facilities:**
- I would really like to see an addition to Splash offer a workout facility w/ classes
- Increase the length of the swim lap pool at Willamalane swim center.
- Keep lively pool open later for lap swimming
- Need to install weight rooms at the pools, fix the hot tub switch @ Splash
- Pool Pool Pool or waterplay park at 32nd street.
- An adult only swim area for water fitness
- Automatic doors at Lively Pool, I use a walker and it is very hard to get in by myself. thank you
- Wider doors for wheelchairs, warm water therapy pool with ramp
- Maybe have the air a little warmer at Willamalane pool especially early in the mornings
- More disabled changing rooms and somehow hot/warm water for showers. t and th not class day in a.m. anyway so should be warm to hot
- I would love to have a multi-purpose fitness center at Willamalane Pool (rather than at Splash). It’s more easily accessible to more people. In addition, that's where the swim club is. Likely parents would use the fitness center while their children spend hours and hours swimming :)
- Please active the security cameras at Splash. My husband had his wallet stolen just last week
Fine arts and classical concerts were missing from the survey, both of which I have participated in and would like to see more of in your offerings (i.e. Art in the Park, painting and drawing classes)

- **Suggestions for new Outdoor Facilities:**
  - SHS - Oregon needs a theme park
  - Areas for horseback riding are greatly needed in this area!
  - Horse trails would be a great addition!!
  - Build a larger bike path system, both on and off street.
  - Running/biking trails in Thurston area!!
  - Community gardens would be my #1 request
  - We need a better Community Garden in Springfield!!
  - It would be really nice to have a dog park if possible
  - KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK. MORE OUT DOOR WATER PARKS IN THE THURSTON AREA! WE HAVE NONE
  - SHS - Please keep the pools. I would like an outdoor pool like Amazon
  - Hope you will create a trail along the millrace with fishing an access points
  - SHS - There should be more fishing spots
  - SHS - We need to build a drive in theater
  - THS - Build a new park in the Thurston Hills.
  - Disc golf, disc golf, disc golf
  - A nice disc golf course would be extensively used and is good family fun and exercise and inexpensive; please build one.
  - Yes! How about a disc golf park? We don't have one in Springfield, but probably have plenty of park space.
  - It would be nice to have camping places closer to town. Also It would be nice for the homeless people to sleep somewhere safe.
  - I would love to have pickleball courts available. It is a fast growing sport everyone can play. We have used the tennis courts at Meadow Park to play on and every time we have had interest in what we were playing from onlookers. It is available at River Road parks and I think it would be of interest in Springfield with some informational lessons available on how to play the game.
  - SHS - An outdoor theater where movies were played during the summer would be cool

- **Suggestions for existing Outdoor Facilities:**
  - SHS - A running track instead of more soccer fields could be put on S. 32nd. The only ones that are in Springfield are at the two high schools and are far apart. Putting on in next to the sports complex might get more people interested in exercising. You can fit a football or soccer field inside the track.
  - Seriously consider a lacrosse field at the Sports Center. Lots of kids want to play.
  - An artificial turf field that lacrosse can be played on would be great
  - I strongly believe if you were to build a recreation field that could be used for Lacrosse and other sports it would be beneficial not only to the player but also to the community and the people who live in it will build relationships not only with the players but the families and friends as well.
  - To have an artificial turf lacrosse field built
  - We need a lacrosse field in Springfield. Eugene has at least 8. (4 responses)
  - We need a turf lacrosse field in Springfield. Eugene has 8 turf lacrosse fields. Our youth and high school lacrosse programs are growing.
  - Lacrosse fields would be great! (2 responses)
- Please consider a lacrosse field at 32nd street
- Put some lacrosse lines and goals on those turf fields!! more and more high schools are getting lacrosse teams and community interest seems to grow every year!
- WE WOULD LOVE TO HAVE A TURF FIELD AT THE SPORTS CENTER FOR OUR LACROSSE PLAYERS TO UTILIZE. EUGENE HAS ATLEAST 8 OF THEM, AND SPFD. HAS NONE. OUR PLAYERS CAN NOT BE COMPETITIVE WITH EUGENE PLAYERS WITHOUT THE AVAILABILITY OF A TURF FIELD FOR PRACTICES AND GAMES. THANK YOU. (2 responses)
- A lacrosse field is needed immensely at the regional sports center on 32nd. For Thurston, when football season is coming to a close we move our practices their daily. Lacrosse, however, spends about the first half of its season slopping around in a dangerous mud hole. A lacrosse field would be a wonderful, useful addition to the sports center.

- Covered Tennis courts!
- Upgrade the lights on the tennis courts by the pool.
- We would love to see a skatepark at Lively!
- SHS - A skate plaza in Thurston right next to lively park would be great there are meetings at manna boardshop talking about it and working on donations but it would be great if you/Willamalane went to manna board shop to discus maybe plans with the owner of the shop.
- I do not garden but enjoy the efforts of others (and am into photography) so if there would be walks with sites worth photographing that would be fun!
- Work with city of Eugene to make connected bike and running trails that are SAFE
- I and other friends have to go to Eugene to go on recreational bike rides. It would benefit the city of Springfield greatly to have a bike path system like Eugene’s that could offer people bike rides of up to 20 miles or more.
- Increase number of bike paths and bike lanes on routes to parks. Make them user friendly and safe.
- Connect the by-gully bike path to centennial Blvd via Anderson Rd
- I would like you to look for opportunities to tie in parks and paths with adjacent opportunities. An example would be a footbridge at Clearwater park to Mt. Pisgah. Look for potential to get recreation easements for places like Quarry Butte and the Weyerhaeuser road to Fall Ck. There is not good bike access to the Pleasant Hill/Lowell areas from SE Springfield. If there is a bridge at Clearwater a paved path suitable for road bikes would be a very welcome addition. Overall I'm very satisfied with what Willamalane provides for the community, your willingness to partner with other entities and the value for the dollars spent. You have done very well over the last 20 years.
- Expanding the network of connecting bike paths and trails makes our community more friendly and livable. I like to be in places that have a good walkscore on walkscore.com!
- I'd like more bike/walking paths and especially linking routes together, as of now choices are not the safest, traffic, roads have changed since of the routes were established, i.e. 28th St south of Centennial to Main. Connecting along 126/105 from McKenzie Levee Trail to Thurston would be WONDERFUL.

- Very interested in development of bicycle pathways
- Maintaining and extending the bike path does much to increase residency in Springfield!
- My five year old son has been involved in Willamalane programs nearly every day for the last year and will continue to be involved in Little Kids Club this year. We love the early childhood education programs. As a professional educator, I'm impressed with the quality of programming available for my son. Additionally, I'm a frequent park user and would love more adult fitness options listed at local parks. For example, it would be great if
there was a sign at the 32nd st. soccer fields that showed a map of the park with a couple of walking/jogging loops and mileage/distance of those loops. I'd also like to see a couple of 1 mile kids' fun runs incorporated into the calendar year. If the Turkey Stuffer had a 1 mile, "turkey tots" run for kiddos, my son would absolutely participate in that!

- Limit dogs to dog parks. Dog owners will not follow rules, and parks can't police them.
- Would love to finally see Dorris Ranch protected & very well-posted with signs declaring that all dogs MUST be on leash. And enforcement/consequences for all the deliberate repeat offenders. This escalating problem must be resolved, not only out of respect for this historic farm & wildlife sanctuary, but for the safety of all her visitors. Would be happy to help in any way possible...Thank you for listening once again! :0)
- When creating dog parks divide them up into separate sections for large dogs and small dogs.
- SHS - A meadow would be really pretty
- Would love it if you'd dig up the G Street skate bowl and plant a small forest in its place.
- Natural areas need ivy and blackberry removal (selective) and planting of native plants.
- Many park areas need to be opened up and have bushes scaled back to keep transients form living in the park areas
- Updates, land appearance
- SHS - Willamalane needs more maintenance around in the summertime
- More monitoring at Willamalane park, checking for bottles and needles
- Make more regular bathrooms, more scooter and wheelchair accessible paths, picnic areas  more  semi covered areas
- Clean bathrooms with functioning doors, faucets, and soap are a must!!
- Porta potties at parks for little kids would be great so we don't have to use the trees. Toddlers need access quickly or they have wet pants.
- Add restrooms in all parks, even if only portable. Would you prefer people just use the bushes?? Because that is exactly what happens.
- PLEASE put restrooms at Willamalane park! I have two little ones, and it is hard to go there with no close restrooms when potty training is involved! Also, it would be neat to have an outdoor water area at Willamalane instead of splash. I thought there was going to be one but it's not yet? And, I think I put the correct area I live, but the map is a little confusing. Not sure what street separates the west from the central. THANKS!
- All parks should have water
- SHS - More water fountains at 32 street soccer fields. lights on the field should be left on for people to play when it's dark.
- Please, please, please add 'no smoking' signs to the parks! Especially near the playgrounds.
- 1. need more effort to limit concert noise at Island Park especially loud bass. 2. need more volunteers ivy cutting work parties 3. leave old trees in place only as a last resort remove cottonwood trees from island park and other parks
- It would be really nice if Willamalane would add some toddler/ younger children playground equipment to the Jasper meadows park. There are several babies and children under the age of 3 in the neighborhood. A lot of the people that live in the neighborhood are young and starting families. Just a suggestion. Thanks for all you do!
- Add water spray parks at locations other than Splash. Look at preserving more natural spaces especially those on the hills around us.
- Would like to see more 'presence' at the skate park. lots of drug activity in that area. Thanks for so many nice parks.
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- More open swim area w/basketball hoop enforcement of bikers off sidewalks and grassy areas skateboarding in skate parks only - they pay to use ban power boats - they’re noisy, polluting and destructive.

- (answer #10) I feel that there is more need for outdoor waterplay at island park or heron park facilities close to downtown where people can walk and bike to. I love the bike trails along the Willamette, the new playground at G & Mohawk and the Adult activity center. Although more efforts should be focused on the downtown area instead of Thurston. There is a huge need for more new playground equipment at the island park and heron park. Why not build a new water playground at one of those locations instead of splash!? Island park has a lot of potential but the drug and homeless people are a deterrent. That whole area by the Willamette/mill street could be a beautiful downtown park attraction that would improve the city’s image and bring more people if it were redesigned and enlarged. The other huge need is a community garden on this side of town - I would love to see a community garden at heron or island park or even meadow park. Thank you for your consideration.

- SHS - Get new 10 foot regulation basketball hoops by the skatepark at Willamalane Park

- We visit Doris Ranch quite a lot. I really wouldn’t want to see much more new attractions out there, otherwise it starts losing its rustic charm.

- SHS - Menlo Parks sand box is just a hole with sand in it. The sand box is should be in an enclosed area.

- Copies of songs for water babies classes and regular, posted hours for bathrooms to be open in the parks

➤ Suggestions for program costs and funding:

- You need to be realistic and not plan on a tax increase to already over burdened taxpayers.

- Maintain what we have until economy is better...we are on a budget and SO ARE YOU

- Until our economy picks up I suggest halting all spending. A freeze on spending for a couple of years.

- If you have a bond measure on the ballot please keep in mind that many of us are on fixed incomes. I for one volunteer for many things in the community and only volunteer for one Willamalane activity each year.

- If you are short on funds, do not cut maintenance on existing parks while building new parks/features. Instead, maintain the facilities you currently have.

- Willamalane has great facilities and services. If there is a forecasted funding shortfall, my goal would be to keep the existing facilities as clean and functioning as possible, and cutback on expansion plans (which would increase maintenance needs). Keep up the good work!

- Hope this helps, love what you have done so far. Wouldn’t mind paying a seasonal permit to use your services. but seems a little much to add to taxes for people that don’t use it would be paying. All the free things you do are wonderful and are necessary for low income but if I did a park permit for some areas low income wouldn’t be affected by it would seem a good way to go.

- Reduced fees for family’s currently registered and using one of the services

- You need a family pass for the swimming pool, such as a membership that encompasses all swims and ages that is affordable for young families.

- I would like to see more affordable recreation for children 3+ years. We are a middle class family with four children who would love to be involved in gymnastics, dance, and
martial arts, but the classes are too expensive. We do not qualify for scholarships, yet cannot afford the costs of these types of recreation for our children.

- Make it affordable for everyone to have fun in sports!
- More facility rentals at lower rates
- SHS - Try to have more things for 13-17 years olds to do. Like concerts that that age group would like. And the price should be cheap for them.
- I think that the scholarship should be applied toward preschool fees

➢ Other Suggestions:

- Keep it simple...
- Advertise for MORE Volunteers and people in the 50-60 age groups
- I would like to see the library join your district. I think it would improve the library
- PLEASE don't burn the Briggs House. History is important.
- THS - I'd love to see more outdoor cafes, ice cream trucks, quaint street lights. Happiness.
- THS - Volunteer opportunities = awesome.
- You should do a survey about Kids Club and other child care for parents!
- I'd like to see special activities or discounts planned for those families with children who have a parent deployed and also for the families with someone in the military or a veteran.
- SHS - Drive in movie theater! Maybe that won't cost a lot. Anything less expensive, dances, someplace warm with couches and video games for during the winter.
- SHS - I would say to promote Willamalane more because I had no idea about most of the activities that were being offered.
- SHS - I think all the parks and recreation areas are good overall. However I don't hear about any events or places very often so maybe make more effort to announce and publicize information to the public.
- SHS - I think there should be a little more recreational stuff by the north side, between gateway and Fred Myers so you wouldn't have to walk or drive all the way to 32nd. Royal Dell park needs an extended path for walking.
- How about annexing the area west of Thurston: Cedar Flat & Walterville, or the balance of the school district that is not in Springfield?
- When getting off the bus at Springfield station w/ my bike it's very hard to cross main street safely. Need more bike lanes!

➢ Criticisms:

- Don't forget central and west Springfield, seems more focused on east.
- I think with a bit of planning, the existing facilities/services could do a much better job...
- I've been told by TWO parents that they could NOT register online...
- Inadequate space/facilities for Tai Chi class
- A comment for Senior Willamalane, the new room for Tai Chi class (Don H. Tues-Thurs 11:00-12:00) is definitely not large enough to accommodate us. We really do need more room and space. The other room we had for many years was much more suitable. Why the big change??? I'm very disappointed.
- Jasper Meadows’ Park play structure is dangerous and not toddler friendly
- The pool at Willamalane could be a little warmer
- The showers at the G Street pool really need to be upgraded
- The signage at Dorris Ranch needs some updating and expanding. I got lost last week.
- Too many dogs off leash with impolite, inconsiderate owners.
- Too bad the connector trail along the river from Clearwater to Dorris Ranch says NO HORSES
• [regarding survey] Your map is a little hard to interpret, maybe noting main streets would have helped. Thank you
• This survey is too long. Several things seem to be repeated
• Your survey doesn't include questions of how people recreate or exercise at private facilities or in neighboring cities (i.e. Eugene).
• I already pay lots in taxes to Willamalane, you need to learn how to 'work with what you got' from our household.
• The new swim cards make it hard for me and my friend to split books - please adjust that program. I do however like the paperless system.
• Why wasn't table tennis included; it's the largest group at the center. This is the kind of lack of attention we have gotten for years.
• I am interested in attending evening classes (crafts etc) but most of the classes 'seem' to be geared towards seniors or children.
• Very Disappointed in changes to Clearwater Park - All older trees removed and huge future asphalt road planned - inviting to motorcycles and atv's hunters. I heard plans by someone to use atv to take out deer/turkeys. they plan on shooting down that new road you call it gravel/asphalt you're building the hike/bike trail.
• How about keeping the bike lanes clean for once, that would be refreshing. Ticket home & business owners who push all their lawn debris into the street
• SHS - Do not put any more households or parks in Jasper or anywhere near Pleasant Hill. It'd ruin memories and a perfectly good environment.
• Too many activities for the disabled are only for people with mental disabilities. There needs to be a lot more for people to do who are physically disabled. You should look at funding from the state and county for respite care so there can be enough attendants to help the physically disabled because their families need a break and the disabled person likes to do something "on their own" without a family member present.
• My daughter (age 9) is developmentally delayed. She loves going to the pool/parks. But why aren't there more planned activities for people like her? You have lots of things for developmentally delayed adults but none for kids.

➤ Concerns:
• I think Willamalane is doing an excellent job overall. Please understand that the majority of people cannot afford any more tax increases until we see drastic improvement in our economy. Oregon's focus should be on bringing more business to this state.
• I think you have great programs, I just wish they were more affordable to low income families.Obscene graffiti on fences and path are a huge problem that takes away from the beauty of the bike path. In fact, there are a couple of posts with writings "lame park ahead" and "loser park" near Page. "Pick up poop" signs and replace damaged signs. Although I like the new distance signs on the bike path it would seem more appropriate to use the dollars to help clean up the path rather than add new features. Thanks letting me voice my opinion.
• Willamalane Adult Activity Center provides a wealth of interesting classes and activities. The parks in general are beautiful and well maintained. I wonder if herbicides or chemical fertilizers are used on the grassy areas. If so, I would prefer chemicals not be used. As my grandchildren roll down the neighborhood park hill, I wonder what is on the grass.
• Please don't use this survey as a means to cut back services
• Please don't build businesses in Island Park!!!
• Preserve Island Park without high-rise developments, please!
• Our family would participate more if prices less for McKenzie area residents
• THS - I love nature, its kink of hard finding really nice areas to go on sunny bike rides.
**Questions:**
- Do any of these questions pertain to the Willamalane Daycare facility off of 32nd?
- How do I find out any information or get involved with the planning/development of new parks?
- I'd like to apply for a Board position - How would I go about that???
- Thanks for asking. Any chance having ceramics class again at the Adult Activity center?
- I understand that the City is the owner of (what I know it as) The Heritage House in Thurston. I am saddened that the 178 acres next to it is up for sale. Why is this not being put to use as a natural area with trails, etc for the enjoyment of the public?
- How can those of us who live outside of Springfield help? My wife is a retired Springfield School District Nurse.
- I think, overall, you are doing a good job. I would like to know if you have a plan to put aside funds to maintain existing facilities and programs before implementing new ones
- Would you consider the idea of sliding scale for classes for people at or below poverty level?

**Other/General Comments:**
- I have only been to one facility and would like to know more about the others
- I need more job openings at Willamalane
- I was born/raised in Springfield, but I have been living/studying at the UO area
- In our younger days we would have or did participate in many of your amenities
- Item #12.2 didn’t come through on my phone. I couldn’t see the favorite’s column.
- Just don’t give or sell my phone number and address to anyone! And thank you!
- Needs input of persons planning on being in area next 20 to 30 years.
- Parks are an extremely vital component of any community!
- Please, do not give my contact information to anyone. Email me to save paper.
- THS - Have a nice day!
- Tell Heather Savelich, Mike Allison and Jason Genck hi!
- WPR BUDGET COMMITTE MEMBER
- Not enough time
- Pick me, pick me!
- Sorry I forgot the phone number the first time I submitted the form
- I try to pick up the parks or weed when walking the dogs, if everyone did that it would help. We think of the parks as an extension of our back yard.
- I live in Eugene but do volunteer work at the Willamalane Sr. Activity Center so my information may not be as important as a resident of Springfield.
- THS - If you really believe you can significantly impact the residents of this city, go for it. And good luck.
- I live in the Yolanda school district and there are no parks in this area. I would like to see a park here.
- I didn’t answer 8 or 9 because I don’t think they need improvement
- I live very close to Dorris ranch. #12 question, I’m 83 years old and it is telling just what I can do. THANK YOU FOR THE ADULT ACTIVITIES CENTER
- My church is in Springfield and also many friends, also I lived there for years and participated in swimming and in Hula classes, picnics, programs, etc.
- I would like to receive the survey results but not the periodic updates or mtg notices, thank you.
Appendix A-4
Teen Workshops Written Responses
Springfield High School Government Class Workshop
Teacher: John Lovdokken
1/12 - 1/13/11

CLASS DISCUSSION

Why do adults think we need better/more services for teens?
- If we give teens positive things to do they will stay out of trouble
- There are teens with nothing to do
- Adults want kids to have positive things to do

What kinds of things do you want Willamalane to offer for teens, what to kids/teens want to do? (also see CLASS EXERCISE, question #1)
- Boxing Classes
- Under age Pool Hall
- Offer more multi-use fields at the Center and other locations
  - Annual Cultural Festival (like rodeo in Eastern Oregon)
  - Basketball
  - Skate parks
  - High School dances
  - Rock wall
  - BMX track
  - Other activities in parks (other than playgrounds)
  - Concerts geared towards teens
- Make activities more cost effective (less expensive)

In 20 years, what do you want Springfield to look like? (also see CLASS EXERCISE, Q #2)
- More places for teens to go
- Keep natural areas (Jasper)
- Drive-ins (movies)

Why are parks and recreation important? (also see CLASS EXERCISE, Q #3)
- For the appreciation of nature
- To entertain children

What would your ideal 'hang out spot' look like?
- HS students want a place of their own; don’t want to hang out with MS students!
- Outdoor 'fun pool' like Amazon
- Laser tag
- Paintball
- Capture the flag
- Haunted House
- Nerf wars
- Basketball tournament or drop-in
- Dodge ball tournament or drop-in
Ultimate Frisbee
Flag football
Drop-in activities: Have activities available as drop-in, so they don't have to sign up in advance for a series, and more affordable that way
( lots of hands)
Go-karts
(20+ hands)
BMX
(6 hands)
Videogame tournament
Classes: bike maintenance, computer building, graphic design, silk screening -- hands on and you get a product afterwards to take home (some are offered at SHS but limited # of students can participate, and there is high demand)
(12-15 hands)
Outdoor skills class
Class to build electric cars
Big party/ice cream social after every quarter of school (a non-dancing get-together); not everyone dances
Food is a big draw
Zip line, obstacle course
(20 hands)
River rafting in town (Clearwater to Island Park)-$5/per person
Have 4-wheel bikes to ride on with friends available for rental in parks
Go-kart race through Alton Baker Park
Electric car building and racing
Teen center: Have music, pool, food, drinks
Have a competition for local bands that HS kids put together, or just a venue where these bands can perform
High school dances -- with kids from other schools too -- depends on the music
"Raves" (legal) at the Memorial Building (they have them at Skateworld)
Underage club with dancing and music for 14-18/19 yr-olds ('Chapter 8' in CA)
MS dances at Memorial Bldg were hot, boring, and had bad music
Better music needed: theme dances with different music for each dance (techno, hip hop, disco, Latin, etc.)
(20 hands)
Have a dance in a place where there's other non-dancing stuff to do also, ex: pool, air hockey, hanging out space where you can hear each other
Tango in downtown Eugene is good for all ages Latin dancing, but it's a little weird having adults dancing with kids

CLASS EXERCISE

Question 1: What do you like to do in your free time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 I like to hang out with my friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 I like to hang out with friends and family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hang with friends at Maple Elementary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I just prefer to just hang out most of the time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I like to hang out with friends, listen to music, have laughs and good times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I generally just love to hang out with my friends and family. We never really do anything specific but I think having place to go that are cheap would be fun.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In my free time I like to hang out with friends, do something fun, go to parties? And shop. Hang out with friends in a comfortable environment
Talk with friends
Really like to hang out with my boyfriend
Mudding (?) with friends go outdoors and just have a good time

2 I like to go over to friends' houses
Wrestle with friends
In my free time I like to go chill with friends, go shopping.
I also like to go to the mall & just walk.

2 I like to go shopping

9 Listen to music
I like to listen to music and hang out with friends.

Watch movies and television

7 I like to go see movies
Go to the movies with friends
Go to the mall & movies
Go to movies and take walks
To go out and have fun with others. Just get out of the house.
I like to have my own time that I can use not just in a house but outside a house.
It depends on what kind of day it is. If it is raining, I do inside stuff. If it's nice outside, I would ride around, hang out, trying to have fun and be active. Soak up sun.
Be outside

Outdoor stuff
I like going out and doing stuff, like swimming or sports or just enjoying things around me.

3 Hike
Backpacking

5 Swim

2 Bowling

5 Shoot pool
Ping Pong
Golf

3 Camp
Hunting
Archery

3 Fishing
Dance
3 Play music
   - Play drums
   - Play xylophone
   - Play guitar
   - write music
2 Sing/vocalize
3 Workout
4 Lift Weights
   - Do mixed martial arts
   - Jogging on weekends
3 I like to play sports
   Exercise
3 Soccer
   Cheer/Gymnastics
   - Jump on trampolines
   In my free time I like to play sports like football, soccer softball, dance, tumble, track, basketball, volleyball
   Tennis
   - Play baseball
6 Basketball
   Volleyball
3 Skateboarding
7 Biking
3 biking on the bike path
   I like to walk around and ride my bike
6 I like to draw/art/paint
   I like to play board games
11 Video Games
4 Facebook
4 During my free time I like to read
5 eat/go out to eat
   young life/church
   read books
   visit Eugene library
   try to find places I want to go to with my trusty map to places I can't remember their name, to places I've heard about.
2 concerts
sleep

2 Yoga/Pilates
I always want to go to a park but because it’s cold we can’t
I really like bowling, skating (ice and regular) but it’s too expensive.
I wish the weather was nicer so I could skateboard
go swimming near a park so after I swim I can kick back and relax
concerts that teens actually like
visit family
card games

**Question 2: In 20 years, what do you want Springfield to look like?**

I would like Springfield to be cleaner and have more recreational things running at a lower price.

I would like Springfield to have more culture. Such as more murals, art, etc.
I would like to see Springfield more kind of laid back. Like for example drugs. There should be less discriminating about people who do drugs

Healthy (trees, grass, etc.)
I want Springfield to keep a lot of the parks that it has always had, to keep a lot of nature (trees, rivers, etc.) in the city. Underage “clubs” with music, non-alcoholic beverages, pool, games, couches, just places to hang out.

Really nice streets and people more involved in outdoor stuff, like take walks, sports?

More teen oriented so less teens get in trouble

Mostly the same but less run down and "trashy" looking.

Nice, with no vandalism and nicer up keep of certain areas. Lots of trees and flowers all around

I would like to see Springfield to look cleaner. There should be more swimming centers. A county Library. Because I can’t go to either one. (Springfield, or Eugene Library)

In 20 years I want Springfield to look modern but it still has all the history (buildings, woodlands, parks).

In 20 years I want Springfield to have more stuff to do and better parks.
I want there to be lots of places for teens, adults and kids. Where there are family activities as well as ones for friends and different groups.

A safe place where people can have a good life.

I want Springfield to look almost the same but more parks or even bigger parks.

In 20 years, I want Springfield to still have its small-town feel, but have increased in size. I would like to see something connecting Thurston and Springfield.

Remain nice and tighty
I would like to see Springfield more involved with the community
Springfield needs to look friendlier. There are too many empty industrial lots that are just eye sores to incoming people.
I don’t want to see people struggling with the fact that they can’t make it through life.
I like to see Springfield the same as it is right now. We don’t need to cut down any more of our forest so that houses or buildings can take their place.

The same
I don’t know I like it the way it is but if a change leads to good things and has more pros than cons go for it!

Clean, new, more like Portland, a downtown like Eugene
Like Portland, more entertainment, art, shows, a cool downtown place without druggies and hicks and dirty homeless people yelling at you. And less crime.

More modern, yet still has its natural value. Cleaner
I would like Springfield to be bigger with more people and with more cool things to do. Springfield is sort of boring. I would be nice to have things a little closer to me.

I would like Springfield to have grown some but not to the point of having no nature or anything. Like grown in outdoor activities or maybe a classier town.

In 20 years the Springfield should be much bigger I think more people from different states should be welcomed here.

In 20 years I would like to see Springfield more developed and industrialized with more things to do.

I don’t care I will be in the Airforce
I plan on getting out of Springfield as soon as I can, but having nicer places to hang out would be nice.

Nice, lots of trees and people being outside enjoying the days
An actual city, with a better mall.

In 20 years I would want Springfield to be maybe bigger? Have more stuff to do, like Eugene. Almost everything is over there.
I want Springfield to look clean and enjoyable. With more activities going on like festivals and concerts.

I want Springfield to be clean, lots of stores, and activities to do
A nicer place than it is right now.
I want Springfield to have more parks by rivers, bike/walking paths, so you don’t do the same things all the time. So you have options of things to do. Also use the open fields in parks.

I want Springfield to look like it has been taken good care of; clean streets, (?), nice views of areas, and outside activities everyone enjoys.
Not like New York. Like a place that has meaning, to be just as pretty with all its trees everywhere.

In 20 years I want Springfield to look more modern with more stuff to do like improved shopping centers and stuff.

In 20 years I want Springfield to be a big City, bigger than it is.
Less crime, more jobs/less unemployment, more teen centers, more bus routes or more productive/frequent, more vegetation, less pollution.

More fishing holes.
A skate plaza in Thurston!
More places for teens to go and have a good time.

Less ghetto
I do not want any more parks or homes in Jasper than there already is because that is horse (?) how people ruin the small things that mean so much to others, for instance. I love going out to Jasper where there isn’t a lot of people and it’s country out there. Not loud, not compact, not a lot of crime. It’s peaceful and beautiful.

A community based town with lots of family places.

**Question 3: Why are parks and recreation important?**

**Gives people something to do/socialization**

Parks and recreations are important because if somebody wants to play soccer or use time to hang out they could over there and just have fun in parks.

It keeps the community occupied...gives kids things to do, besides watching T.V. all day.

They're necessary: people use gyms all the time, people need soccer fields and swim places if they swim. They need somewhere to play the sport they play.

They offer things to do.

They are important because it gives people a place to go and get together with others. And it gives you something to do.

Parks and Recreations are important to help keep things clean and have places to swim and do extracurricular activities.

Parks are important to keep people active and to have something to do. Instead of being home and getting fat.

Important because they keep kids busy outside.

They are important because if we didn’t have them there would be nothing to do besides sitting at home and watching T.V..

They are important because it gives people constructive and positive ways to spend their time. Good thing for little kids.

Give people things to do, make people be more social/get out.

They are important because they give people more activities to choose from.

So people can have a place to have fun at and play with their friends.

So little kids have something to do?

It’s a good place to go with families or friends. They are a good excuse to get out of the house and do something besides video/computer games.

To make people want to be animated with their family, friends, and community.

Parks and recreations are important I think because it helps keep people busy, have fun and something to do.

They give us something to do rather than staying doing nothing. They help us stay active and healthy.

To promote activity.

Gives more options.

To keep people active
Parks are important for little kids and its free so like if you don’t have money you can just always go to the park.
So people are productive and enjoy what we have. Not just build something that won’t get used.
Because people need a place to be outside of their house.
Because it gives people a place to go when they are bored or just need somewhere to go.
Parks and recreation are important to keep the citizens happy and having fun.
Parks and recreation are important because it gives people in the community places to have fun if people take the time to go.
To provide a safe fun place for people to go.
To have something to do.

**Family bonding**

Place for families to go out and have fun.
Parks serve as a bonding and fun experience for families and such. It allows you to witness unhampered natural beauty that is all around us.
Parks and recreation are important because the help families to bond and have fun. Parks also give people something to do so they are less likely to commit crimes.
They are places families can go and enjoy themselves.
They are a place where families can go to have fun and amuse their children and others. On nice days people can go for a walk with their dog or jog while listening to their ipod and just look at the beautiful (?) around.

**Keep kids out of trouble**

They are important because it keeps people out of trouble and gives everyone something to do.
Keep kids safe and away from bad things.
Parks and recreation are important because they give people things to do and occupy their time with doing fun things rather than mischief or T.V. or Facebook.
I think they are important because they keep people busy and out of trouble.
To keep teens off the street
I think there important because they keep your options open. Teenagers don’t get in as much trouble either.
To keep us entertained but safe and away from drugs and alcohol.
Give people something to do instead of drugs and whatnot.
To keep kids out of trouble and give people something to do.

**Community**

They are important because they get the community involved.
Because they bring the community together.
They help the community. They help the forest.
They bring the community closer together.
They keep character in Springfield, they make it unique.
Parks are attractive and look nice. Visiting people may remember Springfield better if they enjoy their experience.

**Misc.**
They preserve nature and wildlife, for the youth to know before dinging to computers and cell phones and other electronics and become places of piece during the day.
Parks and recreation matters for the community because we need someone to design or decide a park.

**Open-ended responses from Survey:**

**Misc:**
A meadow would be really pretty.
An outdoor theater where movies were played during the summer would be cool.
We need to build an drive in theater.
Drive in movie theater! Maybe that won’t cost a lot.
Free indoor basketball courts.
I think it would be really cool to have a paintballing arena.
Just more stuff to do cause there’s like nothing to do at all at least for teens.
Oregon needs a theme park.
Please keep the pools. I would like an outdoor pool like Amazon.
Maybe more fun little outdoor playgrounds with the water things that shoot up for summertime.
There should be more fishing spots.
Willamalane needs more maintenance around in the summertime.
Organized group for river rafting (affordable) maybe locally.
Do not put any more households or parks in Jasper or anywhere near Pleasant Hill. It’d ruin memories and a perfectly good environment.

**Park-specific improvements:**
A skate plaza in Thurston right next to lively park would be great there are meetings at manna board shop talking about it and working on donations but it would be great if you/Willamalane went to manna board shop to discus maybe plans with the owner of the shop.
Meadow Parks sand box is just a hole with sand in it. The sand boxes should be in an enclosed area.
Get new 10 foot regulation basketball hoops by the skate park at Willamalane Park.
I think there should be a little more recreational stuff by the north side, between gateway and Fred Myers so you wouldn’t have to walk or drive all the way to 32nd. Royal Dell park needs an extended path for walking.

**32nd St Community Sports Park:**
A running track instead of more soccer fields could be put on S. 32nd. The only ones that are in Springfield are at the two high schools and are far apart. Putting one in next to the sports complex might get more people interested in exercising. You can fit a football or soccer field inside the track.

I really enjoy taking my little sister to the park on 32nd Street but it’s not very big, and not many kids go there.

More water fountains at 32 street soccer fields. Lights on the field should be left on for people to play when it’s dark.

**Marketing:**

I would say to promote Willamalane more because I had no idea about most of the activities that were being offered.

I think all the parks and recreation areas are good overall. However I don't hear about any events or places very often so maybe make more effort to announce and publicize information to the public.

**“Teen Center” Ideas:**

Maybe opening a place that has music with pool tables, drinks and food you can buy and a dance floor and other activities for 13-18 year olds. And another sport that could be added is rugby.

A teen center/high school place that’s not a place where teens wouldn’t think is cool. Doesn't have to be fancy but something like a gym (or swim & tennis club) but for high school only. No membership needed.

It would be nice if high school students had an area/building for hanging out with things to play with.

Try to have more things for 13-17 year-olds to do. Like concerts that that age group would like. And the price should be cheap for them.

"Clubs" for underage teens, music, dancing, non-alcoholic beverages, games, hangout areas, etc. That would be awesome!

Anything less expensive, dances, someplace warm with couches and video games for during the winter.
Thurston High School Government Class Workshop
Teacher: Iton Udosenata
1/27/2011

CLASS DISCUSSION

Why do adults think we need better/more services for teens?
- Kids have nothing to do.
(lots of nods) Kids are getting into trouble because they have nothing to do.

What kinds of things do you want Willamalane to offer for teens, what to kids/teens want to do?
- Job Fairs for teens.
- Volunteer opportunities.
- Community service projects.
- Movies in the park for older kids (teens).
- Concerts in the park for teens, book smaller (local) bands so kids could afford it.
- Dodgeball tournament/other planned activities for teens (but not dodgeball).

What are you doing in your free time?
- Sports
- No free time.

Do people who live in Thurston consider Thurston separate from Springfield?
(10 hands) Thurston has different wants, needs and values than Springfield.
(lots of nods) 42nd Street is the border between Springfield and Thurston.
(lots of nods) There is a different feel and look to Thurston.
(lots of nods) I feel safer in Thurston.
4 I don’t go to the Gateway area except to go to movies and Target.

What do you do at the parks?
- Go to park and hang out all the time when it’s nice.
- Play softball.
- Bring things to the park to do like balls to play catch.
- Picnic
- Sidewalk chalk

Which parks do you go to?
- Thurston
- Ruff
- Mountain Gate
- Alton Baker
- I like Alton Baker because of the paths.
Do you use paths?
(4-5 hands)

Would you use a basketball court at Lively?
(6 hands)

Would you use dog parks?
(4 hands)

Do you use Dorris Ranch?
(5 hands)

Misc. responses

Fort Park needs to be redone, it's rundown, small and needs better lighting.
(8 hands)
There needs to be more sand volleyball courts with lights.
(lots of nods)
Graffiti wall.

A lot of people from Thurston go all the way to Willamalane Park to use the Skatepark.
Widen the sidewalks (downtown) to make it more pedestrian friendly.

CLASS EXERCISE

Question 1: What do you like to do in your free time?

# Responses

Non-competitive sports.
5 Sports: ultimate frisbee, soccer, etc...
In my free time I like to go eat or watch a movie with friends and family. I just really value that
time when everyone can get together and play games together away from work or school.
In my free time I work on hobbies.
I like to just spend time with friends but make no specific plans. Grabbing lunch to go and sitting
in the sun listening to a band play would be the perfect day, or being by myself in the sun,
reading.
5 Movies
2 Singing/vocal music.
3 Exercise
Playing guitar.
7 Listening to music.
5 Hanging out with friends.
Go to parks and sidewalk chalk (in the summer).
Go to the river (in the summer).
2 Do community service.
Get away from my family (on occasion).
Exercise my brain.
Learn new, interesting things.

3  Hiking
6  Read
   See the world.
   Meet new people.
   Watch documentaries.
4  Play videogames.
2  Hunting
   House parties.
   Tennis
   Jogging
   Study finance.
   Chillin at my house recovering from A.D. Government.
   Lacrosse
2  Nerding out on the internet (computers).
2  Working
   Drawing
   Not staying home, going out.
   Swimming (in the summer).
   Running
   Archery
3  Watch TV.
   Fishing
   Play basketball.
   NHS volunteer opportunities.
   Photography
   Draw/Paint
   Hang out with friends at the mall.
   Seeing local bands.

**Question 2: In 20 years, what do you want Springfield to look like?**


In 20 years, I would love to see an all around HAPPIER town. On Oprah yesterday they did a presentation on the Country’s Happiest City. A small (roughly 40,000 people) city in California (coastal) decided in the 1970’s to widen the sidewalks down the main street. I’m not saying we lengthen sidewalks and close important roads, but consider mocking some of the routines/changes implemented by the city and learn a couple things. [oprah.com]

In 20 years I would love to see Springfield, downtown Springfield especially, to be clean without any chipping paint or trash on the ground. More trees, or green, would be good as well.

Springfield should have more recreational events and places.

A lot less trashy.

Without gates on schools.

I want it to not look overdeveloped - not big city like.
I would like Springfield to look newer, cleaner, and like a place that people want to go to. It needs more things to do.
I don't know. I'd like there to still be a lot of green areas. I've never really been a big city kind of guy.
I want more local events that are free/inexpensive and interactive, i.e., concerts/movies in the park, big local service projects, social events.
I want it to be more developed. No empty land that has not purpose.
Family centered, less crime, strong communities.
In 20 years, if Springfield were the same, that'd be awesome. Perhaps a little larger though.
Like those Si-Fi Greenworlds, full of both natural life and technology.
More green such as parks and trees.
I want Springfield to stay the way it is in 20 years. Not a metropolis, not a city with large buildings and crowded streets like that of say Portland.
Parks, after school activities, occasional events (concerts in the park, etc.)
More diversity, a new park in the Thurston Hills, a cleaner downtown, better funded schools, a private high school.
To still be a small town, a pretty and green town, no big buildings but maybe some more local restaurants.
I want Springfield to look cleaner with more areas to just relax outside. Downtown Springfield isn't a fun place to be, but they have nice cafes and boutiques.
I'd like Springfield to be a little cleaner, greener. It would also be nice if the overall community could be improved.
I do not care as I will not live here.

**Question 3: Why are parks and recreation important?**

**Gives people something to do/socialization**

Parks and recreation are important because we need something to do. Especially at times, when we don't have school. Like summer for example. We end up with a lot of free time.
They are not necessarily important but they provide better usages of time.
Gets kids outside/out of the house.
They give people who normally wouldn't have anything to do, something productive to spend time on.
Park and recreation are important because it gives me places to go and "hang out" with friends.
Physically, it gets people to do something.
Parks are important because they provide a gathering place for people to get together.
A safe and appropriate place for people of all ages to mingle and meet new people.

**Keep kids out of trouble**

People need to have fun! It keeps us happy and out of trouble.
They can keep the kids in the right path. Giving them an opportunity to be active or have fun in a good, positive way.
Keep kids out of trouble.
Parks and recreation give kids/teenagers positive things to do, instead of getting into trouble or doing anything that won't benefit them.
**Community**

Creates a sense of community.

Parks and recreation gives the community something else to do other than sit around the house. They bring the community together and let us meet new people or have fun with friends. They are the social glue of a community because they bring the biggest groups of people together. It is also a source of revenue and morale boosting for the community.

**Spend time outside**

So people can enjoy their time outside of their house. I think they are important because they give us a place to go and be able to be outside and enjoy it.

**Misc.**

Parks and recreation provide opportunities for enjoying one's time, and making a city/town more attractive. Having a safe place to hang out. Good for organized sports. Encourages activity. They give you a place to get away and enjoy yourself. Escape from the busy daily schedule. Good exercise, too! And you can appreciate nature.

**Open-ended responses from Survey:**

**Misc:**

I'd love to see more outdoor cafes, ice cream trucks, quaint street lights. Happiness.

If you really believe you can significantly impact the residents of this city, go for it. And good luck. I would suggest an audio/visual program for youth. Also a city run boxing program for youth. Volunteer opportunities = awesome.

**Special events:**

Hold more special events for older teenagers or young adults, such as tournaments, games, or any other activities. A community concert band for teens? Local concerts in the park?

**Paths:**

I love nature, its kink of hard finding really nice areas to go on sunny bike rides.

**Park-specific improvements:**

Build a new park in the Thurston Hills.
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BLUEBELLE PARK

*Neighborhood Park – 2.85 acres*

50th Pl & Bluebelle Way

**Existing Amenities**

- Soft Surface Trail
- Paved trail
- Open Play Area
- Swing Set

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Not an ADA accessible path into park and to all facilities
- No play structure or basketball court
- Lack of seating
- The sidewalk, playground, and common property fences are in poor condition
- Bark walking path around perimeter of park is overgrown
- Vandalism and graffiti on fences
- Poor access from street for park vehicles and pedestrians; visual access is also poor, as entrance is too narrow
- Curbing around swings is incomplete
- No irrigation

**Improvements to Consider**

- Develop a site master plan with neighborhood involvement
- Build curb around swing
- Replace wood chips with engineered wood fiber
- Provide accessible route to all facilities
- Provide seating opportunities
- Repair sidewalk surfaces and update to meet ADA standards
- Add or improve playground, basketball court, irrigation, water, and pathways to park **
- Plant shade trees
- As opportunities arise, consider purchasing additional property, in order to create better access to park
DOUGLAS GARDENS PARK

Neighborhood Park- 6.13 acres
3455 South Redwood Dr.

Existing Amenities

- Half & Full Basketball Courts
- Drinking fountain
- Electric Outlets
- Lights
- Open Play Area
- Picnic Tables
- Picnic Shelter (non-reservable)
- Playground
- Permanent Restrooms
- Informal Softball Field (on adjacent SPS property)
- Tennis Court
- Benches

Deficiencies/Problems

- Restrooms are old and outdated, and easily vandalized *
- No lights on exterior of restrooms
- Vehicles enter park
- Basketball court has some cracks*
- Tennis court has full length cracks*
- Turf has wet spots*

Improvements to Consider

- Implement improvements from the Douglas Gardens Park Master Plan
- Extend irrigation to west end of park
- Renovate, replace, or remove bathrooms**
- Repair tennis/basketball courts
- Add a path connection from neighborhood walkway to park facilities
- Replace existing light poles and fixtures

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
FORT (WILLIAM S.) MEMORIAL PARK

*Neighborhood Park – 5.03 acres*

300 58TH St.

**Existing Amenities**

- Full Basketball Courts
- Drinking Fountain
- Lights
- Open Play Area
- Playground
- Paved Multi-Purpose Trails
- Sand Volleyball Courts

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Playground - platforms failing, does not meet ADA standards or safety guidelines*
- Accessible route not provided to all facilities
- There are limited parking opportunities near the park. It fronts on 58th St., which has heavy traffic and no on-street parking.
- Enclosure for irrigation controller is rusting
- Backflow is in same enclosure as electrical components
- Exterior light fixtures are reaching end of life cycle*

**Improvements to Consider**

- Repair/replace playground structure
- Provide improved access to neighborhood west of park
- Investigate joint use agreements for parking with neighbors
- Bring play area and sidewalks up to current ADA standards
- Install additional drinking fountain near basketball courts
- Replace existing cyclone gates
- Replace enclosure for irrigation controller
- Move backflow to separate enclosure from electrical
- Replace exterior light fixtures with updated energy-efficient lighting

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
GAMEBIRD PARK

*Neighborhood Park – 1.78 acres*

1500 Mallard Ave.

**Existing Amenities**
- Full Basketball Court
- Lights
- Open Play Area
- Benches
- Picnic Tables
- Playground
- Temporary Restroom
- Informal Softball Field

**Deficiencies/Problems**
- Limited access from apartments west of park
- Accessible route not provided to all facilities
- Play area is not fully accessible per current standards
- Additional sand and engineered wood fiber is needed in play areas
- Holes have been dug under sidewalks by users
- Vandalism on playground structures and benches
- West side of park is poorly lit

**Improvements to Consider**
- Develop master plan in cooperation with neighbors, School District and Food for Lane County
- Bring play area up to current ADA standards
- Provide accessible route to all facilities
- Improve park access to apartments west of park
- Install a drinking fountain
- Add needed sand and engineered wood fiber to play areas
- Renovate basketball court**
- Improve lighting on west side of park
- Encourage apartment owners and residents to become involved with park patrol and maintenance

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
JAMES PARK

Neighborhood Park – 3.08 acres
4141 E. 41st Ave., Glenwood

Existing Amenities

- Half Basketball Court
- Picnic Tables
- Playground + Sand Play Area
- Formal Softball Field
- Accessible Parking Space
- Parking (gravel)

Deficiencies/Problems

- Pedestrian access to park is difficult. It requires crossing busy railroad tracks and/or Franklin Blvd.
- Visual access to the park is limited by private home along 19th Ave.
- Concrete on basketball half-court is cracked
- Play area does not meet current accessibility or safety standards
- Playground is in fair condition*
- Entry drive and parking area are gravel and in poor condition*
- Off-street parking is inadequate for league use
- Accessible route not provided to all facilities
- Poor lighting at south end of park
- Irrigation system needs improvements/updates.

Improvements to Consider

- Develop a master plan
- Improve play area and update to ADA standards
- Repair basketball court
- Provide accessible routes throughout park
- Pave entry drive and parking
- Improve irrigation system
- Improve lighting, especially at south end of park
- Explore acquisition of adjacent vacant property, as opportunities arise
- Explore acquisition of residential property on north end of the park, as opportunities arise

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
JASPER MEADOWS PARK

*Neighborhood Park – 5.61 acres*

S. 57th St. & Mt. Vernon Rd.

**Existing Amenities**

- Half Basketball Court
- Lights
- Open Play Area
- Benches
- Picnic Tables
- Playground + Sand Play Area
- Temporary Bathroom
- Wetland Natural Area

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Canal and bridge collect litter

**Planned/Recommended Improvements to Consider**

- Acquire South Wetlands Natural Area parcel
- Develop planned boardwalk loop trail in South Wetlands**

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
JESSE MAINE MEMORIAL PARK

*Neighborhood Park – 1.91 acres*

S. 69th Place

---

**Existing Amenities**

- Half Basketball Court
- Benches
- Picnic Tables
- Playground
- Gravel Path
- Native Plantings
- Natural Area

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Accessible route not provided to all facilities
- Play area is not fully accessible per current standards
- Poorly lit at night
- No irrigation system

**Improvements to Consider**

- Provide accessible route to all facilities
- Bring play area up to current ADA standards
- Improve water service and irrigation system**

---

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location*

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
MEADOW PARK

*Neighborhood Park – 7.10 acres*
851 Mill St.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Amenities</th>
<th>Deficiencies/Problems</th>
<th>Improvements to Consider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o Full Basketball Court</td>
<td>o Cracks in surface of tennis courts, coating has failed*</td>
<td>o Complete recent work towards updating play area**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Drinking Fountain</td>
<td>o Picnic shelter is in fair condition*</td>
<td>o Refurbish concrete walks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Pedestrian Lights</td>
<td>o Restrooms in poor condition*</td>
<td>o Refurbish surfaces on tennis courts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Open Play Area</td>
<td>o Play area not fully accessible per current standards</td>
<td>o Renovate shelter and restrooms**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Benches</td>
<td>o Bleachers are on bare earth</td>
<td>o Replace/repair irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Picnic Tables</td>
<td>o Neighborhood garden is not accessible</td>
<td>o Provide accessible route to all facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Irrigation is in fair condition* and needs some updates/replacement parts</td>
<td>o Replace shelter &amp; pathway pole light fixtures with updated energy-efficient lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Cracks and uneven joints in pathways are an accessibility concern</td>
<td><strong>From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Turf has wet spots and is in fair condition*</td>
<td><strong>From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Baseball backstop is in fair condition*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Light fixtures on shelters and paths are old and nearing end of life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
MENLO PARK

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Neighborhood Park – 1.28 acres
1080 Cottonwood Ave.

**Existing Amenities**

- Half Basketball Court
- Open Play Area
- Picnic Tables
- Playground

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Outdated and inadequate children’s play equipment
- Picnic tables are not on accessible surface
- Play area is not fully accessible per current standards
- Asphalt paths need re-surfacing*
- Not enough picnic tables
- Rules sign is not visible to users
- Irrigation system is in poor condition*
- Basketball Court is pitted and cracked, in poor condition*
- Turf is in fair condition

**Improvements to Consider**

- Develop master plan for park improvements
- Implement ADA Transition Plan recommended improvements**
- Renovate basketball court**
- Develop pathway through park to Sequoia Ave.
- Increase separation of sand and playground area
- Improve irrigation system
- Re-surface paths
- Update/replace play equipment to meet current accessibility standards

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
PAGE PARK

*Neighborhood Park – 4.23 acres*
1300 Hayden Bridge Rd.

**Existing Amenities**
- Open Play Area
- Softball Field
- Picnic Tables
- Tennis Courts

- Adjacent to School Playground and EWEB Bike Path
- Benches

**Deficiencies/Problems**
- There is no accessible route from park to EWEB Path
- Inadequate access width to tennis courts
- Tennis courts are cracked, in poor condition*
- No restrooms (temporary or permanent) when adjacent school is closed
- Swale through ball field limits use of field to youth under 10 yrs of age (approx)

**Improvements to Consider**
- Renovate tennis courts**
- Provide accessible route to all facilities
- Replace submersible pump for irrigation
- Develop accessible path connection to EWEB Path
- Provide portable restroom near tennis courts during high use
- Evaluate possibility of re-grading and filling in swale through ball field so it can be used by a wider range of age groups

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
PRIDE PARK

Neighborhood Park – 2.18 acres
277 S. 34th St.

Existing Amenities
- Full Basketball Court
- Open Play Area
- Playground
- Picnic Tables
- Temporary Restroom
- Benches

Deficiencies/Problems
- Accessible route not provided to all facilities
- Play area is not fully accessible per current standards
- Basketball court is cracked and sunken; in poor condition*
- Vandalism is an ongoing issue

Improvements to Consider
- Renovate basketball court**
- Install interior walkways as shown in master plan
- Provide accessible route to all facilities and update play area to current standards as noted in the ADA Transition Plan

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
ROB ADAMS PARK

*Neighborhood Park – 26.99 acres*

890 Mountaingate Dr.

**Existing Amenities**

- Full Basketball Court
- Accessible Parking Space
- Picnic Tables
- Open Play Area
- Playground
- Overlook
- Natural Area with Hiking Trail
- Wetland Natural Area with Trail

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Path to Overlook is very overgrown
- No off-street parking; on-street parking feels unsafe because of curve in road
- Lack of visibility from street creates security issues
- No drinking fountain

**Improvements to Consider**

- Remove and monitor vegetation along path to overlook
- Explore idea of using remnant gravel road (just northeast of accessible parking space and park entrance) for off-street parking
- Improve trail directional signage and add interpretation signage
- Add drinking fountain near basketball courts

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)*
ROBIN PARK

*Neighborhood Park – 0.81 acres*
2950 Wayside Loop

**Existing Amenities**

- Half Basketball Court
- Open Play Area
- Informal Softball Field
- Wall Ball Court
- Playground

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Access easements between residential lots are overgrown and unpaved
- Off-street parking is unpaved and gets muddy
- Turf is in poor condition*
- Visual access from street is poor
- Park is undersized

**Improvements to Consider**

- Pave and maintain access easements from neighborhood
- Improve street side parking (pave and add curb)

---

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
# ROYAL DELLE PARK

*Neighborhood Park - 2.76 acres*

401 Blackstone St.

## Existing Amenities

- Half Basketball Court
- Open Play Area
- Playground + Sand Play Area
- Lights
- Picnic Tables
- Accessible Parking

## Deficiencies/Problems

- No drinking fountain
- Visual access from street is poor

## Improvements to Consider

- Add drinking fountain
- As opportunities arise, consider purchasing additional property, in order to create better access to park

---

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)*
THURSTON PARK
*Neighborhood Park – 5.54 acres*
6329 F St. & 747 64th St.

**Existing Amenities**

- Full Basketball Court
- Horseshoes
- Lights
- Open Play Area
- Hard Surface Paths
- Temporary Restroom for Summer Programs
- Picnic Tables
- Playground

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Basketball Court is cracked and buckling; in Fair condition*
- Sidewalks flood on north edge of park*
- Vandalism from Thurston High School students
- Bollards need painting
- Electric service enclosure is rusting and the door locking mechanism is failing
- Path light fixtures are nearing end of life*
- Irrigation needs flow sensor/master valve and pump improvements
- Turf has wet spots; is in poor condition*

**Improvements to Consider**

- Resurface basketball court
- Improve path flooding issues
- Paint bollards
- Replace existing enclosures for electrical service
- Replace light fixtures with updated energy-efficient lighting

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
TYSON PARK

Neighborhood Park – 3.91 acres
3405 E St.

Existing Amenities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>o Full Basketball Court</th>
<th>o Playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o Informal Softball Field</td>
<td>o Picnic Shelter (non-reservable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Lights</td>
<td>o Temporary Restroom for Summer Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Open Play Area</td>
<td>o Benches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Picnic Tables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deficiencies/Problems

| o Access from neighborhood west of park is limited |
| o Shelter floods regularly, it is in Fair condition* |
| o Site drainage is inadequate, turf is wet and in Poor condition* |
| o Irrigation functions poorly; it is in Fair condition* |
| o Play area is not fully accessible, per current standards; it is in Fair condition* |
| o Paths are cracked and flood in the winter; in Fair condition* |

Improvements to Consider

| o Develop master plan for park |
| o Acquire easement between homes on west side of park for improved neighborhood access |
| o Replace/renovate playground and bring it up to ADA standards** |
| o Address flooding concerns on paths and in shelter area |
| o Replace Shore Pines are due to poor health |
| o Replace irrigation system, add flow sensor and master valve |
| o Remove or replace shelter, add lighting if shelter is replaced |

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
Volunteer Park

Neighborhood Park – 4.40 acres
42nd St. & Holly Rd.

**Existing Amenities**

- Full Basketball Court
- Playground + Sand Play Area
- Open Play Area
- Picnic Tables
- Benches
- Natural Area with Soft Surface Trail
- Drinking Fountain

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Gravel trail through natural area is becoming less accessible with use
- No interpretation in natural area
- No year-round bathroom facility
- High use causes damage to turf areas
- No lighting in park

**Improvements to Consider**

- Add signage for natural area interpretation
- Add lighting in park

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
**From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
WILLAMETTE HEIGHTS PARK/OVERLOOK

Neighborhood Park – 4.28 acres
508 Valley View Ave.

Existing Amenities

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Area</td>
<td>Parking Lot (gravel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bench</td>
<td>Open Play Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Table</td>
<td>Overlook</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deficiencies/Problems

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessible route not provided to all facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandalism, illegal nighttime use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking lot is in Poor condition*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Improvements to Consider

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop master plan in coordination with neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pave parking area and provide accessible parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide accessible route to all facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide additional benches &amp; picnic tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate opportunities for other improvements, like play area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As opportunities arise, investigate acquiring vacant property adjacent to park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add additional lighting near parking area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
ISLAND PARK

Community Park – 14.95 acres
200 W. B St.

Existing Amenities

- Multi-Purpose Path
- Boat Landing
- Drinking Fountain
- Path Lights
- Natural Area with Trail
- Amphitheater with stage
- Open Play Area
- Waterfront
- Parking
- Playground + Sand Play Area
- Reservable Picnic Shelters (2)
- Picnic Tables
- Permanent Restrooms
- Barbeque grills

Deficiencies/Problems

- The park lacks visibility from street (only street frontage is D st.)
- None of the park entrances are obvious
- Ballew property (fronting D St) is not irrigated or improved
- Drinking fountains have been vandalized
- Transients and vandalism are ongoing problems
- B St. bridge is rotting; it is in Fair condition*
- Playground is not fully accessible per current ADA standards
- Lots of invasive species in waterway and natural area
- Shelters are getting old and are poorly lit
- Light poles have damage and fixtures are poor quality in south parking lot
- Restrooms are undersized and in poor condition

Improvements to Consider

- Complete other master plan improvements, including land acquisition for new entrance development
- Update playground to ADA standards
- Renovate restrooms**
- Add seating around play area
- Update shelters and add lighting
- Replace/repair drinking fountains
- Perform a structural evaluation on B St. Bridge
- Replace light fixtures and poles for south parking lot

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
• Install permanent electrical power source between pump house and north shelter for special event use
• Explore better connecting park to downtown, to West D St, and to West D St Greenway

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
LIVELY (JACK B.) MEMORIAL PARK

Community Park – 32.64 acres
6100 Thurston Rd.

The Community Park portion of Lively Memorial Park includes all outdoor circulation and parking areas.

Existing Amenities

- Picnic Tables
- Reservable Picnic Shelters
- Parking
- Temporary Restrooms
- Drinking Fountain
- Electric Outlets
- Lights
- Playground + Sand Play Area
- Dog Park
- Natural Area with Trails
- Barbeque Grills
- Kiosk

Deficiencies/Problems

- Exotic invasive species in natural area
- Visual access from Thurston Rd. is limited by residential development
- Illegal nighttime use
- Turf has wet spots and is in Poor condition*
- No signage at nature trail
- Poor and signage at park entrance

Improvements to Consider

- Complete remaining phases of master plan for park including: volleyball/basketball courts, permanent bathrooms, additional parking & sidewalks, additional trails through natural area and a formal garden/entry area**
- Improve pedestrian crossing to park on Thurston Road**
- Add directional/identification/interpretive signs at natural area trail
- Improve lighting to deter nighttime activity
- Improve park entrance, including new signage that can be seen from both directions on Thurston Road
- Install a gate at the park entrance (similar to Dorris Ranch)
- Consider acquiring property adjacent to the park entrance, if opportunity arises

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
WILLAMALANE PARK
Community Park – 14.03 acres
1276 G St.

The Community Park portion of Willamalane Park includes all outdoor circulation and parking areas.

Existing Amenities

- Full Basketball Courts
- Horseshoes
- Open Play Area
- Lights
- Drinking Fountain
- Parking
- Picnic Tables
- Benches
- Playground
- Softball Field
- Temporary & Permanent Restrooms
- Skate Park
- Tennis Courts
- War Memorial

Deficiencies/Problems

- Park lacks pedestrian entry from Mohawk Blvd.
- No sheltered picnic areas
- Tennis Courts are cracked and in Fair condition*
- Light fixtures in tennis courts are old & inefficient; in Poor condition*
- Accessible route not provided across drainage swale
- Basketball Court has numerous cracks and is in Fair condition*
- Sidewalks on outside edge of park need improvement; in poor condition*
- Willamalane doesn’t control land at west edge of park
- Lighting throughout park is limited due to trees
- No permanent restroom when pool is closed
- Portable toilet gets vandalized
- Drinking fountain is old/unreliable
- West side of park needs new irrigation system, in Fair condition*
- Security demands are high
- Graffiti, vandalism, and illegal activity at skate park

Improvements to Consider

- Explore acquiring the western portion of the park from the School District
- Resurface tennis and basketball courts
- Replace light fixtures in tennis courts

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
- Provide accessible path system though park, including accessible route across drainage swale
- Investigate improving pedestrian access from Mohawk Blvd.
- Replace drinking fountain
- Install crush-proof trash receptacles near skate park

*From *Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location*
** From 11-15 *Capital Improvement Program (CIP)*
**EASTGATE WOODLANDS**

*Natural Area Park – 39.79 acres*

512 Aspen St.

*For the purposes of this assessment, Heron Playground was included as a part of Eastgate Woodlands.*

**Existing Amenities**

- Boat Landing
- Waterfront
- Parking
- Picnic Tables
- Playground
- Soft Surface Trail (Pre’s Trail)
- Paved Multi-Purpose Paths
- Natural Area
- Benches
- Kiosk

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Some facilities are not fully accessible (eg playground)
- Boat ramp/turnaround is too narrow, unpaved, difficult to use
- Gravel parking lot is in Poor condition*
- Not enough parking, especially for boat trailers
- Boat ramp area becomes summer picnic area
- Homeless camps and litter along river in woods
- Exotic Invasive are invading forest

**Improvements to Consider**

- Update surfacing and play equipment in Heron Playground to meet ADA standards
- Provide accessible routes to all facilities
- Explore improving parking lot and boat turn around area
- Explore adding additional parking, especially for boat trailer parking (possibly in adjacent West D Street Greenway)
- Utilize OWEB grants for helping pay for control of invasive, non-native plants**
- Continue to implement East Alton Baker Park Master Plan
- Develop a joint Management Plan for Eastgate Woodlands and West D St. Greenway

---

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
GEORGIA-PACIFIC PARK

*Natural Area Park – 125.12 acres*

Willamette River

**Existing Amenities**

- Middle Fork Path passes through southern portion of property

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- No management plan
- No master plan
- Exotic, invasive species have been documented throughout the Middle Fork project area.
- Future development is limited until public street access is obtained
- Unauthorized equestrian use

**Improvements to Consider**

- Work with City of Springfield and SUB to complete Management Plan and master plan
- As opportunities arise, acquire adjacent property with street access for future park entrance
- Assess natural resources and utilize OWEB grants for helping fund efforts to control invasive, non-native plants
- Look into accessible fishing opportunities at pond and/or along river

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
HARVEST LANDING

Natural Area Park – 21.92 acres
North end of Harvest Lane

Existing Amenities

- Unimproved Dirt Trails
- Waterfront

Deficiencies/Problems

- Lacks recreational facilities and public access
- No parking on street fronting the park; adjacent boat landing parking requires permit
- Extensive BMX use
- Pedestrian access blocked by dirt berm along road
- Lacks master plan

Improvements to Consider

- Develop master plan
- Build recreational facilities including trail system and interpretive signage
- Encourage County to provide accessible restrooms when updated
- Improve signage to clarify county and Willamalane park boundaries
- Explore acquisition of privately owned parcel between Willamalane and County property
- Explore shared parking opportunities

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
BY-GULLY PATH

*Linear Park – 8.60 acres*
Mill St. to Anderson Lane

**Existing Amenities**
- Paved Multi-Use Path

**Deficiencies/Problems**
- Exotic species (nutria, grasses, blackberries) are widespread
- Lack of places to sit and rest
- Lack of trees
- Trash cans not accessible by people with disabilities
- Slope may exceed 5% in two places

**Improvements to Consider**
- Develop master plan
- Work with city and other agencies to explore restoration of native vegetation in drainage way
- Provide benches
- Encourage users to form an adopt-a-park group
- Explore the idea of developing a children’s play area at west end of park
- Provide accessible route of travel along entire length of path

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

**From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)**
EWEB PATH

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Linear Park – 19.12 acres

Willamalane does not own property, but is responsible for maintenance.

Existing Amenities

- Paved Multi-Use Path

Deficiencies/Problems

- Graffiti and vandalism along adjacent fences
- Bollards create challenges for maintenance access

Improvements to Consider

- None noted (path was improved in 2010)
LYLE HATFIELD PATH

*Linear Park – 1.03 acres
Cardinal Way to St. Joseph Place

*Willamalane does not own property, but is responsible for maintaining the path’s surface.*

**Existing Amenities**

- Paved Multi-Use Path
- Waterfront
- Parking
- Benches
- Lights

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- None noted

**Improvements to Consider**

- Continue developing path northward from site as planned in the 2004 Comprehensive Plan

---

1 Non-WPRD owned or maintained

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
MIDDLE FORK PATH

Linear Park – 2.21 acres
Phase 1: Clearwater Park to Quarry Creek

Where the path travels through private property, Willamalane holds a 20 ft. wide easement and is responsible for everything within that easement.

Existing Amenities

- Paved Multi-Use Path
- Waterfront
- Parking
- Picnic Tables
- Natural Area
- Benches
- Kiosks
- Fence
- Permanent and Temporary Restrooms

Deficiencies/Problems

- Exotic invasive species have been noted along path

Improvements to Consider

- Secure funding for phase 2 of project, connecting trail to Dorris Ranch Living History Farm
- Develop future connection from Middle Fork Path to Upper Mill Race Path
- Develop future bicycle/pedestrian bridge across Middle Fork Willamette River to Howard Buford Recreation Area, and the Nature Conservancy (former Wildish) property
- Assess natural resources and create a natural resource management plan
- Implement Middle Fork Path Management Plan

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
WEST D ST. GREENWAY

*Linear Park – 15.39 acres*

Aspen St. to Riverview St.

**Existing Amenities**

- Paved Multi-Use Path
- Waterfront
- Lights
- Natural Area
- On-street Parking
- Rental House
- Benches
- Storage Building

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Invasive, exotic species in natural area of park
- Eastern exit from path to D St. has poor visibility (blind corner) and can be dangerous for path users
- On-street connection between path and Island Park path system

**Improvements to Consider**

- Develop master plan
- Explore adding drinking fountains, upright mile markers, benches, and possibly restrooms
- Alternate uses for the rental house
- Additional lighting along path
- Improve overflow parking for Eastgate Woodland’s boat landing on gravel area along west side of driveway
- Develop picnicking opportunities to accommodate demand
- Look into acquiring property adjacent to eastern path terminus on D St to improve safety and path visibility, if opportunities arise

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)*
CLEARWATER PARK

*Special-Use Park – 65.76 acres*

Clearwater Lane

**Existing Amenities**

- Boat Landing  
- Waterfront  
- Vehicle and Boat Trailer Parking  
- Benches  
- Picnic Tables  
- Soft Surface Trails  
- Restroom  
- Middle Fork Path and Trailhead  
- Natural Area  
- Springfield Millrace  
- Rental House

**Deficiencies/Problems**

- Vandalism, drug use, transient camping and other illegal activities  
- Not enough parking for boats or cars during high-use times  
- Gate at entrance needs to be locked manually  
- Neighbors have complaints associated with noise, trespassing, and other nuisance behavior  
- Exotic, invasive species in natural and riparian areas  
- Entrance is unattractive and there is no official Willamalane Park entry sign

**Improvements to Consider**

- Complete master plan for park  
- Complete park host site  
- Pursue OWEB and other grants for funding help with efforts to control invasive, non-native species  
- Complete planned new boat launch and parking lot expansion**  
- Make needed improvements as recommended in the master plan**  
- Improve park entrance, including installing new park entry sign  
- Provide trail markers and interpretation at pond

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
DORRIS RANCH LIVING HISTORY FARM
Special-Use Park – 258.09 acres
205 Dorris St.

Existing Amenities

- Historic Filbert Orchards
- Natural Area
- Waterfront
- Gravel Parking
- Picnic Tables
- Benches
- Temporary & Permanent Restrooms
- Drinking Fountain
- Soft Surface Trails

- Tomseth, Briggs & Dorris Houses
- Barn
- Kiosks
- Pump House
- Packing Shed
- Living History Village, including: Cedar Plank House, Pioneer Cabin, Blacksmith Shop and Pioneer Garden
- Vegetable & Flower Gardens

Deficiencies/Problems

- Filberts are threatened by blight
- Invasive, exotic species in natural area Accessible routes not provided to all facilities (trails/orchard roads need improvement)
- Composting toilets do not function properly
- Parking lot needs to be repaired and is insufficient for larger events
- Roofs on Barn and Trading Shed need to be repaired; they are in Poor condition*
- Freezer House needs repairs; it is in Poor condition*
- Turf has poor drainage and is in Poor condition*
- Information in kiosks need updating
- Trail maps and directional signage are lacking
- The Dorris House and grounds are underutilized and in need of repair/restoration
- The Briggs House is currently boarded up and in unusable condition
- Park entry, park sign, lack interest

Improvements to Consider

- Implement recommendations from the Dorris Ranch Master Plan
- Make improvements per FY2010 Dorris Ranch Contingency Fund Allocation List Make ADA improvements per ADA Transition Plan**
- Develop restoration plan for natural areas

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
○ Repair parking lot, planned for 2010
○ Make needed repairs on buildings
○ Determine future of Brigg’s House and surrounding grounds
○ Obtain funding for Dorris House improvements
○ Update information kiosks with new maps, brochures, and signage
○ Pursue OWEB and other grants for funding help with efforts to control invasive, non-native plants**
KELLY BUTTE PARK/OVERLOOK

Special-Use Park – 5.99 acres
937 Summit Blvd.

Existing Amenities

- Parking
- Overlook

Deficiencies/Problems

- Chain on gate is not very visible and vehicles hit it regularly
- Poor pedestrian access from road
- Park is vehicle oriented and does not function for neighborhood uses
- Overlook structure is showing stress and is in Fair condition*

Improvements to Consider

- Develop Master Plan for park
- Explore idea of improving pedestrian/neighborhood access and uses of park
- Provide accessible picnic tables and benches to accommodate out-of-car experiences
- Perform a structural analysis on overlook structure to assess needed repairs
- Replace entrance gate & chain with a more visible gate

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
MILL RACE PARK

Special-Use Park – 0.42 acres
Between S. Mill and S. 2nd St.

Existing Amenities

- Lights
- Waterfront
- Parking
- Picnic Table
- Pergola
- Viewpoint
- Interpretive Signs
- Benches

Park Deficiencies/Problems

- Pergola and viewpoint were built with untreated fir and may deteriorate rapidly
- Transient camping under overlook
- Lights not connected to power
- Vandalism

Improvements to Consider

- Treat or replace wood as appropriate
- Connect lights to power source to minimize vandalism/camping

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
RUFF (WALLACE M. JR) MEMORIAL PARK  
*Special-Use Park – 9.79 acres*
1161 N 66th St.

### Existing Amenities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfront</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Play Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Surface Trails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnolia Arboretum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Restroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiosk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Deficiencies/Problems

- Park is hidden from the street
- Accessible route not provided to all areas of park
- No improved parking facilities
- No irrigation in turf areas, and irrigation in planting beds is in Fair condition*

### Improvements to Consider

- Continue to implement the Ruff Park Master Plan, including development of parking area in the panhandle**
- Provide accessible routes to all facilities
- Construct storage building to store work party supplies with separate room/chase for electrical panel and irrigation/pump equipment
- Improve electrical service to accommodate additional uses, such as movies in the park
- Make needed irrigation improvements

---

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location  
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
32nd ST. COMMUNITY SPORTS PARK

Sports Park – 28.95 acres
32nd St.

Existing Amenities

- Artificial Turf Soccer Fields (2)
- Bleachers
- Lights
- Parking
- Picnic Tables
- Permanent Restroom
- Playground

Deficiencies/Problems

- A large portion of the property remains undeveloped
- Some of the planned playground equipment was not installed due to budget limitations
- Restrooms don’t have lights inside, roof leaks (crack in plexi-glass), partitions are vandalized, floor is difficult to clean; it is in Fair condition*
- Rock/gravel area between restrooms and playgrounds is a maintenance problem
- Bicycle users ride on soccer fields and damage turf fibers
- Park name difficult to remember
- Poor signage at park entrance and throughout the parking areas

Improvements to Consider

- Implement the remaining phases of the park master plan, including the addition of a picnic shelter, basketball court, more parking, a south entry drive, sport fields, jogging trail, and landscaping.**
- Install additional playground equipment
- Make restroom repairs and improvements
- Pave area between restrooms and playground
- Consider changing the name of the park to something shorter and easier to remember
- Add new signage at park entrance and throughout the parking areas, as needed

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
BOB ARTZ MEMORIAL PARK

Sports Park – 11.31 acres
7807 Thurston Rd.

Existing Amenities

- Drinking Fountain
- Electric Outlets
- Parking
- Picnic Tables
- Permanent Restroom
- Telephone
- Concession Stand
- Scoreboard
- Field Lights
- Playground
- Softball Fields
- Soft-Surface Trails on adjacent School District Property
- Bleachers
- Benches

Deficiencies/Problems

- Site is subject to seasonal flooding. During wet years, the drainage channel overflows into the on-site drainage system, causing the detention basin to overflow onto adjacent properties; drainage on site is poor*
- Play area is not fully accessible by current standards, and there is not enough equipment for the size of the play area or park; it is in Fair condition*
- The playground location is within softball homerun area (there is a risk of injury to playground users)
- Parking is inadequate during high use
- Restrooms are not large enough during high use
- Restrooms are not equipped with accessible handles
- Light fixtures are aimed poorly

Improvements to Consider

- Implement the remaining phases of the park master plan, including adding additional playground equipment
- Identify a way to safeguard playground users from balls, or move location of the playground to a safer area
- Complete concrete paving at back field area
- Investigate other compatible uses for fields and park so that it is used more often
- Investigate outfield advertising to help with funding

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
- Consider developing wetland trails for educational use
- Equip restrooms with accessible handles
- Complete scorekeeper’s loft
- Work with SPS to add jogging trail on Willamalane and adjacent SD property
- Investigate solutions to the drainage problem
- Have contractor re-aim light fixtures per original design layout
- Use Musco Ball field Fixtures removed from 32nd St. to replace lighting here or at WPSC tennis courts
- Improve overflow parking area so entrances aren’t blocked during high use

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
# GUY LEE PARK

**Sports Park – 8.71 acres**  
890 Darlene St.

## Existing Amenities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amenities</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drinking Fountain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Tables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Play Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly Garden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Restroom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concession Stand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball Field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Surface Trails and Playground on adjacent School Properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Courts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Deficiencies/Problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problems</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concession stand not used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park entry is nondescript; behind school and difficult to see</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are no accessible pathways from park to adjacent neighborhood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is transient use and vandalism problems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s play area on school grounds is not available for public use during school hours, and hard to access during non-school hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No off-street parking is provided for sport use, adjacent school property is currently used during events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Courts have cracks and pits and are in Fair condition*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fence is old and difficult to maintain; it is in Poor condition*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backstop and Bridge are in Fair condition*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball fields are undersized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball field lighting is poor and inefficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Improvements to Consider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improvements</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work with School district to complete a school/park master plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide play area for children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-design main entry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct accessible pathway between park and adjacent neighborhood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renovate tennis courts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace fence around ball fields</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location

** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
o Mark butterfly garden, add signage
o Explore possibility of acquiring natural area on adjacent School District property
o Restore Ash Woodland
o Replace field lighting

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
GRAY HOMESTEAD
Undeveloped Parkland – 3.06 acres
7575 McKenzie Hwy.

Existing Amenities
- Gray House (rental)

Deficiencies/Problems
- Previous plans for this property were contingent on the acquisition of adjacent lands that were never acquired.
- House meets minimal standards for a private rental only; it would need significant improvements before it could be programmed for public use.

Improvements to Consider
- Work with the city to develop a long-range plan for the property and house, including a thorough facility assessment of the house

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
MOE MOUNTAIN LINEAR PARK PROPERTY

Undeveloped Parkland – 11.00 acres

Acquisition of property is still in progress

Existing Amenities

- None

Deficiencies/Problems

- Deed not finalized
- Future connection to 42nd Street Path complicated

Improvements to Consider

- Continue to work with Oregon National Guard to implement plans for construction of pathway along Irving Slough
- Continue to pursue rail-to-trail conversion

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
PIERCE PARK PROPERTY

Undeveloped Parkland – 5.55 acres
End of Otto St.

Existing Amenities

○ None

Deficiencies/Problems

○ Small triangular-shaped property, with only street frontage in small cul-de-sac

Improvements to Consider

○ Work with School District to develop a school/park master plan
○ Investigate constructing wayside for EWEB Bike Path

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
LIVELY PARK SWIM CENTER

Community Recreation Facility
6100 Thurston Rd.

Existing Amenities

- Water Slide
- Family Play Area with Water Umbrella
- Meeting Space
- Concession Stand
- Lap Pool
- Kiddie Pool
- Hydro-jet Whirlpool
- Restrooms/Changing Rooms
- Wave Pool

Deficiencies/Problems

- Boiler & lighting are potentially nearing end of life, in fair condition*
- Conduit and wiring is beginning to rust and corrode*
- Concession area is inefficient
- Community room is booked to capacity
- Lockers are deteriorating
- Storage space is inadequate
- Water filtration system is inadequate
- HVAC system is outdated and has inefficiencies*
- Noise levels are high
- Suspension of overhead ducts may not meet current seismic standards

Improvements to Consider

- Implement planned expansion per the Lively Park Master Plan
- Make remaining improvements from ADA Transition Plan (2006):
  - Modify exit doors in pool area
  - Improve access to restrooms
  - Install grab bars on spa
  - Improve access in locker rooms
  - Improve access in Family Change Area
  - Lower concession stand counter
- Replace boiler**, aging controls for major HVAC systems**, pool pumps, main fans and pool water temperature per 2004 and 2006 HVAC/energy studies
- Replace water filtration system, install UV filtration on pools

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
- Continue to install energy saving equipment
- Replace conduit and wiring as necessary
- Replace outdated lighting as necessary

*From *Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location*
**From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)**
MEMORIAL BUILDING
Community Recreation Facility - 0.39 acres
765 A Street

Existing Amenities

- Gymnasium
- Meeting Rooms
- Dance Studio
- Laundry Facilities
- Kitchen
- Office space
- Restrooms

Deficiencies/Problems

- Inadequate parking
- No elevator for ADA access
- Asbestos throughout building
- Multiple entrances pose security challenges for building users

Improvements to Consider

- Develop a long-term plan to generate revenue from building
- Improve ADA access throughout building
- Work with school district to enhance security

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
RICHARD E. WILDISH COMMUNITY THEATER

Community Recreation Facility – 0.14 acres
630 Main Street

The ownership of this facility is shared between WRPD, City of Springfield and Springfield School District.

Existing Amenities

- Stage & Auditorium (281 seats)
- Reception Area
- Dressing Rooms
- Ticket Booth
- Multi-Purpose Room

Deficiencies/Problems

- Plumbing issues cause flooding
- Carpet in theater is in constant need of repair
- Insufficient lighting along aisles in theater
- Insufficient space around tech desk for equipment
- Signage along Main St. is insufficient
- Front donor wall is hard to maintain, glass cracks easily
- Route from behind stage to dressing rooms is not accessible
- No hand washing sink or water available in concession area
- Front doors crack easy and let air/heat escape

Improvements to Consider

- Fix plumbing concerns
- Replace carpet with a darker color
- Install new track lighting system down theater isles
- Extend tech desk to provide more space for tech director’s equipment
- Explore options for making donor wall easier to maintain
- Generate a “sign plan” for the theater
- Explore possibilities of installing a sink in the concession area, replacing front doors and installing an elevator leading to the dressing rooms

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
TEEN CENTER

Community Recreation Facility -
1276 G St.

This facility will be closed at the end of the 2010-11 school year and moved to the Willamalane Center.

Existing Amenities

Deficiencies/Problems

Improvements to Consider

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
WILLAMALANE ADULT ACTIVITY CENTER

Community Recreation Facility – 0.47 acres
215 West C St.

Existing Amenities

- Lounge
- Multi-Purpose Room
- Gift Shop
- Woodshop
- Billiards Room
- Kitchen
- Meeting Rooms
- Computer Room
- Lapidary Studio
- Patio
- Parking
- Landscaped Grounds

Deficiencies/Problems

- Parking lot is at capacity; on-street neighborhood parking is used for overflow
- Storage space and office space are at capacity; there is no room for growth
- Inadequate HVAC system-in Fair condition*
- Irrigation system needs upgrading, especially in parking lot; it is in Fair condition*
- Patio space does not attract center patrons out of doors
- Path connection to Island Park does not meet ADA standards
- Roof is in poor condition*

Improvements to Consider

- When opportunities arise, explore options for additional parking
- Improve drainage in parking lot
- Consider creating an “edge” to the patio so that it feels like a protected space that is part of the WAAC
- Improve irrigation as necessary
- Replace light fixtures in wood shop
- Explore satellite programming at Willamalane Center
- Implement plans for an improved pathway connection to Island Park, HVAC improvements, and re-roofing.**
- Make remaining ADA Transition Plan (2006) improvements:
  - Replace stage lift
  - Modify wood shop door

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
WILLAMALANE CENTER FOR SPORTS AND RECREATION
Community Recreation Facility
250 S. 32nd St.

Existing & Forthcoming Amenities
- Offices
- Multi-Purpose Rooms
- Parking
- Meeting Rooms
- Indoor Basketball/Volleyball Courts
- Playground
- Concessions
- Fitness Room
- Locker Rooms
- Climbing Wall
- Restrooms
- Child Care Facilities
- Storage
- Kitchen
- Youth Center
- Gaming Area

Deficiencies/Problems
- Children’s playground is currently being used by teens/adults after hours, causing damage
- Vehicle/pedestrian safety concerns in parking lot
- Lack of landscaping in front of NE entrance
- Lack of permanent signage
- Roof leaks during rain events
- Plastic flooring system in north gymnasium is in poor condition
- Interior spaces such as the lobby and fitness areas need updating

Improvements to Consider
- Add pedestrian safety improvements in parking lot
- Enhance landscaping surrounding the main entrance of the building
- Improve signage at main facility entrances
- Improve entrance/exit for vehicular traffic
- Make updates to fitness center, lobby, and outdoor walkways
- Improve connectivity between the office and fitness portions of the building
- Create plan for empty lot north of the existing building
- Enhance the main entrance

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
WILLAMALANE PARK SWIM CENTER
Community Recreation Facility
1276 G St.

Existing Amenities
- Warm Water Recreational Pool
- 8-Lane Competition/Exercise Pool
- Easy Entry Deep Water Pool
- Spa
- Bath House
- Meeting Rooms
- Sundeck
- Spectator Mezzanine
- Water Slide
- Diving Boards

Deficiencies/Problems
- Office and storage space are at capacity; there is no room for growth
- Inadequate locker rooms, family changing rooms, showers, and changing stalls limit the number of activities that can take place simultaneously
- No concession area
- Domestic hot water system needs upgrading (in fair/poor condition)
- Bleachers are not to code or ADA standards
- Caulking on south side of Pool Building needs repair and is in Poor Condition*
- HVAC system has inefficiencies and is in Fair Condition*

Improvements to Consider
- Replace men’s and family hall lockers**
- Make needed building repairs, including HVAC/energy improvements per the 2004 and 2006 studies**
- Upgrade hot water system
- Install new bleachers that are up to code and ADA compliant
- Update building control system to be compatible with district-wide network systems
- Make remaining ADA Transition Plan (2006) improvements:
  - Improve access to locker rooms from lobby
  - Improve access to family change area from lobby

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
PARK SERVICES CENTER

Other Facility – 1.51 acres
680 36th St. (offices)
3524 E St. (maintenance shop)

Existing Amenities

- Offices
- Mechanic Shop
- Welding Shop
- Indoor/Outdoor Storage
- Irrigation Repair Shop
- Vehicle Wash Area

- Carpentry Shop
- Electrical Shop
- Drying Room
- Locker Room
- Lunch Room/Meeting Room
- Parking

Deficiencies/Problems

- Meeting room undersized
- Inadequate space for stockpiling topsoil, mulch, lumber
- Inadequate space for holding beds
- Shop roof is in Poor Condition*
- Shop siding, gutters and HVAC system are in Fair Condition*

Improvements to Consider

- Implement site master plan remaining phases, as necessary
- Consider acquisition of additional facility or expansion of current site
- Replace shop roof

*From Park Services Division Condition of District Assets by Location
** From 11-15 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)
Appendix B

Operational Costs Detail
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj #</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Developed (Ac)</th>
<th>Operations Unit Cost/Ac (Developed)</th>
<th>Total NEW Operations Costs</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neighborhood Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Pacific Park Subdivision Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$25,594</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>(Former) Brattain School/Park</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$2,666</td>
<td>Approx acreage; site improvements; already developed with playground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>18th and H Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$1,333</td>
<td>18th &amp; H; City owned corner lot; acreage per GIS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>Jasper-Natron School/Park</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$26,660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>Glenwood Neighborhood Park Blocks</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$13,597</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Neighborhood Parks</strong></td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$69,849</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Island Park Expansion</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$3,466</td>
<td>Project Per IPMP; approx acreage needed to connect to downtown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Community Parks</strong></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$3,466</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural Area Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Harvest Landing</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$2,585</td>
<td>Assume .5 mi of soft surface trails; new devel in natural area so not prev counted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Weyerhaeuser McKenzie Natural Area Park</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$3,878</td>
<td>Assume .75 mile trails/paths for maintenance assumptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Georgia-Pacific Park</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$5,170</td>
<td>Assume 1 mi of soft-surface trails we would maintain. (1.5 miles of mtn biking trails others maintain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Booth-Kelley/Mill Pond Park</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$19,195</td>
<td>Assume developed area is for paths and open meadow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Jasper Meadow Wetlands Park</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$1,579</td>
<td>Assume 2150 ft of boardwalk, no soft trails listed, cost estimate uses 6’ wide for boardwalks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Thurston Hills Ridgeline Park East</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$12,294</td>
<td>Assume 2 mi of soft-surface trail plus trailhead for “devel area” maintenance for ph 1. Using 15,958 sq ft as trailhead standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Thurston Hills Ridgeline Park West</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$12,294</td>
<td>Assume 2 mi of soft-surface trail plus trailhead for “devel area” maintenance for ph 1. Using 15,958 sq ft as trailhead standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>MountainGate Ridegeline Park</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$12,926</td>
<td>Assume 2.5 mi of soft-surface trails for maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Natural Area Parks</strong></td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$69,922</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linear Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3b</td>
<td>Moe Mountain Linear Park</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$2,938</td>
<td>Assume soft-surface path only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Thurston Hills Ridgeline Trail</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$10,678</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>Mckenzie River Connector</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$13,709</td>
<td>Maint cost assumes multiuse path 10 ft wide with 2’ shoulders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>Weyerhaeuser Haul Rd Path</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$34,616</td>
<td>Assume no trailhead, 10 ft wide asphalt path, 2’ shoulders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>Lower Mill Race Path (S.2nd to S.28th)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$18,851</td>
<td>acq costs for easement to cross F st. 10 ft wide asphalt path, no lighting; trailhead costs included in Booth-Kelley/Mill Race Natural Area Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>Mill Race Connector Path (S.28th to S.32nd)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$3,427</td>
<td>Assume no trailhead, 10 ft wide asphalt path, 2’ shoulders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>Upper Mill Race Path (S.32nd to MF Path)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$9,151</td>
<td>Length in LF, per GIS. RoW acq from 32nd St to Gil-Prop. Assumes 10ft wide asphalt path; connector at 32nd St; two 50 ft bridges. TE or Flex Funds Grant at 85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>Middle Fork Path Phase 3 Development</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$10,865</td>
<td>Includes trailhead; using 15,958 sq ft as trailhead standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Linear Parks</strong></td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$104,035</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special Use Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Clearwater Park Master Plan Implementation</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>New development is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Dorris Ranch Master Plan Implementation</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>New development is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Ruff Park Master Plan Implementation</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>New development is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Special Use Parks</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sports Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>32nd St Community Sports Park</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>New development is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Sports Parks</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rehabilitation of Existing Parks and Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>Bluebelle Park</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>New development is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>Willamalane Park</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>New development is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>West D St Greenway</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>New development is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Rehabilitation</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Operations Costs Phase 1</strong></td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$247,272</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table B-1: Operations Costs, Phase 1 (Years 2012-2021)*
## Operations Costs, Phase 2

**Years 2022-2031**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj #</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Developed (ac)</th>
<th>Operations Unit Cost (ac) Developed</th>
<th>Total NEW Operations Costs</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Community Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Pierce Park Development</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Developed ac is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Pierce Park Expansion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$15,996</td>
<td>Estimate of expansion parcel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Gamebird Park Expansion</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$4,869</td>
<td>Assumes 6 ft wide soft-surface trail and half acre community garden on SPS property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Rainbow Drive Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$26,660</td>
<td>Assumes 5 ac new park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Heron Playground Expansion</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$2,666</td>
<td>Assumes .5 ac expansion on city/mwmc property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>Jasper-Natron Neighborhood Park South</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$26,660</td>
<td>Assumes 5 ac new park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>James Park Expansion</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$19,995</td>
<td>Size from RUID; incl vacant prop on 19th and Franklin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>South 57th Street Area Neighborhood Park</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$26,660</td>
<td>Assume 5 ac new park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Neighborhood Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>23.16</strong></td>
<td><strong>$123,506</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Community Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Southeast Springfield Community Park</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Acq phase 2; development in phase 3 so no new ops cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Community Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Natural Area Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Thurston Hills Ridgeline Park East</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td><strong>$9,048</strong></td>
<td>Assumes 1.75 mi of trails add'l ph 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Thurston Hills Ridgeline Park West</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td><strong>$9,048</strong></td>
<td>Assume 1.75 mi of trails add'l ph 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Natural Area Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3.39</strong></td>
<td><strong>$18,096</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Linear Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Lyle Hatfield Path Extension</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td><strong>$9,048</strong></td>
<td>10 ft wide asphalt path; assumed 14' wide to maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>Glenwood Riverfront Linear Park A</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td><strong>$10,860</strong></td>
<td>10ft wide asphalt path and 3.16 ac &quot;bump out&quot; area; assumed 14' wide to maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>Glenwood Riverfront Linear Park B</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Assumes 14' to maintain; but development in phase 3 so no add'l ops costs in ph2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>Booth-Kelly Road (Path)</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td><strong>$19,707</strong></td>
<td>City owns; no acq cost. WPRD resp for devel. TE grant Assumed 14' to maintain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Linear Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>7.44</strong></td>
<td><strong>$39,649</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Special Use Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Gateway/RiverBend Area Special Use Park</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td><strong>$53,320</strong></td>
<td>Assumes 20 ac pk; 10 ac developable, 10 ac nat area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Clearwater Park Master Plan Implementation</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Assumes nature center, nc parking, and adj grounds in dev ac; but developed ac is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Dorris Ranch Master Plan Implementation</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Dorris House and grounds imp's; but developed ac is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Gray Homestead Property</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Developed ac is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Special Use Parks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>10.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$53,320</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Community Recreation and Support Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Adult Activity Center Parking</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td><strong>$1,333</strong></td>
<td>Size is in sq ft, based on nearby ac ogp's (.25 acre).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Lively Park Multi-Use Addition</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>10,500 sq ft multi-use addition. Developed ac is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Comm Rec and Supp Facil</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.25</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,333</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Rehabilitation of Existing Parks and Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>Willamette Heights Park/Overlook</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Assumes 1 ac new imp's, but developed ac is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>Island Park</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Assumes fishing pier; bigger restroom [.25 ac]; but developed ac is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>Guy Lee Park</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$5,332</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Assumes .5 ac new imp’s; but Developed ac is already counted in existing acreage so no add'l cost is assumed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subtotal Rehabilitation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Operations Costs Phase 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>44.24</strong></td>
<td><strong>$235,905</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table B-2: Operations Costs, Phase 2 (Years 2022-2031)*